

Formalization of Forcing in Isabelle/ZF

Emmanuel Gunther Miguel Pagano Pedro Sánchez Terraf

April 18, 2020

Contents

1 Forcing notions	3
1.1 Basic concepts	4
1.2 Towards Rasiowa-Sikorski Lemma (RSL)	8
2 A pointed version of DC	9
3 The general Rasiowa-Sikorski lemma	11
4 Auxiliary results on arithmetic	11
4.1 Some results in ordinal arithmetic	14
5 Renaming of variables in internalized formulas	15
5.1 Renaming of free variables	15
5.2 Renaming of formulas	18
6 Aids to internalize formulas	20
7 Some enhanced theorems on recursion	21
8 Relativization of the cumulative hierarchy	23
8.1 Formula synthesis	24
8.2 Absoluteness results	25
9 Automatic synthesis of formulas	28
10 Interface between set models and Constructibility	29
10.1 Interface with <i>M_trivial</i>	31
10.2 Interface with <i>M_basic</i>	31
10.3 Interface with <i>M_tranc</i>	35
10.4 Interface with <i>M_eclose</i>	38

11 Transitive set models of ZF	43
11.1 <i>Collects</i> in M	45
11.2 A forcing locale and generic filters	47
12 The ZFC axioms, internalized	48
12.1 The Axiom of Separation, internalized	51
12.2 The Axiom of Replacement, internalized	53
13 Names and generic extensions	56
13.1 The well-founded relation ed	57
13.2 Values and check-names	59
14 Well-founded relation on names	67
15 Arities of internalized formulas	77
16 The definition of forces	81
16.1 The relation $frecrel$	82
16.2 Definition of <i>forces</i> for equality and membership	85
16.3 The well-founded relation $forcerel$	89
16.4 frc_at , forcing for atomic formulas	90
16.5 Recursive expression of frc_at	98
16.6 Absoluteness of frc_at	98
16.7 Forcing for general formulas	100
16.7.1 The primitive recursion	102
16.8 Forcing for atomic formulas in context	102
16.9 The arity of <i>forces</i>	104
17 The Forcing Theorems	105
17.1 The forcing relation in context	105
17.2 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)	105
17.3 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)	106
17.4 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(b)	106
17.5 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.38	106
17.6 The relation of forcing and atomic formulas	107
17.7 The relation of forcing and connectives	108
17.8 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.29	109
17.9 Auxiliary results for Lemma IV.2.40(a)	109
17.10 Induction on names	110
17.11 Lemma IV.2.40(a), in full	111
17.12 Lemma IV.2.40(b)	111
17.13 The Strenghtening Lemma	112
17.14 The Density Lemma	113
17.15 The Truth Lemma	113
17.16 The “Definition of forcing”	115

18 Auxiliary renamings for Separation	115
19 The Axiom of Separation in $M[G]$	118
20 The Axiom of Pairing in $M[G]$	118
21 The Axiom of Unions in $M[G]$	119
22 The Powerset Axiom in $M[G]$	120
23 The Axiom of Extensionality in $M[G]$	121
24 The Axiom of Foundation in $M[G]$	122
25 The binder <i>Least</i>	122
25.1 Absoluteness and closure under <i>Least</i>	123
26 The Axiom of Replacement in $M[G]$	124
27 The Axiom of Infinity in $M[G]$	128
28 The Axiom of Choice in $M[G]$	129
28.1 $M[G]$ is a transitive model of ZF	131
29 Ordinals in generic extensions	132
30 Separative notions and proper extensions	133
31 A poset of successions	133
31.1 The set of finite binary sequences	133
31.2 Cohen extension is proper	137
32 The main theorem	137
32.1 The generic extension is countable	138
32.2 The main result	138

1 Forcing notions

This theory defines a locale for forcing notions, that is, preorders with a distinguished maximum element.

```
theory Forcing_Notions
imports ZF ZF-Constructible-Trans_Relative
begin
```

1.1 Basic concepts

We say that two elements p, q are *compatible* if they have a lower bound in P

```
definition compat_in ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
  compat_in( $A, r, p, q$ ) ==  $\exists d \in A . \langle d, p \rangle \in r \wedge \langle d, q \rangle \in r$ 
```

definition

```
is_compat_in ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_compat_in( $M, A, r, p, q$ )  $\equiv \exists d[M]. d \in A \wedge (\exists dp[M]. pair(M, d, p, dp) \wedge dp \in r \wedge$ 
 $(\exists dq[M]. pair(M, d, q, dq) \wedge dq \in r))$ 
```

lemma compat_inI :

```
[[ $d \in A ; \langle d, p \rangle \in r ; \langle d, g \rangle \in r$ ]  $\implies$  compat_in( $A, r, p, g$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ ]
```

lemma refl_compat:

```
[[ $refl(A, r) ; \langle p, q \rangle \in r \mid p = q \mid \langle q, p \rangle \in r ; p \in A ; q \in A$ ]  $\implies$  compat_in( $A, r, p, q$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ ]
```

lemma chain_compat:

```
refl( $A, r$ )  $\implies$  linear( $A, r$ )  $\implies$  ( $\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. compat\_in(A, r, p, q)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

lemma subset_fun_image: $f : N \rightarrow P \implies f``N \subseteq P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma refl_monot_domain: $refl(B, r) \implies A \subseteq B \implies refl(A, r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

```
antichain ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
  antichain( $P, leq, A$ ) ==  $A \subseteq P \wedge (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. (\neg compat\_in(P, leq, p, q)))$ 
```

definition

```
ccc ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
  ccc( $P, leq$ ) ==  $\forall A. antichain(P, leq, A) \longrightarrow |A| \leq nat$ 
```

locale forcing_notion =

```
fixes  $P$   $leq$  one
assumes one_in_P:  $one \in P$ 
and leq_preord:  $preorder\_on(P, leq)$ 
and one_max:  $\forall p \in P. \langle p, one \rangle \in leq$ 
```

begin

abbreviation Leq :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** \preceq 50)
 where $x \preceq y \equiv \langle x, y \rangle \in leq$

lemma refl_leq:

$r \in P \implies r \leq r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A set D is *dense* if every element $p \in P$ has a lower bound in D .

definition

$dense :: i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $dense(D) == \forall p \in P. \exists d \in D. d \leq p$

There is also a weaker definition which asks for a lower bound in D only for the elements below some fixed element q .

definition

$dense_below :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $dense_below(D, q) == \forall p \in P. p \leq q \rightarrow (\exists d \in D. d \in P \wedge d \leq p)$

lemma $P_dense: dense(P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$increasing :: i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $increasing(F) == \forall x \in F. \forall p \in P. x \leq p \rightarrow p \in F$

definition

$compat :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $compat(p, q) == compat_in(P, leq, p, q)$

lemma $leq_transD: a \leq b \implies b \leq c \implies a \in P \implies b \in P \implies c \in P \implies a \leq c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $leq_reflI: p \in P \implies p \leq p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $compatD[dest!]: compat(p, q) \implies \exists d \in P. d \leq p \wedge d \leq q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $Incompatible :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** \perp 50)
where $p \perp q \equiv \neg compat(p, q)$

lemma $compatI[intro!]: d \in P \implies d \leq p \implies d \leq q \implies compat(p, q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $denseD [dest]: dense(D) \implies p \in P \implies \exists d \in D. d \leq p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $denseI [intro!]: [\wedge p. p \in P \implies \exists d \in D. d \leq p] \implies dense(D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dense_belowD [dest]:$
assumes $dense_below(D, p)$ $q \in P$ $q \leq p$
shows $\exists d \in D. d \in P \wedge d \leq q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dense_belowI* [*intro!*]:

assumes $\bigwedge q. q \in P \implies q \leq p \implies \exists d \in D. d \in P \wedge d \leq q$
shows *dense_below*(*D,p*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_cong*: $p \in P \implies D = D' \implies \text{dense_below}(D, p) \longleftrightarrow \text{dense_below}(D', p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_cong'*: $p \in P \implies [\![\bigwedge x. x \in P \implies Q(x) \leftrightarrow Q'(x)]\!] \implies$
 $\text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. Q(q)\}, p) \longleftrightarrow \text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. Q'(q)\}, p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_mono*: $p \in P \implies D \subseteq D' \implies \text{dense_below}(D, p) \implies \text{dense_below}(D', p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_under*:

assumes *dense_below*(*D,p*) $p \in P$ $q \in P$ $q \leq p$
shows *dense_below*(*D,q*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ideal_dense_below*:

assumes $\bigwedge q. q \in P \implies q \leq p \implies q \in D$
shows *dense_below*(*D,p*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_dense_below*:

assumes *dense_below*($\{q \in P. \text{dense_below}(D, q)\}, p$) $p \in P$
shows *dense_below*(*D,p*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

antichain :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{antichain}(A) == A \subseteq P \wedge (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. (\neg \text{compat}(p, q)))$

A filter is an increasing set *G* with all its elements being compatible in *G*.

definition

filter :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{filter}(G) == G \subseteq P \wedge \text{increasing}(G) \wedge (\forall p \in G. \forall q \in G. \text{compat_in}(G, \text{leq}, p, q))$

lemma *filterD* : $\text{filter}(G) \implies x \in G \implies x \in P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter_leqD* : $\text{filter}(G) \implies x \in G \implies y \in P \implies x \leq y \implies y \in G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter_imp_compat*: $\text{filter}(G) \implies p \in G \implies q \in G \implies \text{compat}(p, q)$
(proof)

lemma *low_bound_filter*: — says the compatibility is attained inside G
assumes $\text{filter}(G)$ **and** $p \in G$ **and** $q \in G$
shows $\exists r \in G. r \leq p \wedge r \leq q$
(proof)

We finally introduce the upward closure of a set and prove that the closure of A is a filter if its elements are compatible in A .

definition

upclosure :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{upclosure}(A) == \{p \in P. \exists a \in A. a \leq p\}$

lemma *upclosureI* [intro] : $p \in P \implies a \in A \implies a \leq p \implies p \in \text{upclosure}(A)$
(proof)

lemma *upclosureE* [elim] :
 $p \in \text{upclosure}(A) \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in P \implies a \in A \implies a \leq x \implies R) \implies R$
(proof)

lemma *upclosureD* [dest] :
 $p \in \text{upclosure}(A) \implies \exists a \in A. (a \leq p) \wedge p \in P$
(proof)

lemma *upclosure_increasing* :
 $A \subseteq P \implies \text{increasing}(\text{upclosure}(A))$
(proof)

lemma *upclosure_in_P*: $A \subseteq P \implies \text{upclosure}(A) \subseteq P$
(proof)

lemma *A_sub_upclosure*: $A \subseteq P \implies A \subseteq \text{upclosure}(A)$
(proof)

lemma *elem_upclosure*: $A \subseteq P \implies x \in A \implies x \in \text{upclosure}(A)$
(proof)

lemma *closure_compat_filter*:
 $A \subseteq P \implies (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. \text{compat_in}(A, \text{leq}, p, q)) \implies \text{filter}(\text{upclosure}(A))$
(proof)

lemma *aux_RS1*: $f \in N \rightarrow P \implies n \in N \implies f^n \in \text{upclosure}(f ``N)$
(proof)

lemma *decr_succ_decr*: $f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow P \implies \text{preorder_on}(P, \text{leq}) \implies$
 $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f ` \text{succ}(n), f ` n \rangle \in \text{leq} \implies$
 $n \in \text{nat} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq m \implies \langle f ` m, f ` n \rangle \in \text{leq}$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma decr-seq-linear: refl(P,leq)  $\implies$  f ∈ nat  $\rightarrow$  P  $\implies$ 
     $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f ` \text{succ}(n), f ` n \rangle \in \text{leq} \implies$ 
        trans[P](leq)  $\implies$  linear(f “ nat, leq)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

1.2 Towards Rasiowa-Sikorski Lemma (RSL)

```

locale countable-generic = forcing-notion +
  fixes D
  assumes countable-subs-of-P: D ∈ nat  $\rightarrow$  Pow(P)
  and seq-of-denses:  $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{dense}(\mathcal{D}`n)$ 

```

begin

definition

```

D-generic :: i  $\Rightarrow$  o where
D-generic(G) == filter(G)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall n \in \text{nat}. (\mathcal{D}`n) \cap G \neq \emptyset$ )

```

The next lemma identifies a sufficient condition for obtaining RSL.

lemma *RS-sequence-imp-rasiowa-sikorski*:

```

assumes
   $p \in P$  f : nat  $\rightarrow$  P f ` 0 = p
   $\wedge \forall n \in \text{nat}. f ` \text{succ}(n) \preceq f ` n \wedge f ` \text{succ}(n) \in \mathcal{D} ` n$ 
shows
   $\exists G. p \in G \wedge D\_generic(G)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

end

Now, the following recursive definition will fulfill the requirements of lemma *RS-sequence-imp-rasiowa-sikorski*

consts *RS-seq* :: [i,i,i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i

primrec

```

RS-seq(0,P,leq,p,enum,D) = p
RS-seq(succ(n),P,leq,p,enum,D) =
  enum`( $\mu m. \langle \text{enum}`m, RS\_seq}(n,P,leq,p,enum,D) \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge \text{enum}`m \in \mathcal{D} ` n)$ 
```

context *countable-generic*
begin

lemma *preimage-rangeD*:

assumes *f* ∈ *Pi(A,B)* *b* ∈ range(*f*)

shows $\exists a \in A. f`a = b$

⟨proof⟩

```

lemma countable_RS_sequence_aux:
  fixes p enum
  defines f(n) ≡ RS_seq(n,P,leq,p,enum,D)
    and Q(q,k,m) ≡ enum`m ≤ q ∧ enum`m ∈ D ` k
  assumes n∈nat p∈P P ⊆ range(enum) enum:nat→M
    ∃x k. x∈P ⇒ k∈nat ⇒ ∃q∈P. q ≤ x ∧ q ∈ D ` k
  shows
    f(succ(n)) ∈ P ∧ f(succ(n)) ≤ f(n) ∧ f(succ(n)) ∈ D ` n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma countable_RS_sequence:
  fixes p enum
  defines f ≡ λn∈nat. RS_seq(n,P,leq,p,enum,D)
    and Q(q,k,m) ≡ enum`m ≤ q ∧ enum`m ∈ D ` k
  assumes n∈nat p∈P P ⊆ range(enum) enum:nat→M
  shows
    f`0 = p ∧ f`succ(n) ≤ f`n ∧ f`succ(n) ∈ D ` n ∧ f`succ(n) ∈ P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma RS_seq_type:
  assumes n ∈ nat p∈P P ⊆ range(enum) enum:nat→M
  shows RS_seq(n,P,leq,p,enum,D) ∈ P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma RS_seq_funtyp:
  assumes p∈P P ⊆ range(enum) enum:nat→M
  shows (λn∈nat. RS_seq(n,P,leq,p,enum,D)): nat → P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas countable_rasiowa_sikorski =
  RS_sequence_imp_rasiowa_sikorski[OF _ RS_seq_funtyp countable_RS_sequence(1,2)]
end

end

```

2 A pointed version of DC

theory Pointed_DC imports ZF.AC

begin

This proof of DC is from Moschovakis "Notes on Set Theory"

consts dc_witness :: i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ i

primrec

wit0 : dc_witness(0,A,a,s,R) = a

witrec :dc_witness(succ(n),A,a,s,R) = s`{x∈A. ⟨dc_witness(n,A,a,s,R),x⟩∈R }

lemma witness_into_A [TC]: a∈A ⇒ n∈nat ⇒
 (forall X . X ≠ 0 ∧ X ⊆ A → s`X ∈ X) ⇒

$\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq \emptyset \implies dc_witness(n, A, a, s, R) \in A$
(proof)
lemma *witness-related* : $a \in A \implies n \in \text{nat} \implies$
 $(\forall X. X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \implies s^*X \in X) \implies$
 $\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq \emptyset \implies$
 $(dc_witness(n, A, a, s, R), dc_witness(succ(n), A, a, s, R)) \in R$
(proof)
lemma *witness-funtype*: $a \in A \implies$
 $(\forall X. X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \implies s^*X \in X) \implies$
 $\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq \emptyset \implies$
 $(\lambda n \in \text{nat}. dc_witness(n, A, a, s, R)) \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A$
(proof)
lemma *witness-to-fun*: $a \in A \implies (\forall X. X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \implies s^*X \in X) \implies$
 $\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq \emptyset \implies$
 $\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A. \forall n \in \text{nat}. f^n = dc_witness(n, A, a, s, R)$
(proof)
theorem *pointed_DC* : $(\forall x \in A. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in R) \implies$
 $\forall a \in A. (\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A. f^0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f^n, f^{succ(n)} \rangle \in R))$
(proof)
lemma *aux_DC_on_AxNat2* : $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, \langle y, succ(snd(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R \implies$
 $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A \times \text{nat}. \langle x, y \rangle \in \{\langle a, b \rangle \in R. snd(b) = succ(snd(a))\}$
(proof)
lemma *infer_snd* : $c \in A \times B \implies snd(c) = k \implies c = \langle fst(c), k \rangle$
(proof)
corollary *DC_on_A_x_nat* :
 $(\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, \langle y, succ(snd(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R) \implies$
 $\forall a \in A. (\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A. f^0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle \langle f^n, n \rangle, \langle f^{succ(n)}, succ(n) \rangle \rangle \in R))$
(proof)
lemma *aux_sequence_DC* : $\bigwedge R. \forall x \in A. \forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in S^n \implies$
 $R = \{\langle \langle x, n \rangle, \langle y, m \rangle \rangle \in (A \times \text{nat}) \times (A \times \text{nat}). \langle x, y \rangle \in S^m\} \implies$
 $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, \langle y, succ(snd(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R$
(proof)
lemma *aux_sequence_DC2* : $\forall x \in A. \forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in S^n \implies$
 $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, \langle y, succ(snd(x)) \rangle \rangle \in \{\langle \langle x, n \rangle, \langle y, m \rangle \rangle \in (A \times \text{nat}) \times (A \times \text{nat}).$
 $\langle x, y \rangle \in S^m\}$
(proof)
lemma *sequence_DC* : $\forall x \in A. \forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in S^n \implies$

$\forall a \in A. (\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A. f^{\cdot}0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f^{\cdot}n, f^{\cdot}\text{succ}(n) \rangle \in S^{\cdot}\text{succ}(n)))$
(proof)
end

3 The general Rasiowa-Sikorski lemma

theory *Rasiowa_Sikorski imports Forcing_Notions Pointed_DC begin*

context *countable_generic*
begin

lemma *RS_relation:*

assumes

1: $p \in P$

and

2: $n \in \text{nat}$

shows

$\exists y \in P. \langle p, y \rangle \in (\lambda m \in \text{nat}. \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in P * P. y \preceq x \wedge y \in \mathcal{D}^{\cdot}(\text{pred}(m)) \})^{\cdot}n$

(proof)

lemma *DC_imp_RS_sequence:*

assumes $p \in P$

shows

$\exists f. f: \text{nat} \rightarrow P \wedge f^{\cdot}0 = p \wedge$

$(\forall n \in \text{nat}. f^{\cdot}\text{succ}(n) \preceq f^{\cdot}n \wedge f^{\cdot}\text{succ}(n) \in \mathcal{D}^{\cdot}n)$

(proof)

theorem *rasiowa_sikorski:*

$p \in P \implies \exists G. p \in G \wedge D\text{-generic}(G)$

(proof)

end

end

4 Auxiliary results on arithmetic

theory *Nat_Miscellanea imports ZF begin*

Most of these results will get used at some point for the calculation of arities.

lemmas *nat_succI = Ord_succ_mem_iff [THEN iffD2, OF nat_into_Ord]*

lemma *nat_succD : $m \in \text{nat} \implies \text{succ}(n) \in \text{succ}(m) \implies n \in m$*
(proof)

lemmas *zero_in = ltD [OF nat_0_le]*

lemma *in_n_in_nat : $m \in \text{nat} \implies n \in m \implies n \in \text{nat}$*

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma in_succ_in_nat :  $m \in \text{nat} \implies n \in \text{succ}(m) \implies n \in \text{nat}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltI_neg :  $x \in \text{nat} \implies j \leq x \implies j \neq x \implies j < x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma succ_pred_eq :  $m \in \text{nat} \implies m \neq 0 \implies \text{succ}(\text{pred}(m)) = m$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma succ_ltI :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies \text{succ}(j) < n \implies j < n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma succ_In :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies \text{succ}(j) \in n \implies j \in n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas succ_leD = succ_leE[OF leI]

lemma succpred_leI :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq \text{succ}(\text{pred}(n))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma succpred_n0 :  $\text{succ}(n) \in p \implies p \neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma funcI :  $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies a \in A \implies b = f^{\cdot} a \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in f$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas natEin = natE [OF lt_nat_in_nat]

lemma succ_in :  $\text{succ}(x) \leq y \implies x \in y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas Un_least_lt_ifn = Un_least_lt_iff [OF nat_into_Ord nat_into_Ord]

lemma pred_le2 :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies \text{pred}(n) \leq m \implies n \leq \text{succ}(m)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pred_le :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq \text{succ}(m) \implies \text{pred}(n) \leq m$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Un_leD1 :  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(j) \implies \text{Ord}(k) \implies i \cup j \leq k \implies i \leq k$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Un_leD2 :  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(j) \implies \text{Ord}(k) \implies i \cup j \leq k \implies j \leq k$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma gt1 :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies i \in n \implies i \neq 0 \implies i \neq 1 \implies 1 < i$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pred_mono : m \in nat \implies n \leq m \implies pred(n) \leq pred(m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $succ_mono : m \in nat \implies n \leq m \implies succ(n) \leq succ(m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pred2_Un:$
assumes $j \in nat \quad m \leq j \quad n \leq j$
shows $pred(pred(m \cup n)) \leq pred(pred(j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nat_union_abs1 :$
 $\llbracket Ord(i) ; Ord(j) ; i \leq j \rrbracket \implies i \cup j = j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nat_union_abs2 :$
 $\llbracket Ord(i) ; Ord(j) ; i \leq j \rrbracket \implies j \cup i = j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nat_un_max : Ord(i) \implies Ord(j) \implies i \cup j = max(i,j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nat_max_ty : Ord(i) \implies Ord(j) \implies Ord(max(i,j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $le_not_lt_nat : Ord(p) \implies Ord(q) \implies \neg p \leq q \implies q \leq p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $nat_simp_union = nat_un_max \quad nat_max_ty \quad max_def$

lemma $le_succ : x \in nat \implies x \leq succ(x)$ $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma $le_pred : x \in nat \implies pred(x) \leq x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Un_le_compat : o \leq p \implies q \leq r \implies Ord(o) \implies Ord(p) \implies Ord(q) \implies Ord(r) \implies o \cup q \leq p \cup r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Un_le : p \leq r \implies q \leq r \implies$
 $Ord(p) \implies Ord(q) \implies Ord(r) \implies$
 $p \cup q \leq r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Un_leI3 : o \leq r \implies p \leq r \implies q \leq r \implies$
 $Ord(o) \implies Ord(p) \implies Ord(q) \implies Ord(r) \implies$
 $o \cup p \cup q \leq r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma diff_mono :
  assumes m ∈ nat n∈nat p ∈ nat m < n p≤m
  shows m#-p < n#-p
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pred_Un:
  x ∈ nat ⇒ y ∈ nat ⇒ Arith.pred(succ(x) ∪ y) = x ∪ Arith.pred(y)
  x ∈ nat ⇒ y ∈ nat ⇒ Arith.pred(x ∪ succ(y)) = Arith.pred(x) ∪ y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma le_natI : j ≤ n ⇒ n ∈ nat ⇒ j∈nat
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma le_natE : n∈nat ⇒ j < n ⇒ j∈n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma diff_cancel :
  assumes m ∈ nat n∈nat m < n
  shows m#-n = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma leD : assumes n∈nat j ≤ n
  shows j < n | j = n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.1 Some results in ordinal arithmetic

The following results are auxiliary to the proof of wellfoundedness of the relation *frecR*

```

lemma max_cong :
  assumes x ≤ y Ord(y) Ord(z) shows max(x,y) ≤ max(y,z)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma max_commutes :
  assumes Ord(x) Ord(y)
  shows max(x,y) = max(y,x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma max_cong2 :
  assumes x ≤ y Ord(y) Ord(z) Ord(x)
  shows max(x,z) ≤ max(y,z)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma max_D1 :
  assumes x = y w < z Ord(x) Ord(w) Ord(z) max(x,w) = max(y,z)
  shows z≤y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma max_D2 :
  assumes w = y ∨ w = z x < y Ord(x) Ord(w) Ord(y) Ord(z) max(x,w) =
  max(y,z)
  shows x < w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oadd_lt_mono2 :
  assumes Ord(n) Ord(α) Ord(β) α < β x < n y < n 0 < n
  shows n ** α ++ x < n ** β ++ y
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

5 Renaming of variables in internalized formulas

```

theory Renaming
imports
  Nat_Miscellanea
  ZF-Constructible-Trans.Formula
begin

lemma app_nm : n ∈ nat ==> m ∈ nat ==> f ∈ n → m ==> x ∈ nat ==> f'x ∈ nat
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.1 Renaming of free variables

```

definition
  union_fun :: [i,i,i,i] ⇒ i where
  union_fun(f,g,m,p) == λj ∈ m ∪ p . if j ∈ m then f'j else g'j

```

```

lemma union_fun_type:
  assumes f ∈ m → n
  g ∈ p → q
  shows union_fun(f,g,m,p) ∈ m ∪ p → n ∪ q
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma union_fun_action :
  assumes
    env ∈ list(M)
    env' ∈ list(M)
    length(env) = m ∪ p
    ∀ i . i ∈ m → nth(f'i,env') = nth(i,env)
    ∀ j . j ∈ p → nth(g'j,env') = nth(j,env)
  shows ∀ i . i ∈ m ∪ p →
    nth(i,env) = nth(union_fun(f,g,m,p)'i,env')
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma id_fn_type :
  assumes n ∈ nat

```

```

shows id(n) ∈ n → n
⟨proof⟩

lemma id_fn_action:
assumes n ∈ nat env ∈ list(M)
shows ⋀ j . j < n ⟹ nth(j, env) = nth(id(n) ` j, env)
⟨proof⟩

definition
sum :: [i, i, i, i, i] ⇒ i where
sum(f, g, m, n, p) == λj ∈ m#+p . if j < m then f`j else (g`((j#-m))#+n

lemma sum_inl:
assumes m ∈ nat n ∈ nat
f ∈ m → n x ∈ m
shows sum(f, g, m, n, p)`x = f`x
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_inr:
assumes m ∈ nat n ∈ nat p ∈ nat
g ∈ p → q m ≤ x x < m#+p
shows sum(f, g, m, n, p)`x = g`((x#-m))#+n
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_action :
assumes m ∈ nat n ∈ nat p ∈ nat q ∈ nat
f ∈ m → n g ∈ p → q
env ∈ list(M)
env' ∈ list(M)
env1 ∈ list(M)
env2 ∈ list(M)
length(env) = m
length(env1) = p
length(env') = n
⋀ i . i < m ⟹ nth(i, env) = nth(f`i, env')
⋀ j . j < p ⟹ nth(j, env1) = nth(g`j, env2)
shows ⋀ i . i < m#+p →
nth(i, env @ env1) = nth(sum(f, g, m, n, p)`i, env' @ env2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_type :
assumes m ∈ nat n ∈ nat p ∈ nat q ∈ nat
f ∈ m → n g ∈ p → q
shows sum(f, g, m, n, p) ∈ (m#+p) → (n#+q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_type_id :

```

```

assumes
 $f \in \text{length}(\text{env}) \rightarrow \text{length}(\text{env}')$ 
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{env}' \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{env1} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows
 $\text{sum}(f, \text{id}(\text{length}(\text{env1})), \text{length}(\text{env}), \text{length}(\text{env}'), \text{length}(\text{env1})) \in$ 
 $(\text{length}(\text{env})\# + \text{length}(\text{env1})) \rightarrow (\text{length}(\text{env}')\# + \text{length}(\text{env1}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sum_type_id_aux2 :
assumes
 $f \in m \rightarrow n$ 
 $m \in \text{nat}$   $n \in \text{nat}$ 
 $\text{env1} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows
 $\text{sum}(f, \text{id}(\text{length}(\text{env1})), m, n, \text{length}(\text{env1})) \in$ 
 $(m\# + \text{length}(\text{env1})) \rightarrow (n\# + \text{length}(\text{env1}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sum_action_id :
assumes
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{env}' \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $f \in \text{length}(\text{env}) \rightarrow \text{length}(\text{env}')$ 
 $\text{env1} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\bigwedge i . i < \text{length}(\text{env}) \implies \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = \text{nth}(f^i, \text{env}')$ 
shows  $\bigwedge i . i < \text{length}(\text{env})\# + \text{length}(\text{env1}) \implies$ 
 $\text{nth}(i, \text{env}@\text{env1}) = \text{nth}(\text{sum}(f, \text{id}(\text{length}(\text{env1})), \text{length}(\text{env}), \text{length}(\text{env}'), \text{length}(\text{env1})))^i, \text{env}'@\text{env1})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sum_action_id_aux :
assumes
 $f \in m \rightarrow n$ 
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{env}' \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{env1} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{length}(\text{env}) = m$ 
 $\text{length}(\text{env}') = n$ 
 $\text{length}(\text{env1}) = p$ 
 $\bigwedge i . i < m \implies \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = \text{nth}(f^i, \text{env}')$ 
shows  $\bigwedge i . i < m\# + \text{length}(\text{env1}) \implies$ 
 $\text{nth}(i, \text{env}@\text{env1}) = \text{nth}(\text{sum}(f, \text{id}(\text{length}(\text{env1})), m, n, \text{length}(\text{env1})))^i, \text{env}'@\text{env1})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

definition

```

sum_id ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
sum_id( $m, f$ ) ==  $\text{sum}(\lambda x \in 1..x, f, 1, 1, m)$ 

```

```

lemma sum_id0 :  $m \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{sum\_id}(m, f) \cdot 0 = 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_idS :  $p \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow q \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow f \in p \rightarrow q \Rightarrow x \in p \Rightarrow \text{sum\_id}(p, f) \cdot (\text{succ}(x)) = \text{succ}(f'x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_id_tc_aux :
   $p \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow q \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow f \in p \rightarrow q \Rightarrow \text{sum\_id}(p, f) \in 1\# + p \rightarrow 1\# + q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_id_tc :
   $n \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow m \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow f \in n \rightarrow m \Rightarrow \text{sum\_id}(n, f) \in \text{succ}(n) \rightarrow \text{succ}(m)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.2 Renaming of formulas

```

consts ren ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
primrec
  ren(Member(x,y)) =
     $(\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat} . \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m . \text{Member}(f'x, f'y))$ 

  ren(Equal(x,y)) =
     $(\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat} . \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m . \text{Equal}(f'x, f'y))$ 

  ren(Nand(p,q)) =
     $(\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat} . \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m . \text{Nand}(\text{ren}(p) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f, \text{ren}(q) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f))$ 

  ren(Forall(p)) =
     $(\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat} . \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m . \text{Forall}(\text{ren}(p) \cdot \text{succ}(n) \cdot \text{succ}(m) \cdot \text{sum\_id}(n, f)))$ 

lemma arity_meml :  $l \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{Member}(x, y) \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{arity}(\text{Member}(x, y)) \leq l \Rightarrow x \in l$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_memr :  $l \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{Member}(x, y) \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{arity}(\text{Member}(x, y)) \leq l \Rightarrow y \in l$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity.eql :  $l \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{Equal}(x, y) \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{arity}(\text{Equal}(x, y)) \leq l \Rightarrow x \in l$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity.eqr :  $l \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{Equal}(x, y) \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{arity}(\text{Equal}(x, y)) \leq l \Rightarrow y \in l$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nand_ar1 :  $p \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow q \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{arity}(p) \leq \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(p, q))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nand_ar2 :  $p \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow q \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{arity}(q) \leq \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(p, q))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nand_ar1D :  $p \in formula \implies q \in formula \implies arity(Nand(p,q)) \leq n \implies$ 
 $arity(p) \leq n$ 
    ⟨proof⟩
lemma nand_ar2D :  $p \in formula \implies q \in formula \implies arity(Nand(p,q)) \leq n \implies$ 
 $arity(q) \leq n$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma ren_tc :  $p \in formula \implies$ 
 $(\bigwedge n m f . n \in nat \implies m \in nat \implies f \in n \rightarrow m \implies ren(p) `n `m `f \in formula)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_ren :
    fixes p
    assumes p ∈ formula
    shows  $\bigwedge n m f . n \in nat \implies m \in nat \implies f \in n \rightarrow m \implies arity(p) \leq n \implies$ 
 $arity(ren(p) `n `m `f) \leq m$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_forallE :  $p \in formula \implies m \in nat \implies arity(Forall(p)) \leq m \implies$ 
 $arity(p) \leq succ(m)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma env_coincidence_sum_id :
    assumes m ∈ nat n ∈ nat
        ρ ∈ list(A) ρ' ∈ list(A)
        f ∈ n → m
         $\bigwedge i . i < n \implies nth(i, \rho) = nth(f `i, \rho')$ 
        a ∈ A j ∈ succ(n)
    shows nth(j, Cons(a, ρ)) = nth(sum_id(n, f) `j, Cons(a, ρ'))
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_iff_sats_ren :
    fixes φ
    assumes φ ∈ formula
    shows  $\llbracket n \in nat ; m \in nat ; \rho \in list(M) ; \rho' \in list(M) ; f \in n \rightarrow m ;$ 
 $arity(\varphi) \leq n ;$ 
 $\bigwedge i . i < n \implies nth(i, \rho) = nth(f `i, \rho') \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $sats(M, \varphi, \rho) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, ren(\varphi) `n `m `f, \rho')$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Renaming_Auto
imports
    Renaming
    ZF.Finite
    ZF.List
keywords

```

```

rename :: thy-decl % ML
and
  simple_rename :: thy-decl % ML
and
  src
and
  tgt
abbrevs
  simple_rename =
begin

lemmas app_fun = apply_iff[THEN iffD1]
lemmas nat_succI = nat_succ_iff[THEN iffD2]

⟨ML⟩
end

```

6 Aids to internalize formulas

```

theory Internalizations
imports
  ZF-Constructible-Trans.Formula
  ZF-Constructible-Trans.L_axioms
  ZF-Constructible-Trans.DPow_absolute
begin

```

We found it useful to have slightly different versions of some results in ZF-Constructible:

```

lemma nth_closed :
  assumes 0 ∈ A env ∈ list(A)
  shows nth(n,env) ∈ A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas FOL_sats_iff = sats_Nand_iff sats_Forall_iff sats_Neg_iff sats_And_iff
  sats_Or_iff sats_Implies_iff sats_Iff_iff sats_Exists_iff

lemma nth_ConsI: [|nth(n,l) = x; n ∈ nat|] ==> nth(succ(n), Cons(a,l)) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas nth_rules = nth_0 nth_ConsI nat_0I nat_succI
lemmas sep_rules = nth_0 nth_ConsI FOL_iff_sats function_iff_sats
  fun_plus_iff_sats successor_iff_sats
  omega_iff_sats FOL_sats_iff Replace_iff_sats

```

Also a different compilation of lemmas (termsep_rules) used in formula synthesis

```
lemmas fm_defs = omega_fm_def limit_ordinal_fm_def empty_fm_def typed_function_fm_def
```

```

pair_fm_def upair_fm_def domain_fm_def function_fm_def succ_fm_def
cons_fm_def fun_apply_fm_def image_fm_def big_union_fm_def
union_fm_def
relation_fm_def composition_fm_def field_fm_def ordinal_fm_def
range_fm_def
transset_fm_def subset_fm_def Replace_fm_def

end

```

7 Some enhanced theorems on recursion

theory Recursion_Thms **imports** ZF.Epsilon **begin**

We prove results concerning definitions by well-founded recursion on some relation R and its transitive closure R^*

lemma fld_restrict_eq : $a \in A \implies (r \cap A * A)^{-\cup}\{a\} = (r^{-\cup}\{a\} \cap A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fld_restrict_mono : $relation(r) \implies A \subseteq B \implies r \cap A * A \subseteq r \cap B * B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fld_restrict_dom :
assumes $relation(r)$ $domain(r) \subseteq A$ $range(r) \subseteq A$
shows $r \cap A * A = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition tr_down :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$
where $tr_down(r,a) = (r^+)^{-\cup}\{a\}$

lemma tr_downD : $x \in tr_down(r,a) \implies \langle x,a \rangle \in r^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma pred_down : $relation(r) \implies r^{-\cup}\{a\} \subseteq tr_down(r,a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma tr_down_mono : $relation(r) \implies x \in r^{-\cup}\{a\} \implies tr_down(r,x) \subseteq tr_down(r,a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rest_eq :
assumes $relation(r)$ **and** $r^{-\cup}\{a\} \subseteq B$ **and** $a \in B$
shows $r^{-\cup}\{a\} = (r \cap B * B)^{-\cup}\{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma wfrec_restr_eq : $r' = r \cap A * A \implies wfrec[A](r,a,H) = wfrec(r',a,H)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma wfrec_restr :
assumes $rr: relation(r)$ **and** $wfr:wf(r)$

shows $a \in A \implies \text{tr_down}(r, a) \subseteq A \implies \text{wfrec}(r, a, H) = \text{wfrec}[A](r, a, H)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $\text{wfrec_tr_down} = \text{wfrec_restr}[\text{OF} \dots \text{subset_refl}]$

lemma $\text{wfrec_trans_restr} : \text{relation}(r) \implies \text{wf}(r) \implies \text{trans}(r) \implies r^{-\langle\langle \{a\} \rangle\rangle \subseteq A \implies a \in A \implies \text{wfrec}(r, a, H) = \text{wfrec}[A](r, a, H)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{field_tranci} : \text{field}(r^+) = \text{field}(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$Rrel :: [i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Rrel(R, A) \equiv \{z \in A \times A. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge R(x, y)\}$

lemma $RrelI : x \in A \implies y \in A \implies R(x, y) \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in Rrel(R, A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Rrel_mem : Rrel(\text{mem}, x) = \text{Memrel}(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{relation_Rrel} : \text{relation}(Rrel(R, d))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{field_Rrel} : \text{field}(Rrel(R, d)) \subseteq d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{Rrel_mono} : A \subseteq B \implies Rrel(R, A) \subseteq Rrel(R, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{Rrel_restr_eq} : Rrel(R, A) \cap B \times B = Rrel(R, A \cap B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{field_Memrel} : \text{field}(\text{Memrel}(A)) \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{restrict_tranci_Rrel}:$
assumes $R(w, y)$
shows $\text{restrict}(f, Rrel(R, d) - \langle\langle \{y\} \rangle\rangle) w = \text{restrict}(f, (Rrel(R, d)^+) - \langle\langle \{y\} \rangle\rangle) w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{restrict_trans_eq}:$

```

assumes  $w \in y$ 
shows  $\text{restrict}(f, \text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})) - ``\{y\})`w$ 
 $= \text{restrict}(f, (\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})) ^+) - ``\{y\})`w$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $wf\_eq\_trancl:$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge f y . H(y, \text{restrict}(f, R - ``\{y\})) = H(y, \text{restrict}(f, R ^+ - ``\{y\}))$ 
shows  $\text{wfrec}(R, x, H) = \text{wfrec}(R ^+, x, H)$  (is  $\text{wfrec}(\text{?r}, \_, \_) = \text{wfrec}(\text{?r}', \_, \_)$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

8 Relativization of the cumulative hierarchy

```

theory Relative_Univ
imports
  ZF-Constructible-Trans.Rank
  ZF-Constructible-Trans.Datatype_absolute
  Internalizations
  Recursion_Thms

```

```
begin
```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) powerset_abs' [simp]:
assumes
   $M(x) M(y)$ 
shows
   $\text{powerset}(M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \{a \in \text{Pow}(x) . M(a)\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
lemma Collect_inter_Transset:
```

```

assumes
   $\text{Transset}(M) b \in M$ 
shows
   $\{x \in b . P(x)\} = \{x \in b . P(x)\} \cap M$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) family_union_closed:  $\llbracket \text{strong-replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = f(x));$ 
 $M(A); \forall x \in A. M(f(x)) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies M(\bigcup x \in A. f(x))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

definition

```

  HVfrom ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
   $HVfrom(M, A, x, f) \equiv A \cup (\bigcup y \in x. \{a \in \text{Pow}(f`y). M(a)\})$ 

```

definition

is_powapply :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_powapply(M, f, y, z) \equiv M(z) \wedge (\exists fy[M]. fun_apply(M, f, y, fy) \wedge powerset(M, fy, z))$

lemma *is_powapply_closed*: $is_powapply(M, f, y, z) \implies M(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

is_HVfrom :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_HVfrom(M, A, x, f, h) \equiv \exists U[M]. \exists R[M]. union(M, A, U, h)$
 $\wedge big_union(M, R, U) \wedge is_Replace(M, x, is_powapply(M, f), R)$

definition

is_Vfrom :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_Vfrom(M, A, i, V) == is_transrec(M, is_HVfrom(M, A), i, V)$

definition

is_Vset :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_Vset(M, i, V) == \exists z[M]. empty(M, z) \wedge is_Vfrom(M, z, i, V)$

8.1 Formula synthesis

schematic_goal *sats_is_powapply_fm_auto*:

assumes
 $f \in nat \ y \in nat \ z \in nat \ env \in list(A) \ 0 \in A$
shows
 $is_powapply(\#\#A, nth(f, env), nth(y, env), nth(z, env))$
 $\longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?ipa_fm(f, y, z), env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal *is_powapply_iff_sats*:

assumes
 $nth(f, env) = ff \ nth(y, env) = yy \ nth(z, env) = zz \ 0 \in A$
 $f \in nat \ y \in nat \ z \in nat \ env \in list(A)$
shows
 $is_powapply(\#\#A, ff, yy, zz) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?is_one_fm(a, r), env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trivial_fm*:

assumes
 $A \neq \emptyset \ env \in list(A)$
shows
 $(\exists P. P \in A) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, Equal(0, 0), env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

Hrank :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Hrank(x,f) = (\bigcup_{y \in x.} succ(f`y))$

definition

*P*Hrank :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 P Hrank(M, f, y, z) == $M(z) \wedge (\exists fy[M]. fun_apply(M, f, y, fy) \wedge successor(M, fy, z))$

definition

is_Hrank :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ where
 $is_Hrank(M, x, f, hc) == (\exists R[M]. big_union(M, R, hc) \wedge is_Replace(M, x, P\text{Hrank}(M, f), R))$

definition

rrank :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{rrank}(a) == \text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{a\}))^+$

lemma (**in** *M_eclose*) *wf_rrank* : *M(x)* \implies *wf(rrank(x))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_eclose*) *trans_rrrank* : *M(x)* \implies *trans(rrank(x))*
⟨proof⟩

lemma (**in** *M_eclose*) *relation_rrank* : *M*(*x*) \implies *relation*(*rrank*(*x*))
⟨proof⟩

lemma (**in** *M_eclose*) *rrank_in_M* : *M(x)* \implies *M(rrank(x))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2 Absoluteness results

```
locale M_eclose_pow = M_eclose +
  assumes
```

$\text{power_ax} : \text{power_ax}(M) \text{ and}$
 $\text{powapply_replacement} : M(f) \implies \text{strong_replacement}(M, \text{is_powapply}(M, f)) \text{ and}$
 $\text{HVfrom_replacement} : \llbracket M(i) ; M(A) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\qquad \text{transrec_replacement}(M, \text{is_HVfrom}(M, A), i) \text{ and}$
 $\text{PHrank_replacement} : M(f) \implies \text{strong_replacement}(M, \text{PHrank}(M, f)) \text{ and}$
 $\text{is_Hrank_replacement} : M(x) \implies \text{wfrec_replacement}(M, \text{is_Hrank}(M), \text{rrank}(x))$

begin

lemma *is_powapply_abs*: $\llbracket M(f); M(y) \rrbracket \implies \text{is_powapply}(M, f, y, z) \longleftrightarrow M(z) \wedge z = \{x \in \text{Pow}(f^*y). M(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\llbracket M(A); M(x); M(f); M(h) \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{is_HVfrom}(M, A, x, f, h) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists R[M]. h = A \cup \bigcup R \wedge \text{is_Replace}(M, x, \lambda x. y. y = \{x \in \text{Pow}(f^x) . M(x)\},$
 $R))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Replace-is-powapply*:

assumes

$M(R) M(A) M(f)$

shows

$\text{is_Replace}(M, A, \text{is_powapply}(M, f), R) \longleftrightarrow R = \text{Replace}(A, \text{is_powapply}(M, f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *powapply-closed*:

$\llbracket M(y) ; M(f) \rrbracket \implies M(\{x \in \text{Pow}(f^y) . M(x)\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-is-powapply*:

assumes

$M(R) M(A) M(f)$

shows

$\text{Replace}(A, \text{is_powapply}(M, f)) = \text{RepFun}(A, \lambda y. \{x \in \text{Pow}(f^y) . M(x)\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-powapply-closed*:

assumes

$M(f) M(A)$

shows

$M(\text{Replace}(A, \text{is_powapply}(M, f)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-powapply-closed*:

assumes

$M(x) M(f)$

shows

$M(\bigcup y \in x. \{a \in \text{Pow}(f^y) . M(a)\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *relation2-HVfrom*: $M(A) \implies \text{relation2}(M, \text{is_HVfrom}(M, A), \text{HVfrom}(M, A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *HVfrom-closed* :

$M(A) \implies \forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow M(\text{HVfrom}(M, A, x, g))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec-HVfrom*:

assumes $M(A)$

shows $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \{x \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i) . M(x)\} = \text{transrec}(i, \text{HVfrom}(M, A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Vfrom_abs*: $\llbracket M(A); M(i); M(V); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies is_Vfrom(M, A, i, V) \longleftrightarrow V = \{x \in V \text{from}(A, i). M(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vfrom_closed*: $\llbracket M(A); M(i); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies M(\{x \in V \text{from}(A, i). M(x)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vset_abs*: $\llbracket M(i); M(V); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies is_Vset(M, i, V) \longleftrightarrow V = \{x \in V \text{set}(i). M(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vset_closed*: $\llbracket M(i); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies M(\{x \in V \text{set}(i). M(x)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Hrank_tranci*: $Hrank(y, restrict(f, Memrel(eclose(\{x\}))) - ``\{y\})) = Hrank(y, restrict(f, (Memrel(eclose(\{x\})) ^+) - ``\{y\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank_tranci*: $rank(x) = wfrec(rrank(x), x, Hrank)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *univ_PHrank*: $\llbracket M(z) ; M(f) \rrbracket \implies univalent(M, z, PHrank(M, f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PHrank_abs* :
 $\llbracket M(f) ; M(y) \rrbracket \implies PHrank(M, f, y, z) \longleftrightarrow M(z) \wedge z = succ(f`y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PHrank_closed* : $PHrank(M, f, y, z) \implies M(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Replace_PHrank_abs*:
assumes
 $M(z) M(f) M(hr)$
shows
 $is_Replace(M, z, PHrank(M, f), hr) \longleftrightarrow hr = Replace(z, PHrank(M, f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun_PHrank*:
assumes
 $M(R) M(A) M(f)$
shows
 $Replace(A, PHrank(M, f)) = RepFun(A, \lambda y. succ(f`y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun_PHrank_closed* :
assumes
 $M(f) M(A)$

```

shows
 $M(Replace(A, P\text{Hrank}(M, f)))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma relation2_Hrank :
relation2(M, is_Hrank(M), Hrank)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Union_P\text{Hrank\_closed}:
assumes
 $M(x) M(f)$ 
shows
 $M(\bigcup y \in x. \text{succ}(f'y))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is_Hrank_closed :
 $M(A) \implies \forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow M(Hrank(x, g))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rank_closed:  $M(a) \implies M(\text{rank}(a))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma M_into_Vset:
assumes  $M(a)$ 
shows  $\exists i[M]. \exists V[M]. \text{ordinal}(M, i) \wedge \text{is\_Vfrom}(M, 0, i, V) \wedge a \in V$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
end

```

9 Automatic synthesis of formulas

```

theory Synthetic_Definition
imports ZF-Constructible-Trans.Formula
keywords
  synthesize :: thy_decl % ML
and
  from_schematic

begin
 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

```

The `synthetic_def` function extracts definitions from schematic goals. A new definition is added to the context.

```
end
```

10 Interface between set models and Constructibility

This theory provides an interface between Paulson's relativization results and set models of ZFC. In particular, it is used to prove that the locale *forcing_data* is a sublocale of all relevant locales in ZF-Constructibility (*M_trivial*, *M_basic*, *M_eclose*, etc).

```

theory Interface
imports ZF-Constructible-Trans.Relative
    Renaming
    Renaming_Auto
    Relative_Univ
    Synthetic_Definition
begin

syntax
  _sats :: [i, i, i] ⇒ o ((_, - ⊨ _) [36,36,36] 60)
translations
  (M,env ⊨ φ) ⇔ CONST sats(M,φ,env)

abbreviation
  dec10 :: i (10) where 10 == succ(9)

abbreviation
  dec11 :: i (11) where 11 == succ(10)

abbreviation
  dec12 :: i (12) where 12 == succ(11)

abbreviation
  dec13 :: i (13) where 13 == succ(12)

abbreviation
  dec14 :: i (14) where 14 == succ(13)

definition
  infinity_ax :: (i ⇒ o) ⇒ o where
    infinity_ax(M) ==
      (exists I[M]. (exists z[M]. empty(M,z) ∧ z ∈ I) ∧ (forall y[M]. y ∈ I → (exists sy[M]. successor(M,y,sy) ∧ sy ∈ I)))

definition
  choice_ax :: (i ⇒ o) ⇒ o where
    choice_ax(M) == ∀ x[M]. ∃ a[M]. ∃ f[M]. ordinal(M,a) ∧ surjection(M,a,x,f)

context M_basic begin
```

```

lemma choice_ax_abs :
choice_ax(M)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x[M]. \exists a[M]. \exists f[M]. Ord(a) \wedge f \in surj(a,x)$ )
⟨proof⟩

end

definition
wellfounded_trancl :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o where
wellfounded_trancl(M,Z,r,p) ==
 $\exists w[M]. \exists wx[M]. \exists rp[M].$ 
 $w \in Z \wedge pair(M,w,p,wx) \wedge tran\_closure(M,r,wp) \wedge wx \in rp$ 

lemma empty_intf :
infinity_ax(M)  $\implies$ 
( $\exists z[M]. empty(M,z)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma Transset_intf :
Transset(M)  $\implies$   $y \in x \implies x \in M \implies y \in M$ 
⟨proof⟩

locale M_ZF_trans =
fixes M
assumes
upair_ax: upair_ax(##M)
and Union_ax: Union_ax(##M)
and power_ax: power_ax(##M)
and extensionality: extensionality(##M)
and foundation_ax: foundation_ax(##M)
and infinity_ax: infinity_ax(##M)
and separation_ax:  $\varphi \in formula \implies env \in list(M) \implies arity(\varphi) \leq 1 \# + length(env) \implies$ 
 $separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. sats(M, \varphi, [x] @ env))$ 
and replacement_ax:  $\varphi \in formula \implies env \in list(M) \implies arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \# + length(env) \implies$ 
 $strong\_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x y. sats(M, \varphi, [x, y] @ env))$ 
and trans_M: Transset(M)
begin

lemma TranssetI :
 $(\bigwedge y x. y \in x \implies x \in M \implies y \in M) \implies Transset(M)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero_in_M: 0 ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

```

10.1 Interface with $M_{trivial}$

```

lemma mtrans :
   $M_{trans}(\#\#M)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mtriv :
   $M_{trivial}(\#\#M)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

sublocale  $M_{ZF\_trans} \subseteq M_{trivial} \#\# M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context  $M_{ZF\_trans}$ 
begin

```

10.2 Interface with M_{basic}

```

schematic_goal inter_fm_auto:
assumes
   $nth(i,env) = x \ nth(j,env) = B$ 
   $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ env \in list(A)$ 
shows
   $(\forall y \in A . \ y \in B \longrightarrow x \in y) \longleftrightarrow sats(A,?ifm(i,j),env)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inter_sep_intf :
assumes
   $A \in M$ 
shows
   $separation(\#\#M, \lambda x . \forall y \in M . \ y \in A \longrightarrow x \in y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

schematic_goal diff_fm_auto:
assumes
   $nth(i,env) = x \ nth(j,env) = B$ 
   $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ env \in list(A)$ 
shows
   $x \notin B \longleftrightarrow sats(A,?dfm(i,j),env)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma diff_sep_intf :
assumes
   $B \in M$ 
shows

```

```

separation(##M,λx . xnotinB)
⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal cprod_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i,env) = z nth(j,env) = B nth(h,env) = C
  i ∈ nat j ∈ nat h ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  (exists x ∈ A. x ∈ B ∧ (exists y ∈ A. y ∈ C ∧ pair(##A,x,y,z))) ←→ sats(A,?cpfm(i,j,h),env)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cartprod_sep_intf :
assumes
  A ∈ M
  and
  B ∈ M
shows
  separation(##M,λz. exists x ∈ M. x ∈ A ∧ (exists y ∈ M. y ∈ B ∧ pair(##M,x,y,z)))
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal im_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i,env) = y nth(j,env) = r nth(h,env) = B
  i ∈ nat j ∈ nat h ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  (exists p ∈ A. p ∈ r & (exists x ∈ A. x ∈ B & pair(##A,x,y,p))) ←→ sats(A,?imfm(i,j,h),env)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma image_sep_intf :
assumes
  A ∈ M
  and
  r ∈ M
shows
  separation(##M, λy. exists p ∈ M. p ∈ r & (exists x ∈ M. x ∈ A & pair(##M,x,y,p)))
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal con_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i,env) = z nth(j,env) = R
  i ∈ nat j ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  (exists p ∈ A. p ∈ R & (exists x ∈ A. exists y ∈ A. pair(##A,x,y,p) & pair(##A,y,x,z)))
  ←→ sats(A,?cfm(i,j),env)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma converse_sep_intf :

```

```

assumes
   $R \in M$ 
shows
  separation(\#\#M,  $\lambda z. \exists p \in M. p \in R \ \& \ (\exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. pair(\#\#M, x, y, p) \ \&$ 
   $pair(\#\#M, y, x, z)))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal rest_fm_auto:
assumes
   $nth(i, env) = z \ nth(j, env) = C$ 
   $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ env \in list(A)$ 
shows
   $(\exists x \in A. x \in C \ \& \ (\exists y \in A. pair(\#\#A, x, y, z)))$ 
   $\longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?rfm(i, j), env)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma restrict_sep_intf :
assumes
   $A \in M$ 
shows
  separation(\#\#M,  $\lambda z. \exists x \in M. x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y \in M. pair(\#\#M, x, y, z)))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal comp_fm_auto:
assumes
   $nth(i, env) = xz \ nth(j, env) = S \ nth(h, env) = R$ 
   $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ h \in nat \ env \in list(A)$ 
shows
   $(\exists x \in A. \exists y \in A. \exists z \in A. \exists xy \in A. \exists yz \in A.$ 
   $pair(\#\#A, x, z, xz) \ \& \ pair(\#\#A, x, y, xy) \ \& \ pair(\#\#A, y, z, yz) \ \& \ xy \in S$ 
   $\& \ yz \in R)$ 
   $\longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?cfm(i, j, h), env)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comp_sep_intf :
assumes
   $R \in M$ 
  and
   $S \in M$ 
shows
  separation(\#\#M,  $\lambda xz. \exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. \exists z \in M. \exists xy \in M. \exists yz \in M.$ 
   $pair(\#\#M, x, z, xz) \ \& \ pair(\#\#M, x, y, xy) \ \& \ pair(\#\#M, y, z, yz) \ \& \ xy \in S$ 
   $\& \ yz \in R)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

schematic_goal pred_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i,env) = y nth(j,env) = R nth(h,env) = X
  i ∈ nat j ∈ nat h ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  ( $\exists p \in A. p \in R \ \& \ pair(\#A,y,X,p)) \longleftrightarrow sats(A,\text{?pfm}(i,j,h),env)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pred_sep_intf:
assumes
  R ∈ M
and
  X ∈ M
shows
  separation( $\#M, \lambda y. \exists p \in M. p \in R \ \& \ pair(\#M,y,X,p))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal mem_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i,env) = z i ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  ( $\exists x \in A. \exists y \in A. pair(\#A,x,y,z) \ \& \ x \in y) \longleftrightarrow sats(A,\text{?mfm}(i),env)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma memrel_sep_intf:
  separation( $\#M, \lambda z. \exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. pair(\#M,x,y,z) \ \& \ x \in y)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal recfun_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i1,env) = x nth(i2,env) = r nth(i3,env) = f nth(i4,env) = g nth(i5,env) =
  a
  nth(i6,env) = b i1 ∈ nat i2 ∈ nat i3 ∈ nat i4 ∈ nat i5 ∈ nat i6 ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  ( $\exists xa \in A. \exists xb \in A. pair(\#A,x,a,xa) \ \& \ xa \in r \ \& \ pair(\#A,x,b,xb) \ \& \ xb \in r \ \&$ 
    $(\exists fx \in A. \exists gx \in A. fun\_apply(\#A,f,x,fx) \ \& \ fun\_apply(\#A,g,x,gx)$ 
    $\& fx \neq gx)$ 
   $\longleftrightarrow sats(A,\text{?rffm}(i1,i2,i3,i4,i5,i6),env)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_recfun_sep_intf :
assumes
  r ∈ M f ∈ M g ∈ M a ∈ M b ∈ M
shows
  separation( $\#M, \lambda x. \exists xa \in M. \exists xb \in M.$ 
   $pair(\#M,x,a,xa) \ \& \ xa \in r \ \& \ pair(\#M,x,b,xb) \ \& \ xb \in r \ \&$ 

```

```


$$(\exists fx \in M. \exists gx \in M. \text{fun\_apply}(\#\#M, f, x, fx) \& \text{fun\_apply}(\#\#M, g, x, gx)$$

&

$$fx \neq gx)$$

⟨proof⟩

```

```

schematic_goal funsp_fm_auto:
assumes

$$\text{nth}(i, env) = p \text{ nth}(j, env) = z \text{ nth}(h, env) = n$$


$$i \in \text{nat} \ j \in \text{nat} \ h \in \text{nat} \ env \in \text{list}(A)$$

shows

$$(\exists f \in A. \exists b \in A. \exists nb \in A. \exists cnbf \in A. \text{pair}(\#\#A, f, b, p) \& \text{pair}(\#\#A, n, b, nb) \&$$


$$\text{is\_cons}(\#\#A, nb, f, cnbf) \&$$


$$\text{upair}(\#\#A, cnbf, cnbf, z)) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?fsfm(i, j, h), env)$$

⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma funspace_succ_rep_intf :
assumes

$$n \in M$$

shows

$$\text{strong\_replacement}(\#\#M,$$


$$\lambda p \ z. \exists f \in M. \exists b \in M. \exists nb \in M. \exists cnbf \in M.$$


$$\text{pair}(\#\#M, f, b, p) \& \text{pair}(\#\#M, n, b, nb) \& \text{is\_cons}(\#\#M, nb, f, cnbf)$$

&

$$\text{upair}(\#\#M, cnbf, cnbf, z))$$

⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas M_basic_sep_instances =

$$\text{inter\_sep\_intf} \ \text{diff\_sep\_intf} \ \text{cartprod\_sep\_intf}$$


$$\text{image\_sep\_intf} \ \text{converse\_sep\_intf} \ \text{restrict\_sep\_intf}$$


$$\text{pred\_sep\_intf} \ \text{memrel\_sep\_intf} \ \text{comp\_sep\_intf} \ \text{is\_recfun\_sep\_intf}$$


```

```

lemma mbasic : M_basic(##M)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

```

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_basic ##M
⟨proof⟩

```

10.3 Interface with M_tranc

```

schematic_goal rtran_closure_mem_auto:

```

```

assumes
   $\text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = p \quad \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = r \quad \text{nth}(k,\text{env}) = B$ 
   $i \in \text{nat} \quad j \in \text{nat} \quad k \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
 $r\text{tran\_closure\_mem}(\#\#A,B,r,p) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A,\text{?rcfm}(i,j,k),\text{env})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) rtrancl_separation_intf:
assumes
   $r \in M$ 
and
   $A \in M$ 
shows
   $\text{separation} (\#\#M, r\text{tran\_closure\_mem}(\#\#M,A,r))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

schematic_goal rtran_closure_fm_auto:
assumes
   $\text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = r \quad \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = rp$ 
   $i \in \text{nat} \quad j \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
 $r\text{tran\_closure}(\#\#A,r,lp) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A,\text{?rtc}(i,j),\text{env})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

schematic_goal tran_closure_fm_auto:
assumes
   $\text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = r \quad \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = rp$ 
   $i \in \text{nat} \quad j \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
 $\text{tran\_closure}(\#\#A,r,lp) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A,\text{?tc}(i,j),\text{env})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma tran_closure_fm_type[TC] :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{tran\_closure\_fm}(x,y) \in \text{formula}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma tran_closure_iff_sats:
assumes
   $\text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = r \quad \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = rp$ 
   $i \in \text{nat} \quad j \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
 $\text{tran\_closure}(\#\#A,r,lp) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A,\text{tran\_closure\_fm}(i,j),\text{env})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_tran_closure_fm :

```

```

assumes
   $i \in \text{nat} \ j \in \text{nat} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
   $\text{sats}(A, \text{tran\_closure\_fm}(i,j), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{tran\_closure}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(i, \text{env}), \text{nth}(j, \text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

schematic_goal wellfounded_trancfm_auto:
assumes
   $\text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = p \ \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = r \ \text{nth}(k, \text{env}) = B$ 
   $i \in \text{nat} \ j \in \text{nat} \ k \in \text{nat} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
   $\text{wellfounded\_trancf}(\#\#A, B, r, p) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?\text{wtf}(i, j, k), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) wftrancf_separation_intf:
assumes
   $r \in M$ 
and
   $Z \in M$ 
shows
  separation ( $\#\#M$ , wellfounded_trancf ( $\#\#M$ ,  $Z$ ,  $r$ ))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) finite_sep_intf:
  separation ( $\#\#M$ ,  $\lambda x. x \in \text{nat}$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) nat_subset_I' :
   $\llbracket I \in M ; 0 \in I ; \bigwedge x. x \in I \implies \text{succ}(x) \in I \rrbracket \implies \text{nat} \subseteq I$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) nat_subset_I :
   $\exists I \in M. \text{nat} \subseteq I$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) nat_in_M :
   $\text{nat} \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) mtrancf : M_trancf ( $\#\#M$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale M_ZF_trans  $\subseteq$  M_trancf  $\#\#M$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

10.4 Interface with M_eclose

```

lemma repl_sats:
  assumes
    sat: $\bigwedge x z. x \in M \implies z \in M \implies sats(M, \varphi, Cons(x, Cons(z, env))) \longleftrightarrow P(x, z)$ 
  shows
    strong_replacement( $\#\#M, \lambda x z. sats(M, \varphi, Cons(x, Cons(z, env)))$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    strong_replacement( $\#\#M, P$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in  $M\_ZF\_trans$ ) nat_trans_M :
  n $\in M$  if n $\in nat$  for n
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in  $M\_ZF\_trans$ ) list_repl1_intf:
  assumes
    A $\in M$ 
  shows
    iterates_replacement( $\#\#M, is\_list\_functor(\#\#M, A)$ , 0)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in  $M\_ZF\_trans$ ) iterates_repl_intf :
  assumes
    v $\in M$  and
    isfm:is_F_fm  $\in formula$  and
    arty:arity(is_F_fm)=2 and
    satsf:  $\bigwedge a b env'. \llbracket a \in M ; b \in M ; env' \in list(M) \rrbracket \implies is\_F(a, b) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, is\_F\_fm, [b, a] @ env')$ 
  shows
    iterates_replacement( $\#\#M, is\_F, v$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in  $M\_ZF\_trans$ ) formula_repl1_intf :
  iterates_replacement( $\#\#M, is\_formula\_functor(\#\#M)$ , 0)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in  $M\_ZF\_trans$ ) nth_repl_intf:
  assumes
    l  $\in M$ 
  shows
    iterates_replacement( $\#\#M, \lambda l' t. is\_tl(\#\#M, l', t), l$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) eclose_repl1_intf:
  assumes
    A ∈ M
  shows
    iterates_replacement(##M, big_union(##M), A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) list_repl2_intf:
  assumes
    A ∈ M
  shows
    strong_replacement(##M, λn y. n ∈ nat & is_iterates(##M, is_list_functor(##M, A),
    0, n, y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) formula_repl2_intf:
  strong_replacement(##M, λn y. n ∈ nat & is_iterates(##M, is_formula_functor(##M),
  0, n, y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) eclose_repl2_intf:
  assumes
    A ∈ M
  shows
    strong_replacement(##M, λn y. n ∈ nat & is_iterates(##M, big_union(##M),
    A, n, y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) mdatatypes : M_datatypes(##M)
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_datatypes ##M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) meclose : M_eclose(##M)
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_eclose ##M
  ⟨proof⟩

```

definition
 $\text{powerset_fm} :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

powerset-fm(A, z) ==> *Forall*(*Iff*(*Member*(0, *succ*(z)), *subset-fm*(0, *succ*(A))))

lemma *powerset-type* [*TC*]:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket ==> \text{powerset-fm}(x, y) \in \text{formula}$
{proof}

definition

is-powapply-fm :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{is_powapply_fm}(f, y, z) ==>$
 $\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{fun_apply_fm}(\text{succ}(f), \text{succ}(y), 0),$
 $\text{Forall}(\text{Iff}(\text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))),$
 $\text{Forall}(\text{Implies}(\text{Member}(0, 1), \text{Member}(0, 2)))))))$

lemma *is-powapply-type* [*TC*]:
 $\llbracket f \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{is_powapply_fm}(f, y, z) \in \text{formula}$
{proof}

lemma *sats-is-powapply-fm* :
assumes
 $f \in \text{nat} \ y \in \text{nat} \ z \in \text{nat} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \ 0 \in A$
shows
 $\text{is_powapply}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(f, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}))$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{is_powapply_fm}(f, y, z), \text{env})$
{proof}

lemma (in *M-ZF-trans*) *powapply-repl* :
assumes
 $f \in M$
shows
 $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is_powapply}(\#\#M, f))$
{proof}

definition

Prank-fm :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{Prank-fm}(f, y, z) ==> \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{fun_apply_fm}(\text{succ}(f), \text{succ}(y), 0),$
 $, \text{succ_fm}(0, \text{succ}(z))))$

lemma *Prank-type* [*TC*]:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket ==> \text{Prank-fm}(x, y, z) \in \text{formula}$
{proof}

lemma (in *M-ZF-trans*) *sats-Prank-fm* [*simp*]:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{sats}(M, \text{PHrank_fm}(x, y, z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{PHrank}(\#\#M, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) phrank_repl :
assumes
 $f \in M$
shows
 $\text{strong-replacement}(\#\#M, \text{PHrank}(\#\#M, f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition
 $\text{is_Hrank_fm} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{is_Hrank_fm}(x, f, hc) == \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{big_union_fm}(0, \text{succ}(hc)),$
 $\text{Replace_fm}(\text{succ}(x), \text{PHrank_fm}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(f))), 0, 1), 0)))$

lemma $\text{is_Hrank_type} [TC]$:
 $[\mid x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \mid] \implies \text{is_Hrank_fm}(x, y, z) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) sats_is_Hrank_fm [simp]:
 $[\mid x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \mid]$
 $\implies \text{sats}(M, \text{is_Hrank_fm}(x, y, z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is_Hrank}(\#\#M, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) wfrec_rank :
assumes
 $X \in M$
shows
 $\text{wfrec_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is_Hrank}(\#\#M), \text{rrank}(X))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition
 $\text{is_HVfrom_fm} :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{is_HVfrom_fm}(A, x, f, h) == \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{union_fm}(A \ #+ \ 2, 1, h \ #+ \ 2),$
 $\text{And}(\text{big_union_fm}(0, 1),$
 $\text{Replace_fm}(x \ #+ \ 2, \text{is_powapply_fm}(f \ #+ \ 4, 0, 1), 0))))$

lemma $\text{is_HVfrom_type} [TC]$:
 $[\mid A \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; f \in \text{nat}; h \in \text{nat} \mid] \implies \text{is_HVfrom_fm}(A, x, f, h) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma sats_is_HVfrom_fm :
  [| a ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; f ∈ nat; h ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A); 0 ∈ A |]
  ==> sats(A, is_HVfrom_fm(a, x, f, h), env) <→
    is_HVfrom(##A, nth(a, env), nth(x, env), nth(f, env), nth(h, env))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_HVfrom_iff_sats:
assumes
  nth(a, env) = aa nth(x, env) = xx nth(f, env) = ff nth(h, env) = hh
  a ∈ nat x ∈ nat f ∈ nat h ∈ nat env ∈ list(A) 0 ∈ A
shows
  is_HVfrom(##A, aa, xx, ff, hh) <→ sats(A, is_HVfrom_fm(a, x, f, h), env)
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal sats_is_Vset_fm_auto:
assumes
  i ∈ nat v ∈ nat env ∈ list(A) 0 ∈ A
  i < length(env) v < length(env)
shows
  is_Vset(##A, nth(i, env), nth(v, env))
  <→ sats(A, ?ivs_fm(i, v), env)
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal is_Vset_iff_sats:
assumes
  nth(i, env) = ii nth(v, env) = vv
  i ∈ nat v ∈ nat env ∈ list(A) 0 ∈ A
  i < length(env) v < length(env)
shows
  is_Vset(##A, ii, vv) <→ sats(A, ?ivs_fm(i, v), env)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) memrel_eclose_sing :
  a ∈ M ⇒ ∃sa ∈ M. ∃esa ∈ M. ∃mesa ∈ M.
  upair(##M, a, a, sa) & is_eclose(##M, sa, esa) & membership(##M, esa, mesa)

  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) trans_repl_HVFrom :
assumes
  A ∈ M i ∈ M
shows
  transrec_replacement(##M, is_HVfrom(##M, A), i)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) meclose_pow : M_eclose_pow(##M)
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_eclose_pow ##M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) repl_gen :
  assumes
    f_abs:  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies is\_F(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$ 
    and
    f_sats:  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies sats(M, f\_fm, Cons(x, Cons(y, env))) \longleftrightarrow is\_F(\#\#M, x, y)$ 
    and
    f_form: f_fm ∈ formula
    and
    f_arty: arity(f_fm) = 2
    and
    env ∈ list(M)
  shows
    strong_replacement(##M,  $\lambda x y. y = f(x)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) sep_in_M :
  assumes
     $\varphi \in formula$   $env \in list(M)$ 
     $arity(\varphi) \leq 1 \#+ length(env) A \in M$  and
    satsQ:  $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies sats(M, \varphi, [x] @ env) \longleftrightarrow Q(x)$ 
  shows
     $\{y \in A . Q(y)\} \in M$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

11 Transitive set models of ZF

This theory defines the locale *M_ZF_trans* for transitive models of ZF, and the associated *forcing_data* that adds a forcing notion

```

theory Forcing_Data
  imports
    Forcing_Notions
    ZF-Constructible-Trans.Relative
    ZF-Constructible-Trans.Formula
    Interface

begin

```

```

lemma Transset_M :
```

$\text{Transset}(M) \implies y \in x \implies x \in M \implies y \in M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

locale M_ZF =
  fixes M
  assumes
    upair_ax:      upair_ax(##M)
    and Union_ax:   Union_ax(##M)
    and power_ax:   power_ax(##M)
    and extensionality: extensionality(##M)
    and foundation_ax: foundation_ax(##M)
    and infinity_ax: infinity_ax(##M)
    and separation_ax:    $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 \ \# +$ 
    length(env)  $\implies$ 
                separation(##M,  $\lambda x. \ sats(M, \varphi, [x] @ env)$ )
    and replacement_ax:  $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \ \# +$ 
    length(env)  $\implies$ 
                strong_replacement(##M,  $\lambda x \ y. \ sats(M, \varphi, [x, y] @ env)$ )

locale M_ctm = M_ZF +
  fixes enum
  assumes M_countable:   enum  $\in bij(nat, M)$ 
  and trans_M:          Transset(M)

begin
interpretation intf: M_ZF_trans M
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas transitivity = Transset_intf[OF trans_M]

lemma zero_in_M: 0  $\in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma tuples_in_M: A  $\in M \implies B \in M \implies \langle A, B \rangle \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nat_in_M : nat  $\in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma n_in_M : n  $\in nat \implies n \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mtriv: M_trivial(##M)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mtrans: M_trans(##M)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mbasic:  $M_{basic}(\#\#M)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mtranc1:  $M_{tranc1}(\#\#M)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mdatatype:  $M_{datatype}(\#\#M)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma meclose:  $M_{eclose}(\#\#M)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma meclose_pow:  $M_{eclose\_pow}(\#\#M)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

```

sublocale  $M_{ctm} \subseteq M_{trivial} \#\#M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale  $M_{ctm} \subseteq M_{trans} \#\#M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale  $M_{ctm} \subseteq M_{basic} \#\#M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale  $M_{ctm} \subseteq M_{tranc1} \#\#M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale  $M_{ctm} \subseteq M_{datatype} \#\#M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale  $M_{ctm} \subseteq M_{eclose} \#\#M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale  $M_{ctm} \subseteq M_{eclose\_pow} \#\#M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

context  $M_{ctm}$ 
begin

```

11.1 Collects in M

```
lemma Collect_in_M_0p :
```

assumes

$Qfm : Q_fm \in formula$ **and**
 $Qarty : arity(Q_fm) = 1$ **and**
 $Qsats : \bigwedge x. x \in M \implies sats(M, Q_fm, [x]) \longleftrightarrow is_Q(\#\#M, x)$ **and**
 $Qabs : \bigwedge x. x \in M \implies is_Q(\#\#M, x) \longleftrightarrow Q(x)$ **and**
 $A \in M$

shows

$Collect(A, Q) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Collect_in_M_2p :$

assumes

$Qfm : Q_fm \in formula$ **and**
 $Qarty : arity(Q_fm) = 3$ **and**
 $params_M : y \in M z \in M$ **and**
 $Qsats : \bigwedge x. x \in M \implies sats(M, Q_fm, [x, y, z]) \longleftrightarrow is_Q(\#\#M, x, y, z)$ **and**
 $Qabs : \bigwedge x. x \in M \implies is_Q(\#\#M, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow Q(x, y, z)$ **and**
 $A \in M$

shows

$Collect(A, \lambda x. Q(x, y, z)) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Collect_in_M_4p :$

assumes

$Qfm : Q_fm \in formula$ **and**
 $Qarty : arity(Q_fm) = 5$ **and**
 $params_M : a1 \in M a2 \in M a3 \in M a4 \in M$ **and**
 $Qsats : \bigwedge x. x \in M \implies sats(M, Q_fm, [x, a1, a2, a3, a4]) \longleftrightarrow is_Q(\#\#M, x, a1, a2, a3, a4)$
and

$Qabs : \bigwedge x. x \in M \implies is_Q(\#\#M, x, a1, a2, a3, a4) \longleftrightarrow Q(x, a1, a2, a3, a4)$ **and**
 $A \in M$

shows

$Collect(A, \lambda x. Q(x, a1, a2, a3, a4)) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Repl_in_M :$

assumes

$f_fm : f_fm \in formula$ **and**
 $f_ar : arity(f_fm) \leq 2 \# + length(env)$ **and**
 $fsats : \bigwedge x y. x \in M \implies y \in M \implies sats(M, f_fm, [x, y] @ env) \longleftrightarrow is_f(x, y)$ **and**
 $fabs : \bigwedge x y. x \in M \implies y \in M \implies is_f(x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$ **and**
 $fclosed : \bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f(x) \in M$ **and**
 $A \in M env \in list(M)$

shows $\{f(x). x \in A\} \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

11.2 A forcing locale and generic filters

```

locale forcing_data = forcing_notion + M_ctm +
assumes P_in_M:           P ∈ M
and leq_in_M:             leq ∈ M

begin

lemma transD : Transset(M) ==> y ∈ M ==> y ⊆ M
⟨proof⟩

lemmas P_sub_M = transD[OF trans_M P_in_M]

definition
M_generic :: i⇒o where
M_generic(G) == filter(G) ∧ (∀ D∈M. D⊆P ∧ dense(D)→D∩G≠0)

lemma M_genericD [dest]: M_generic(G) ==> x∈G ==> x∈P
⟨proof⟩

lemma M_generic_leqD [dest]: M_generic(G) ==> p∈G ==> q∈P ==> p≤q ==>
q∈G
⟨proof⟩

lemma M_generic_compatD [dest]: M_generic(G) ==> p∈G ==> r∈G ==> ∃ q∈G.
q≤p ∧ q≤r
⟨proof⟩

lemma M_generic_denseD [dest]: M_generic(G) ==> dense(D) ==> D⊆P ==> D∈M
==> ∃ q∈G. q∈D
⟨proof⟩

lemma G_nonempty: M_generic(G) ==> G≠0
⟨proof⟩

lemma one_in_G :
assumes M_generic(G)
shows one ∈ G
⟨proof⟩

lemma G_subset_M: M_generic(G) ==> G ⊆ M
⟨proof⟩

declare iff_trans [trans]

lemma generic_filter_existence:
p∈P ==> ∃ G. p∈G ∧ M_generic(G)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma compat_in_abs :
  assumes
     $A \in M \ r \in M \ p \in M \ q \in M$ 
  shows
     $is\_compat\_in(\#\#M, A, r, p, q) \longleftrightarrow compat\_in(A, r, p, q)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  compat_in_fm ::  $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
   $compat\_in\_fm(A, r, p, q) \equiv$ 
     $Exists(And(Member(0, succ(A)), Exists(And(pair\_fm(1, p\#+2, 0),$ 
       $And(Member(0, r\#+2),$ 
       $Exists(And(pair\_fm(2, q\#+3, 0), Member(0, r\#+3)))))))$ 

lemma compat_in_fm_type[TC] :
   $\llbracket A \in nat; r \in nat; p \in nat; q \in nat \rrbracket \implies compat\_in\_fm(A, r, p, q) \in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sats_compat_in_fm:
  assumes
     $A \in nat \ r \in nat \ p \in nat \ q \in nat \ env \in list(M)$ 
  shows
     $sats(M, compat\_in\_fm(A, r, p, q), env) \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $is\_compat\_in(\#\#M, nth(A, env), nth(r, env), nth(p, env), nth(q, env))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

12 The ZFC axioms, internalized

```

theory Internal_ZFC_Axioms
  imports
    Forcing_Data

begin

schematic_goal ZF_union_auto:
  Union_ax( $\#\#A$ )  $\longleftrightarrow (A, [] \models ?zunion)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

   $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma ZF_union_fm_ty[TC] :
  ZF_union_fm  $\in formula$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_ZF_union_fm* :
 $(A, \emptyset \models ZF_union_fm) \longleftrightarrow Union_ax(\#\#A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union_ax_iff_sats* :
 $Union_ax(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, \emptyset \models ZF_union_fm)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal *ZF_power_auto*:
 $power_ax(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, \emptyset \models ?zfpow)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *ZF_power_fm_ty[TC]* :
 $ZF_power_fm \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_ZF_power_fm* :
 $(A, \emptyset \models ZF_power_fm) \longleftrightarrow power_ax(\#\#A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *power_ax_iff_sats* :
 $power_ax(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, \emptyset \models ZF_power_fm)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal *ZF_pairing_auto*:
 $upair_ax(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, \emptyset \models ?zfpair)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *ZF_pairing_fm_ty[TC]* :
 $ZF_pairing_fm \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_ZF_pairing_fm* :
 $(A, \emptyset \models ZF_pairing_fm) \longleftrightarrow upair_ax(\#\#A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upair_ax_iff_sats* :
 $upair_ax(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, \emptyset \models ZF_pairing_fm)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal *ZF_foundation_auto*:
 $foundation_ax(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, \emptyset \models ?zfpow)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $ZF_foundation_fm_ty[TC]$:
 $ZF_foundation_fm \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_ZF_foundation_fm$:
 $(A, \emptyset \models ZF_foundation_fm) \longleftrightarrow foundation_ax(\#\#A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $foundation_ax_iff_sats$:
 $foundation_ax(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, \emptyset \models ZF_foundation_fm)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal $ZF_extensionality_auto$:
 $extensionality(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, \emptyset \models ?zfpow)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $ZF_extensionality_fm_ty[TC]$:
 $ZF_extensionality_fm \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_ZF_extensionality_fm$:
 $(A, \emptyset \models ZF_extensionality_fm) \longleftrightarrow extensionality(\#\#A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $extensionality_iff_sats$:
 $extensionality(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, \emptyset \models ZF_extensionality_fm)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal $ZF_infinity_auto$:
 $infinity_ax(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, \emptyset \models (?\varphi(i,j,h)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $ZF_infinity_fm_ty[TC]$:
 $ZF_infinity_fm \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_ZF_infinity_fm$:
 $(A, \emptyset \models ZF_infinity_fm) \longleftrightarrow infinity_ax(\#\#A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $infinity_iff_sats$:

infinity_ax(##A) \longleftrightarrow (A, [] \models ZF_infinity_fm)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal ZF_choice_auto:
choice_ax(##A) \longleftrightarrow (A, [] \models (? $\varphi(i,j,h)$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(ML)

lemma ZF_choice_fm_ty[TC] :
ZF_choice_fm \in formula
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sats_ZF_choice_fm :
(A, [] \models ZF_choice_fm) \longleftrightarrow choice_ax(##A)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma choice_iff_sats :
choice_ax(##A) \longleftrightarrow (A, [] \models ZF_choice_fm)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

syntax
_choice :: i (AC)

translations
AC \rightarrow CONST ZF_choice_fm

lemmas ZFC_fm_defs = ZF_extensionality_fm_def ZF.foundation_fm_def ZF_pairing_fm_def
ZF_union_fm_def ZF_infinity_fm_def ZF_power_fm_def ZF_choice_fm_def

lemmas ZFC_fm_sats = ZF_extensionality_auto ZF.foundation_auto ZF_pairing_auto
ZF_union_auto ZF_infinity_auto ZF_power_auto ZF_choice_auto

definition

ZF_fin :: i where
ZF_fin \equiv { ZF_extensionality_fm, ZF.foundation_fm, ZF_pairing_fm,
ZF_union_fm, ZF_infinity_fm, ZF_power_fm }

definition

ZFC_fin :: i where
ZFC_fin \equiv ZF_fin \cup { ZF_choice_fm }

lemma ZFC_fin_type : ZFC_fin \subseteq formula
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.1 The Axiom of Separation, internalized

lemma iterates_Forall_type [TC]:
[n \in nat; p \in formula] \implies Forall^n(p) \in formula
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma last_init_eq :
  assumes  $l \in list(A)$   $length(l) = succ(n)$ 
  shows  $\exists a \in A. \exists l' \in list(A). l = l' @ [a]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma take_drop_eq :
  assumes  $l \in list(M)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge n . n < succ(length(l)) \implies l = take(n, l) @ drop(n, l)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma list_split :
  assumes  $n \leq succ(length(rest))$   $rest \in list(M)$ 
  shows  $\exists re \in list(M). \exists st \in list(M). rest = re @ st \wedge length(re) = pred(n)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sats_nForall:
  assumes
     $\varphi \in formula$ 
  shows
     $n \in nat \implies ms \in list(M) \implies$ 
     $M, ms \models (Forall^n(\varphi)) \leftrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall rest \in list(M). length(rest) = n \longrightarrow M, rest @ ms \models \varphi)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
   $sep\_body\_fm :: i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $sep\_body\_fm(p) == Forall(Exists(Forall($ 
     $Iff(Member(0,1), And(Member(0,2),$ 
     $incr\_bv1^2(p))))))$ 

lemma sep_body_fm_type [TC]:  $p \in formula \implies sep\_body\_fm(p) \in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sats_sep_body_fm:
  assumes
     $\varphi \in formula$   $ms \in list(M)$   $rest \in list(M)$ 
  shows
     $M, rest @ ms \models sep\_body\_fm(\varphi) \leftrightarrow$ 
     $separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. M, [x] @ rest @ ms \models \varphi)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
   $ZF\_separation\_fm :: i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $ZF\_separation\_fm(p) == Forall^{\pred(\arity(p))}(sep\_body\_fm(p))$ 

lemma ZF_separation_fm_type [TC]:  $p \in formula \implies ZF\_separation\_fm(p) \in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sats_ZF_separation_fm_iff:
  assumes
     $\varphi \in formula$ 
  shows
     $(M, \emptyset \models (ZF\_separation\_fm(\varphi)))$ 
     $\longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall env \in list(M). arity(\varphi) \leq 1 \# + length(env) \longrightarrow$ 
       $separation(\#\# M, \lambda x. M, [x] @ env \models \varphi))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

12.2 The Axiom of Replacement, internalized

```

schematic_goal sats_univalent_fm_auto:
  assumes

```

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_iff_sats: & \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies \\
 & Q(x, z) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models Q1_fm \\
 & \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies \\
 & Q(x, y) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models Q2_fm
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$asms: nth(i, env) = B \quad i \in nat \quad env \in list(A)$$

shows

$$univalent(\#\# A, B, Q) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?ufm(i), env)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

lemma univalent_fm_type [TC]: q1  $\in formula \implies$  q2  $\in formula \implies$  i  $\in nat \implies$ 
  univalent_fm(q2, q1, i)  $\in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma sats_univalent_fm :

assumes

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_iff_sats: & \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies \\
 & Q(x, z) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models Q1_fm \\
 & \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies \\
 & Q(x, y) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models Q2_fm
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$asms: nth(i, env) = B \quad i \in nat \quad env \in list(A)$$

shows

$$sats(A, univalent_fm(Q1_fm, Q2_fm, i), env) \longleftrightarrow univalent(\#\# A, B, Q)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

swap_vars :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$swap_vars(\varphi) =$

$Exists(Exists(And(Equal(0, 3), And(Equal(1, 2), iterates(\lambda p. incr_bv(p)^{‘2}, 2, \varphi))))))$

```

lemma swap_vars_type[TC] :
 $\varphi \in formula \implies swap\_vars(\varphi) \in formula$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_swap_vars :
 $[x,y] @ env \in list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$ 
 $sats(M, swap\_vars(\varphi), [x,y] @ env) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, \varphi, [y,x] @ env)$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition
univalent_Q1 ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
 $univalent\_Q1(\varphi) \equiv incr\_bv1(swap\_vars(\varphi))$ 

definition
univalent_Q2 ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
 $univalent\_Q2(\varphi) \equiv incr\_bv(swap\_vars(\varphi))`0$ 

lemma univalent_Qs_type [TC]:
assumes  $\varphi \in formula$ 
shows  $univalent\_Q1(\varphi) \in formula$   $univalent\_Q2(\varphi) \in formula$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_univalent_fm_assm:
assumes
 $x \in A$   $y \in A$   $z \in A$   $env \in list(A)$   $\varphi \in formula$ 
shows
 $(A, ([x,z] @ env) \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models (univalent\_Q1(\varphi))$ 
 $(A, ([x,y] @ env) \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models (univalent\_Q2(\varphi))$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition
rep_body_fm ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
 $rep\_body\_fm(p) == Forall(Implies($ 
 $univalent\_fm(univalent\_Q1(incr\_bv(p)`2), univalent\_Q2(incr\_bv(p)`2), 0),$ 
 $Exists(Forall($ 
 $Iff(Member(0,1), Exists(And(Member(0,3), incr\_bv(incr\_bv(p)`2)`2)))))))$ 

lemma rep_body_fm_type [TC]:  $p \in formula \implies rep\_body\_fm(p) \in formula$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas ZF_replacement_simps = formula_add_params1[of  $\varphi$  2 - M [-,-] ]
sats_incr_bv_iff[of _ - M - []] — simplifies iterates of  $\lambda x. incr\_bv(x)`0$ 
sats_incr_bv_iff[of _ - M - [-,-]] — simplifies  $\lambda x. incr\_bv(x)`2$ 
sats_incr_bv1_iff[of _ - M] sats_swap_vars for  $\varphi$  M

lemma sats_rep_body_fm:
assumes
 $\varphi \in formula$   $ms \in list(M)$   $rest \in list(M)$ 
shows

```

$M, rest @ ms \models rep_body_fm(\varphi) \longleftrightarrow$
 $strong_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x y. M, [x,y] @ rest @ ms \models \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$ZF_replacement_fm :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $ZF_replacement_fm(p) \equiv Forall^{\wedge}(pred(pred(arity(p))))(rep_body_fm(p))$

lemma $ZF_replacement_fm_type$ [TC]: $p \in formula \implies ZF_replacement_fm(p) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_ZF_replacement_fm_iff$:

assumes

$\varphi \in formula$

shows

$(M, [] \models (ZF_replacement_fm(\varphi)))$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall env \in list(M). arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \# + length(env) \longrightarrow$
 $strong_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x y. sats(M, \varphi, [x,y] @ env)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$ZF_inf :: i$ **where**

$ZF_inf = \{ ZF_separation_fm(p) . p \in formula \} \cup \{ ZF_replacement_fm(p) . p \in formula \}$

lemma $Un_subset_formula$: $A \subseteq formula \wedge B \subseteq formula \implies A \cup B \subseteq formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ZF_inf_subset_formula : ZF_inf \subseteq formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$ZFC :: i$ **where**

$ZFC == ZF_inf \cup ZFC_fin$

definition

$ZF :: i$ **where**

$ZF == ZF_inf \cup ZF_fin$

definition

$ZF_minus_P :: i$ **where**

$ZF_minus_P == ZF - \{ ZF_power_fm \}$

lemma $ZFC_subset_formula$: $ZFC \subseteq formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Satisfaction of a set of sentences

```

definition
satT :: [i,i] => o ( _ |=_ [36,36] 60) where
A |=_ Φ ≡ ∀φ∈Φ. (A,[] |=_ φ)

lemma satTI [intro!]:
assumes ∧φ. φ∈Φ => A,[] |=_ φ
shows A |=_ Φ
⟨proof⟩

lemma satTD [dest] :A |=_ Φ => φ∈Φ => A,[] |=_ φ
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_ZFC_ iff_sats_ZF_AC:
(N |=_ ZFC) ↔ (N |=_ ZF) ∧ (N, [] |=_ AC)
⟨proof⟩

lemma M_ZF_ iff_M_satT: M_ZF(M) ↔ (M |=_ ZF)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

13 Names and generic extensions

```

theory Names
imports
  Forcing_Data
  Interface
  Recursion_Thms
  Synthetic_Definition
begin

definition
SepReplace :: [i, i⇒i, i⇒ o] => i where
SepReplace(A,b,Q) == {y . x∈A, y=b(x) ∧ Q(x)}

syntax
_Replace :: [i, pttrn, i, o] => i ((1{ _ .. / _ ∈ _, _}))  

translations
{b .. x∈A, Q} => CONST SepReplace(A, λx. b, λx. Q)

lemma Sep_and_Replace: {b(x) .. x∈A, P(x)} = {b(x) . x∈{y∈A. P(y)}}
⟨proof⟩

lemma SepReplace_subset : A ⊆ A' => {b .. x∈A, Q} ⊆ {b .. x∈A', Q}

lemma SepReplace_iff [simp]: y∈{b(x) .. x∈A, P(x)} ↔ (∃x∈A. y=b(x) &
P(x))
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *SepReplace_dom_implies* :
 $(\bigwedge x . x \in A \implies b(x) = b'(x)) \implies \{b(x) \dots x \in A, Q(x)\} = \{b'(x) \dots x \in A, Q(x)\}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *SepReplace_pred_implies* :
 $\forall x. Q(x) \longrightarrow b(x) = b'(x) \implies \{b(x) \dots x \in A, Q(x)\} = \{b'(x) \dots x \in A, Q(x)\}$
⟨proof⟩

13.1 The well-founded relation *ed*

lemma *eclose_sing* : $x \in \text{eclose}(a) \implies x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\})$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *ecloseE* :
assumes $x \in \text{eclose}(A)$
shows $x \in A \vee (\exists B \in A . x \in \text{eclose}(B))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *eclose_singE* : $x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\}) \implies x = a \vee x \in \text{eclose}(a)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *in_eclose_sing* :
assumes $x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\})$ $a \in \text{eclose}(z)$
shows $x \in \text{eclose}(\{z\})$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *in_dom_in_eclose* :
assumes $x \in \text{domain}(z)$
shows $x \in \text{eclose}(z)$
⟨proof⟩

ed is the well-founded relation on which *val* is defined

definition
 $ed :: [i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $ed(x,y) == x \in \text{domain}(y)$

definition
 $edrel :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $edrel(A) == Rrel(ed, A)$

lemma *edI[intro!]*: $t \in \text{domain}(x) \implies ed(t,x)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *edD[dest!]*: $ed(t,x) \implies t \in \text{domain}(x)$
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma rank_ed:
  assumes ed(y,x)
  shows succ(rank(y)) ≤ rank(x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edrel_dest [dest]:  $x \in \text{edrel}(A) \implies \exists a \in A. \exists b \in A. x = \langle a, b \rangle$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edrelD :  $x \in \text{edrel}(A) \implies \exists a \in A. \exists b \in A. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge a \in \text{domain}(b)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edrelI [intro!]:  $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in \text{edrel}(A)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edrel_trans:  $\text{Transset}(A) \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in \text{edrel}(A)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma domain_trans:  $\text{Transset}(A) \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies x \in A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma relation_edrel :  $\text{relation}(\text{edrel}(A))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma field_edrel :  $\text{field}(\text{edrel}(A)) \subseteq A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edrel_sub_memrel:  $\text{edrel}(A) \subseteq \text{tranc}( \text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(A)))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_edrel :  $\text{wf}(\text{edrel}(A))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ed_induction:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. [\bigwedge y. \text{ed}(y, x) \implies Q(y)] \implies Q(x)$ 
  shows  $Q(a)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dom_under_edrel_eclose:  $\text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})) - ``\{x\} = \text{domain}(x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ed_eclose :  $\langle y, z \rangle \in \text{edrel}(A) \implies y \in \text{eclose}(z)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tr_edrel_eclose :  $\langle y, z \rangle \in \text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})) ^+ \implies y \in \text{eclose}(z)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma restrict_edrel_eq :
  assumes  $z \in \text{domain}(x)$ 

```

```

shows edrel(eclose({x})) ∩ eclose({z}) * eclose({z}) = edrel(eclose({z}))
⟨proof⟩

lemma tr_edrel_subset :
  assumes z ∈ domain(x)
  shows tr_down(edrel(eclose({x})), z) ⊆ eclose({z})
⟨proof⟩

context M_ctm
begin

lemma upairM : x ∈ M ⇒ y ∈ M ⇒ {x,y} ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

lemma singletonM : a ∈ M ⇒ {a} ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

lemma pairM : x ∈ M ⇒ y ∈ M ⇒ <x,y> ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

lemma Rep_simp : Replace(u, λ y z . z = f(y)) = {f(y) . y ∈ u}
⟨proof⟩

end

13.2 Values and check-names

context forcing_data
begin

definition
  Hcheck :: [i,i] ⇒ i where
    Hcheck(z,f) == {<f‘y,one> . y ∈ z}

definition
  check :: i ⇒ i where
    check(x) == transrec(x , Hcheck)

lemma checkD:
  check(x) = wfrec(Memrel(eclose({x})), x, Hcheck)
⟨proof⟩

definition
  rcheck :: i ⇒ i where
    rcheck(x) == Memrel(eclose({x})) ^+ 

lemma Hcheck_trancl:Hcheck(y, restrict(f,Memrel(eclose({x}))-“{y}))
```

$= Hcheck(y, \text{restrict}(f, (\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})))^+)^- \setminus \{y\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *check_trancl*: $\text{check}(x) = \text{wfrec}(\text{rcheck}(x), x, Hcheck)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rcheck_in_M* :
 $x \in M \implies \text{rcheck}(x) \in M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aux_def_check*: $x \in y \implies$
 $\text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{y\})), x, Hcheck) =$
 $\text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})), x, Hcheck)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def_check* : $\text{check}(y) = \{ \langle \text{check}(w), \text{one} \rangle . w \in y \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def_checkS* :
fixes n
assumes $n \in \text{nat}$
shows $\text{check}(\text{succ}(n)) = \text{check}(n) \cup \{ \langle \text{check}(n), \text{one} \rangle \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *field_Memrel2* : $x \in M \implies \text{field}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\}))) \subseteq M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition
 $Hv :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Hv(G, x, f) == \{ f'y .. y \in \text{domain}(x), \exists p \in P. \langle y, p \rangle \in x \wedge p \in G \}$

The function *val* interprets a name in M according to a (generic) filter G . Note the definition in terms of the well-founded recursor.

definition
 $val :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $val(G, \tau) == \text{wfrec}(\text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{\tau\})), \tau, Hv(G))$

lemma *aux_def_val*:
assumes $z \in \text{domain}(x)$
shows $\text{wfrec}(\text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})), z, Hv(G)) = \text{wfrec}(\text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{z\})), z, Hv(G))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The next lemma provides the usual recursive expression for the definition of *val*

lemma *def_val*: $\text{val}(G, x) = \{ \text{val}(G, t) .. t \in \text{domain}(x), \exists p \in P. \langle t, p \rangle \in x \wedge p \in G \}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_mono : x \subseteq y \implies val(G, x) \subseteq val(G, y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Check-names are the canonical names for elements of the ground model.
Here we show that this is the case.

lemma $valcheck : one \in G \implies one \in P \implies val(G, check(y)) = y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_of_name :$
 $val(G, \{x \in A \times P. Q(x)\}) = \{val(G, t) \dots t \in A, \exists p \in P. Q(<t, p>) \wedge p \in G\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_of_name_alt :$
 $val(G, \{x \in A \times P. Q(x)\}) = \{val(G, t) \dots t \in A, \exists p \in P \cap G. Q(<t, p>)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_only_names : val(F, \tau) = val(F, \{x \in \tau. \exists t \in domain(\tau). \exists p \in P. x = <t, p>\})$

(is $_ = val(F, ?name)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_only_pairs : val(F, \tau) = val(F, \{x \in \tau. \exists t p. x = <t, p>\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_subset_domain_times_range : val(F, \tau) \subseteq val(F, domain(\tau) \times range(\tau))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_subset_domain_times_P : val(F, \tau) \subseteq val(F, domain(\tau) \times P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$GenExt :: i \Rightarrow i \quad (M[.])$
where $GenExt(G) == \{val(G, \tau). \tau \in M\}$

lemma $val_of_elem : <\vartheta, p> \in \pi \implies p \in G \implies p \in P \implies val(G, \vartheta) \in val(G, \pi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $elem_of_val : x \in val(G, \pi) \implies \exists \vartheta \in domain(\pi). val(G, \vartheta) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $elem_of_val_pair : x \in val(G, \pi) \implies \exists \vartheta. \exists p \in G. <\vartheta, p> \in \pi \wedge val(G, \vartheta) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $elem_of_val_pair' :$
assumes $\pi \in M$ $x \in val(G, \pi)$
shows $\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in G. <\vartheta, p> \in \pi \wedge val(G, \vartheta) = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GenExtD*:

$x \in M[G] \implies \exists \tau \in M. x = val(G, \tau)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GenExtI*:

$x \in M \implies val(G, x) \in M[G]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset_MG* : *Transset(M[G])*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *transitivity_MG* = *Transset_intf[OF Transset_MG]*

lemma *check_n_M* :

fixes *n*

assumes *n* ∈ *nat*

shows *check(n)* ∈ *M*

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

PHcheck :: $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$PHcheck(o, f, y, p) == p \in M \wedge (\exists fy[\#\#M]. fun_apply(\#\#M, f, y, fy) \wedge pair(\#\#M, fy, o, p))$

definition

is_Hcheck :: $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$is_Hcheck(o, z, f, hc) == is_Replace(\#\#M, z, PHcheck(o, f), hc)$

lemma *one_in_M*: *one* ∈ *M*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def_PHcheck*:

assumes

$z \in M$ $f \in M$

shows

$Hcheck(z, f) = Replace(z, PHcheck(one, f))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

PHcheck_fm :: $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$PHcheck_fm(o, f, y, p) == Exists(And(fun_apply_fm(succ(f), succ(y), 0),$
 $, pair_fm(0, succ(o), succ(p))))$

```

lemma PHcheck_type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; u ∈ nat |] ==> PHcheck_fm(x,y,z,u) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_PHcheck_fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; u ∈ nat ; env ∈ list(M)|]
  ==> sats(M,PHcheck_fm(x,y,z,u),env) ↔
    PHcheck(nth(x,env),nth(y,env),nth(z,env),nth(u,env))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  is_Hcheck_fm :: [i,i,i,i] ⇒ i where
  is_Hcheck_fm(o,z,f,hc) == Replace_fm(z,PHcheck_fm(succ(succ(o)),succ(succ(f)),0,1),hc)

lemma is_Hcheck_type [TC]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; u ∈ nat |] ==> is_Hcheck_fm(x,y,z,u) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_is_Hcheck_fm [simp]:
  [| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; u ∈ nat ; env ∈ list(M)|]
  ==> sats(M,is_Hcheck_fm(x,y,z,u),env) ↔
    is_Hcheck(nth(x,env),nth(y,env),nth(z,env),nth(u,env))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wfrec_Hcheck :
  assumes
    X ∈ M
  shows
    wfrec_replacement(##M,is_Hcheck(one),rcheck(X))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma repl_PHcheck :
  assumes
    f ∈ M
  shows
    strong_replacement(##M,PHcheck(one,f))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma univ_PHcheck : [z ∈ M ; f ∈ M] ==> univalent(##M,z,PHcheck(one,f))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma relation2_Hcheck :
  relation2(##M,is_Hcheck(one),Hcheck)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma PHcheck_closed :
 $\llbracket z \in M ; f \in M ; x \in z; \text{PHcheck}(\text{one}, f, x, y) \rrbracket \implies (\#\#M)(y)$ 
<proof>

lemma Hcheck_closed :
 $\forall y \in M. \forall g \in M. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow \text{Hcheck}(y, g) \in M$ 
<proof>

lemma wf_rcheck :  $x \in M \implies \text{wf}(\text{rcheck}(x))$ 
<proof>

lemma trans_rcheck :  $x \in M \implies \text{trans}(\text{rcheck}(x))$ 
<proof>

lemma relation_rcheck :  $x \in M \implies \text{relation}(\text{rcheck}(x))$ 
<proof>

lemma check_in_M :  $x \in M \implies \text{check}(x) \in M$ 
<proof>

end

definition
is_singleton ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
is_singleton( $A, x, z$ ) ==  $\exists c[A]. \text{empty}(A, c) \wedge \text{is_cons}(A, x, c, z)$ 

lemma (in M_trivial) singleton_abs[simp] :  $\llbracket M(x) ; M(s) \rrbracket \implies \text{is_singleton}(M, x, s)$ 
 $\longleftrightarrow s = \{x\}$ 
<proof>

definition
singleton_fm ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
singleton_fm( $i, j$ ) ==  $\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{empty\_fm}(0), \text{cons\_fm}(\text{succ}(i), 0, \text{succ}(j))))$ 

lemma singleton_type[TC] :  $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{singleton\_fm}(x, y) \in \text{formula}$ 
<proof>

lemma sats_singleton_fm:
 $\llbracket i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{singleton\_fm}(i, j), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_singleton}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(i, \text{env}), \text{nth}(j, \text{env}))$ 
<proof>

lemma is_singleton_iff_sats:
 $\llbracket \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{is_singleton}(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{singleton\_fm}(i, j), \text{env})$ 
<proof>

```

```

context forcing_data begin

definition
  is_rcheck ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
    is_rcheck( $x,z$ ) ==  $\exists r \in M. \text{tran\_closure}(\#\#M,r,z) \wedge (\exists ec \in M. \text{membership}(\#\#M,ec,r)$ 
     $\wedge$ 
       $(\exists s \in M. \text{is\_singleton}(\#\#M,x,s) \wedge \text{is\_eclose}(\#\#M,s,ec)))$ 

lemma rcheck_abs :
   $\llbracket x \in M ; r \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_rcheck}(x,r) \longleftrightarrow r = \text{rcheck}(x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

schematic_goal rcheck_fm_auto:
assumes
   $\text{nth}(i,\text{env}) = x \quad \text{nth}(j,\text{env}) = z$ 
   $i \in \text{nat} \quad j \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows
   $\text{is\_rcheck}(x,z) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M,?rch(i,j),\text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma sats_rcheck_fm :
assumes
   $i \in \text{nat} \quad j \in \text{nat} \quad i < \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad j < \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows
   $\text{sats}(M,\text{rcheck\_fm}(i,j),\text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_rcheck}(\text{nth}(i,\text{env}),\text{nth}(j,\text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rcheck_fm_type[TC] :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{rcheck\_fm}(x,y) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
  is_check ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
    is_check( $x,z$ ) ==  $\exists rch \in M. \text{is\_rcheck}(x,rch) \wedge \text{is\_wfrec}(\#\#M,\text{is\_Hcheck}(one),rhc,x,z)$ 

lemma check_abs :
assumes
   $x \in M \quad z \in M$ 
shows
   $\text{is\_check}(x,z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{check}(x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
  check_fm ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  check_fm( $x,o,z$ ) == Exists(And(rcheck_fm( $1 \#+ x, 0$ ),

```

```
is_wfreq_fm(is_Hcheck_fm(6#+o,2,1,0),0,1#+x,1#+z)))
```

```
lemma check_fm_type[TC] :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; o \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{check\_fm}(x, o, z) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_check_fm :
  assumes
     $\text{nth}(o, \text{env}) = \text{one} \quad x \in \text{nat} \quad z \in \text{nat} \quad o \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad x < \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad z < \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
  shows
     $\text{sats}(M, \text{check\_fm}(x, o, z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_check}(\text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma check_replacement:
   $\{\text{check}(x). \quad x \in P\} \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma pair_check :  $\llbracket p \in M ; y \in M \rrbracket \implies (\exists c \in M. \text{is\_check}(p, c) \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, c, p, y))$ 
   $\longleftrightarrow y = \langle \text{check}(p), p \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma M_subset_MG :  $\text{one} \in G \implies M \subseteq M[G]$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

The name for the generic filter

```
definition
   $G_{\cdot\cdot} :: i \text{ where}$ 
   $G_{\cdot\cdot} == \{\langle \text{check}(p), p \rangle . \quad p \in P\}$ 

lemma G_dot_in_M :
   $G_{\cdot\cdot} \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma val_G_dot :
  assumes  $G \subseteq P$ 
   $\text{one} \in G$ 
  shows  $\text{val}(G, G_{\cdot\cdot}) = G$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma G_in_Gen_Ext :
  assumes  $G \subseteq P$  and  $\text{one} \in G$ 
  shows  $G \in M[G]$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma fst_snd_closed:  $p \in M \implies \text{fst}(p) \in M \wedge \text{snd}(p) \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale G_generic = forcing_data +
  fixes G :: i
  assumes generic : M_generic(G)
begin

lemma zero_in_MG :
   $0 \in M[G]$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma G_nonempty:  $G \neq 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end
end

```

14 Well-founded relation on names

theory FrecR **imports** Names Synthetic_Definition **begin**

```

lemmas sep_rules' = nth_0 nth_ConsI FOL_iff_sats function_iff_sats
          fun_plus_iff_sats
          omega_iff_sats FOL_sats_iff

```

frecR is the well-founded relation on names that allows us to define forcing for atomic formulas.

definition

```

is_hcomp ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
is_hcomp(M, is_f, is_g, a, w) ==  $\exists z[M]. \text{is\_g}(a, z) \wedge \text{is\_f}(z, w)$ 

```

lemma (in M_trivial) hcomp_abs:

```

assumes
  is_f_abs:  $\bigwedge a z. M(a) \implies M(z) \implies \text{is\_f}(a, z) \longleftrightarrow z = f(a)$  and
  is_g_abs:  $\bigwedge a z. M(a) \implies M(z) \implies \text{is\_g}(a, z) \longleftrightarrow z = g(a)$  and
  g_closed:  $\bigwedge a. M(a) \implies M(g(a))$ 
  M(a) M(w)
shows
  is_hcomp(M, is_f, is_g, a, w)  $\longleftrightarrow w = f(g(a))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

definition

```

hcomp_fm ::  $[i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i, i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
hcomp_fm(pf, pg, a, w) == Exists(And(pg(succ(a), 0), pf(0, succ(w))))

```

```

lemma sats_hcomp_fm:
assumes
  f_iff_sats: $\bigwedge a b z. a \in \text{nat} \implies b \in \text{nat} \implies z \in M \implies$ 
    is_f(nth(a, Cons(z, env)), nth(b, Cons(z, env)))  $\longleftrightarrow$  sats(M, pf(a, b), Cons(z, env))
  and
  g_iff_sats: $\bigwedge a b z. a \in \text{nat} \implies b \in \text{nat} \implies z \in M \implies$ 
    is_g(nth(a, Cons(z, env)), nth(b, Cons(z, env)))  $\longleftrightarrow$  sats(M, pg(a, b), Cons(z, env))
  and
  a_in_nat w_in_nat env_in_list(M)
shows
  sats(M, hcomp_fm(pf, pg, a, w), env)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is_hcomp(##M, is_f, is_g, nth(a, env), nth(w, env))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition
  ftype ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    ftype == fst

definition
  name1 ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    name1(x) == fst(snd(x))

definition
  name2 ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    name2(x) == fst(snd(snd(x)))

definition
  cond_of ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    cond_of(x) == snd(snd(snd(x)))

lemma components_simp:
  ftype(<f, n1, n2, c>) = f
  name1(<f, n1, n2, c>) = n1
  name2(<f, n1, n2, c>) = n2
  cond_of(<f, n1, n2, c>) = c
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  eclose_n ::  $[i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
    eclose_n(name, x) = eclose({name(x)})

definition
  eclose_N ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    eclose_N(x) = eclose_n(name1, x)  $\cup$  eclose_n(name2, x)

lemma components_in_eclose :
  n1 ∈ eclose_N(<f, n1, n2, c>)
  n2 ∈ eclose_N(<f, n1, n2, c>)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *names_simp* = *components_simp*(2) *components_simp*(3)

lemma *ecloseNI1* :

assumes $x \in \text{eclose}(n1)$

shows $x \in \text{ecloseN}(<f, n1, n2, c>)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ecloseNI2* :

assumes $y \in \text{eclose}(n2)$

shows $y \in \text{ecloseN}(<f, n1, n2, c>)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *ecloseNI* = *ecloseNI1* *ecloseNI2*

lemma *ecloseN_mono* :

assumes $u \in \text{ecloseN}(x)$ $\text{name1}(x) \in \text{ecloseN}(y)$ $\text{name2}(x) \in \text{ecloseN}(y)$

shows $u \in \text{ecloseN}(y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

is_fst :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$\text{is_fst}(M, x, t) == (\exists z[M]. \text{pair}(M, t, z, x)) \vee$

$(\neg(\exists z[M]. \exists w[M]. \text{pair}(M, w, z, x)) \wedge \text{empty}(M, t))$

definition

fst_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{fst_fm}(x, t) \equiv \text{Or}(\text{Exists}(\text{pair_fm}(\text{succ}(t), 0, \text{succ}(x))),$

$\text{And}(\text{Neg}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{pair_fm}(0, 1, 2 \#+ x)))), \text{empty_fm}(t)))$

lemma *sats_fst_fm* :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{fst_fm}(x, y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$

$\text{is_fst}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

is_ftype :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$\text{is_ftype} \equiv \text{is_fst}$

definition

ftype_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{ftype_fm} \equiv \text{fst_fm}$

lemma *sats_ftype_fm* :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{ftype_fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\quad \text{is_ftype}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is_ftype_iff_sats*:

assumes

 $\text{nth}(a,\text{env}) = aa \quad \text{nth}(b,\text{env}) = bb \quad a \in \text{nat} \quad b \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

 $\text{is_ftype}(\#\#A, aa, bb) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{ftype_fm}(a,b), \text{env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{is_snd} :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_snd}(M, x, t) == (\exists z[M]. \text{pair}(M, z, t, x)) \vee$
 $\quad (\neg(\exists z[M]. \exists w[M]. \text{pair}(M, z, w, x)) \wedge \text{empty}(M, t))$

definition

$\text{snd_fm} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{snd_fm}(x, t) \equiv \text{Or}(\text{Exists}(\text{pair_fm}(0, \text{succ}(t), \text{succ}(x))),$
 $\quad \text{And}(\text{Neg}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{pair_fm}(1, 0, 2 \#+ x)))), \text{empty_fm}(t)))$

lemma *sats_snd_fm* :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{snd_fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\quad \text{is_snd}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{is_name1} :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_name1}(M, x, t2) == \text{is_hcomp}(M, \text{is_fst}(M), \text{is_snd}(M), x, t2)$

definition

$\text{name1_fm} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{name1_fm}(x, t) \equiv \text{hcomp_fm}(\text{fst_fm}, \text{snd_fm}, x, t)$

lemma *sats_name1_fm* :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{name1_fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\quad \text{is_name1}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is_name1_iff_sats*:

assumes

 $\text{nth}(a,\text{env}) = aa \quad \text{nth}(b,\text{env}) = bb \quad a \in \text{nat} \quad b \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

 $\text{is_name1}(\#\#A, aa, bb) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{name1_fm}(a,b), \text{env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

is_snd_snd :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
is_snd_snd(M, x, t) == *is_hcomp*($M, is_snd(M), is_snd(M), x, t$)

definition

snd_snd_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
snd_snd_fm(x, t) == *hcomp_fm*(*snd_fm*, *snd_fm*, x, t)

lemma *sats_snd2_fm* :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{snd_snd_fm}(x, y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is_snd_snd}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}))$
{proof}

definition

is_name2 :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
is_name2($M, x, t3$) == *is_hcomp*($M, is_fst(M), is_snd_snd(M), x, t3$)

definition

name2_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
name2_fm($x, t3$) == *hcomp_fm*(*fst_fm*, *snd_snd_fm*, $x, t3$)

lemma *sats_name2_fm* :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{name2_fm}(x, y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is_name2}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}))$
{proof}

lemma *is_name2_iff_sats*:

assumes
 $\text{nth}(a, \text{env}) = aa$ $\text{nth}(b, \text{env}) = bb$ $a \in \text{nat}$ $b \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$
shows
 $\text{is_name2}(\#\# A, aa, bb) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{name2_fm}(a, b), \text{env})$
{proof}

definition

is_cond_of :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
is_cond_of($M, x, t4$) == *is_hcomp*($M, is_snd(M), is_snd_snd(M), x, t4$)

definition

cond_of_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
cond_of_fm($x, t4$) == *hcomp_fm*(*snd_fm*, *snd_snd_fm*, $x, t4$)

lemma *sats_cond_of_fm* :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{cond_of_fm}(x, y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is_cond_of}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}))$
{proof}

```

lemma is_cond_of_iff_sats:
  assumes
     $nth(a, env) = aa \quad nth(b, env) = bb \quad a \in \text{nat} \quad b \in \text{nat} \quad env \in \text{list}(A)$ 
  shows
     $\text{is\_cond\_of}(\#\#A, aa, bb) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{cond\_of\_fm}(a, b), env)$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma components_type[TC] :
  assumes  $a \in \text{nat} \quad b \in \text{nat}$ 
  shows
     $f\text{type\_fm}(a, b) \in \text{formula}$ 
     $n\text{ame1\_fm}(a, b) \in \text{formula}$ 
     $n\text{ame2\_fm}(a, b) \in \text{formula}$ 
     $c\text{ond\_of\_fm}(a, b) \in \text{formula}$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas sats_components_fm = sats_ftype_fm sats_name1_fm sats_name2_fm sats_cond_of_fm

lemmas components_iff_sats = is_ftype_iff_sats is_name1_iff_sats is_name2_iff_sats
  is_cond_of_iff_sats

lemmas components_defs = fst_fm_def ftype_fm_def snd_fm_def snd_snd_fm_def hcomp_fm_def
  name1_fm_def name2_fm_def cond_of_fm_def

definition
  is_eclose_n ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_eclose_n( $N, is\_name, en, t$ ) ==
     $\exists n1[N]. \exists s1[N]. is\_name(N, t, n1) \wedge is\_singleton(N, n1, s1) \wedge is\_eclose(N, s1, en)$ 

definition
  eclose_n1_fm ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  eclose_n1_fm( $m, t$ ) == Exists(Exists(And(And(name1_fm( $t\# + 2, 0$ ), singleton_fm( $0, 1$ )),
    is_eclose_fm( $1, m\# + 2$ )))))

definition
  eclose_n2_fm ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  eclose_n2_fm( $m, t$ ) == Exists(Exists(And(And(name2_fm( $t\# + 2, 0$ ), singleton_fm( $0, 1$ )),
    is_eclose_fm( $1, m\# + 2$ )))))

definition
  is_ecloseN ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_ecloseN( $N, en, t$ ) ==  $\exists en1[N]. \exists en2[N].$ 
     $is\_eclose\_n(N, is\_name1, en1, t) \wedge is\_eclose\_n(N, is\_name2, en2, t) \wedge$ 
     $union(N, en1, en2, en)$ 

definition
  ecloseN_fm ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  ecloseN_fm( $en, t$ ) == Exists(Exists(And(eclose_n1_fm( $1, t\# + 2$ ),
    
```

```


$$And(eclose\_n2\_fm(0,t\#+2),union\_fm(1,0,en\#+2))))$$

lemma ecloseN_fm_type [TC] :
  
$$[\![ en \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} ]\!] \implies \text{ecloseN\_fm}(en,t) \in \text{formula}$$

  
$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma sats_ecloseN_fm [simp]:
  
$$[\![ en \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} ; env \in \text{list}(A) ]\!]$$

  
$$\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{ecloseN\_fm}(en,t), env) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_ecloseN}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(en,env), \text{nth}(t,env))$$

  
$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


definition
  
$$\text{frecR} :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

  
$$\text{frecR}(x,y) \equiv$$

    
$$(\text{ftype}(x) = 1 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 0$$

    
$$\wedge (\text{name1}(x) \in \text{domain}(\text{name1}(y)) \cup \text{domain}(\text{name2}(y)) \wedge (\text{name2}(x) =$$

    
$$\text{name1}(y) \vee \text{name2}(x) = \text{name2}(y))))$$

    
$$\vee (\text{ftype}(x) = 0 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 1 \wedge \text{name1}(x) = \text{name1}(y) \wedge \text{name2}(x) \in$$

    
$$\text{domain}(\text{name2}(y))))$$


lemma frecR_ftypeD :
  assumes frecR(x,y)
  shows ( $\text{ftype}(x) = 0 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 1$ )  $\vee$  ( $\text{ftype}(x) = 1 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 0$ )
  
$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma frecRI1:  $s \in \text{domain}(n1) \vee s \in \text{domain}(n2) \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 1, s, n1, q \rangle, \langle 0,$ 
   $n1, n2, q' \rangle)$ 
  
$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma frecRI1':  $s \in \text{domain}(n1) \cup \text{domain}(n2) \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 1, s, n1, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1,$ 
   $n2, q' \rangle)$ 
  
$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma frecRI2:  $s \in \text{domain}(n1) \vee s \in \text{domain}(n2) \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 1, s, n2, q \rangle, \langle 0,$ 
   $n1, n2, q' \rangle)$ 
  
$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma frecRI2':  $s \in \text{domain}(n1) \cup \text{domain}(n2) \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 1, s, n2, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1,$ 
   $n2, q' \rangle)$ 
  
$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma frecRI3:  $\langle s, r \rangle \in n2 \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 0, n1, s, q \rangle, \langle 1, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$ 
  
$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma frecRI3':  $s \in \text{domain}(n2) \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 0, n1, s, q \rangle, \langle 1, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$ 
  
$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma frecR_iff :
```

```

frecR(x,y)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (ftype(x) = 1  $\wedge$  ftype(y) = 0
    $\wedge$  (name1(x)  $\in$  domain(name1(y))  $\cup$  domain(name2(y))  $\wedge$  (name2(x) =
    name1(y)  $\vee$  name2(x) = name2(y)))
    $\vee$  (ftype(x) = 0  $\wedge$  ftype(y) = 1  $\wedge$  name1(x) = name1(y)  $\wedge$  name2(x)  $\in$ 
    domain(name2(y)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *frecR_D1* :

```

frecR(x,y)  $\implies$  ftype(y) = 0  $\implies$  ftype(x) = 1  $\wedge$ 
  (name1(x)  $\in$  domain(name1(y))  $\cup$  domain(name2(y))  $\wedge$  (name2(x) =
    name1(y)  $\vee$  name2(x) = name2(y)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *frecR_D2* :

```

frecR(x,y)  $\implies$  ftype(y) = 1  $\implies$  ftype(x) = 0  $\wedge$ 
  ftype(x) = 0  $\wedge$  ftype(y) = 1  $\wedge$  name1(x) = name1(y)  $\wedge$  name2(x)  $\in$ 
  domain(name2(y))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *frecR_DI* :

```

assumes frecR(⟨a,b,c,d⟩,⟨ftype(y),name1(y),name2(y),cond_of(y)⟩)
shows frecR(⟨a,b,c,d⟩,y)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```

is_frecR :: [i⇒o,i,i] ⇒ o where
  is_frecR(M,x,y) ≡ ∃ ftx[M]. ∃ n1x[M]. ∃ n2x[M]. ∃ fty[M]. ∃ n1y[M]. ∃ n2y[M].
  ∃ dn1[M]. ∃ dn2[M].
  is_ftype(M,x,ftx)  $\wedge$  is_name1(M,x,n1x)  $\wedge$  is_name2(M,x,n2x)  $\wedge$ 
  is_ftype(M,y,fty)  $\wedge$  is_name1(M,y,n1y)  $\wedge$  is_name2(M,y,n2y)
   $\wedge$  is_domain(M,n1y,dn1)  $\wedge$  is_domain(M,n2y,dn2)  $\wedge$ 
  ( (number1(M,ftx)  $\wedge$  empty(M,fty)  $\wedge$  (n1x  $\in$  dn1  $\vee$  n1x  $\in$  dn2)  $\wedge$  (n2x
  = n1y  $\vee$  n2x = n2y))
    $\vee$  (empty(M,ftx)  $\wedge$  number1(M,fty)  $\wedge$  n1x = n1y  $\wedge$  n2x  $\in$  dn2))

```

schematic_goal *sats_frecR_fm_auto*:

assumes

a ∈ nat *b* ∈ nat *env* ∈ list(*A*)

shows

```

is_frecR(#A, nth(a,env), nth(b,env))  $\longleftrightarrow$  sats(A,?fr_fm(a,env))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

⟨ML⟩

lemma *frecR_fm_type[TC]* :

```

[a ∈ nat; b ∈ nat]  $\implies$  frecR_fm(a,b) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sats_frecR_fm :
  assumes a ∈ nat b ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
  shows sats(A, frecR_fm(a,b), env)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is_frecR(##A, nth(a, env), nth(b, env))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_frecR_iff_sats:
  assumes
    nth(a, env) = aa nth(b, env) = bb a ∈ nat b ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
  shows
    is_frecR(##A, aa, bb)  $\longleftrightarrow$  sats(A, frecR_fm(a,b), env)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq_ftypep_not_frecrR:
  assumes ftype(x) = ftype(y)
  shows  $\neg$  frecR(x, y)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  rank_names :: i  $\Rightarrow$  i where
  rank_names(x) == max(rank(name1(x)), rank(name2(x)))

lemma rank_names_types [TC]:
  shows Ord(rank_names(x))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  mtype_form :: i  $\Rightarrow$  i where
  mtype_form(x) == if rank(name1(x)) < rank(name2(x)) then 0 else 2

definition
  type_form :: i  $\Rightarrow$  i where
  type_form(x) == if ftype(x) = 0 then 1 else mtype_form(x)

lemma type_form_tc [TC]:
  shows type_form(x) ∈ 3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma frecR_le_rnk_names :
  assumes frecR(x, y)
  shows rank_names(x) ≤ rank_names(y)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
   $\Gamma :: i \Rightarrow i$  where

```

$\Gamma(x) = \exists \ ** \ rank_names(x) ++ type_form(x)$

lemma $\Gamma_type [TC]:$
shows $Ord(\Gamma(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\Gamma_mono :$
assumes $freqR(x,y)$
shows $\Gamma(x) < \Gamma(y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $frecrel :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frecrel(A) \equiv Rrel(freqR,A)$

lemma $frecrelI :$
assumes $x \in A \ y \in A \ freqR(x,y)$
shows $\langle x,y \rangle \in frecrel(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecrelD :$
assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in frecrel(A1 \times A2 \times A3 \times A4)$
shows $ftype(x) \in A1 \ ftype(x) \in A1$
 $name1(x) \in A2 \ name1(y) \in A2 \ name2(x) \in A3 \ name2(x) \in A3$
 $cond_of(x) \in A4 \ cond_of(y) \in A4$
 $freqR(x,y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf_frecrel :$
shows $wf(frecrel(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $core_induction_aux:$
fixes $A1 \ A2 :: i$
assumes
 $Transset(A1)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \vartheta \ p. \ p \in A2 \implies [\bigwedge q \sigma. \ [q \in A2 ; \sigma \in domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(0,\tau,\sigma,q)] \implies$
 $Q(1,\tau,\vartheta,p)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \vartheta \ p. \ p \in A2 \implies [\bigwedge q \sigma. \ [q \in A2 ; \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta)] \implies$
 $Q(1,\sigma,\tau,q) \wedge Q(1,\sigma,\vartheta,q)] \implies Q(0,\tau,\vartheta,p)$
shows $a \in A2 \times A1 \times A1 \times A2 \implies Q(ftype(a),name1(a),name2(a),cond_of(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $def_frecrel : frecrel(A) = \{z \in A \times A. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge freqR(x,y)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecrel_fst_snd:$
 $frecrel(A) = \{z \in A \times A .$

```

    ftype(fst(z)) = 1 ∧
    ftype(snd(z)) = 0 ∧ name1(fst(z)) ∈ domain(name1(snd(z))) ∪ do-
main(name2(snd(z))) ∧
    (name2(fst(z)) = name1(snd(z)) ∨ name2(fst(z)) = name2(snd(z)))
    ∨ (ftype(fst(z)) = 0 ∧
    ftype(snd(z)) = 1 ∧ name1(fst(z)) = name1(snd(z)) ∧ name2(fst(z)) ∈
domain(name2(snd(z))))}
    ⟨proof⟩

```

end

15 Arities of internalized formulas

```

theory Arities
imports FrecR
ZF-Constructible-Trans.Formula
ZF-Constructible-Trans.L_axioms
begin

lemma arity_upair_fm : [[ t1∈nat ; t2∈nat ; up∈nat ]] ==>
arity(upair_fm(t1,t2,up)) = ∪ {succ(t1),succ(t2),succ(up)}
⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_pair_fm : [[ t1∈nat ; t2∈nat ; p∈nat ]] ==>
arity(pair_fm(t1,t2,p)) = ∪ {succ(t1),succ(t2),succ(p)}
⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_composition_fm :
[[ r∈nat ; s∈nat ; t∈nat ]] ==> arity(composition_fm(r,s,t)) = ∪ {succ(r),
succ(s), succ(t)}
⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_domain_fm :
[[ r∈nat ; z∈nat ]] ==> arity(domain_fm(r,z)) = succ(r) ∪ succ(z)
⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_range_fm :
[[ r∈nat ; z∈nat ]] ==> arity(range_fm(r,z)) = succ(r) ∪ succ(z)
⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_union_fm :
[[ x∈nat ; y∈nat ; z∈nat ]] ==> arity(union_fm(x,y,z)) = ∪ {succ(x), succ(y),
succ(z)}
⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_image_fm :
[[ x∈nat ; y∈nat ; z∈nat ]] ==> arity(image_fm(x,y,z)) = ∪ {succ(x), succ(y),
succ(z)}

```

$\text{succ}(z)\}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_pre_image_fm} :$
[$x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat}$] $\implies \text{arity}(\text{pre_image_fm}(x,y,z)) = \bigcup \{\text{succ}(x), \text{succ}(y),$
 $\text{succ}(z)\}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_big_union_fm} :$
[$x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat}$] $\implies \text{arity}(\text{big_union_fm}(x,y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_fun_apply_fm} :$
[$x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; f \in \text{nat}$] \implies
 $\text{arity}(\text{fun_apply_fm}(f,x,y)) = \text{succ}(f) \cup \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_field_fm} :$
[$r \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat}$] $\implies \text{arity}(\text{field_fm}(r,z)) = \text{succ}(r) \cup \text{succ}(z)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_empty_fm} :$
[$r \in \text{nat}$] $\implies \text{arity}(\text{empty_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_succ_fm} :$
[$x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}$] $\implies \text{arity}(\text{succ_fm}(x,y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma $\text{number1arity_fm} :$
[$r \in \text{nat}$] $\implies \text{arity}(\text{number1_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_function_fm} :$
[$r \in \text{nat}$] $\implies \text{arity}(\text{function_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_relation_fm} :$
[$r \in \text{nat}$] $\implies \text{arity}(\text{relation_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_restriction_fm} :$
[$r \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} ; A \in \text{nat}$] $\implies \text{arity}(\text{restriction_fm}(A,z,r)) = \text{succ}(A) \cup \text{succ}(r)$
 $\cup \text{succ}(z)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

```

lemma arity_typed_function_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; f \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies$ 
     $\text{arity}(\text{typed\_function\_fm}(f, x, y)) = \bigcup \{\text{succ}(f), \text{succ}(x), \text{succ}(y)\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_subset_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{subset\_fm}(x, y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_transset_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{transset\_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_ordinal_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{ordinal\_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_limit_ordinal_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{limit\_ordinal\_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_finite_ordinal_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{finite\_ordinal\_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_omega_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{omega\_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_cartprod_fm :
   $\llbracket A \in \text{nat} ; B \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{cartprod\_fm}(A, B, z)) = \text{succ}(A) \cup \text{succ}(B)$ 
   $\cup \text{succ}(z)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity fst fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{fst\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity snd fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{snd\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity snd snd fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{snd\_snd\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_ftype_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{ftype\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $name1arity_fm$:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(name1_fm(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $name2arity_fm$:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(name2_fm(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_cond_of_fm$:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(cond_of_fm(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_singleton_fm$:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(singleton_fm(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_Memrel_fm$:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(Memrel_fm(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_quasinat_fm$:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(quasinat_fm(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_is_recfun_fm$:
 $\llbracket p \in \text{formula} ; v \in \text{nat} ; n \in \text{nat} ; Z \in \text{nat} ; i \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(p) = i \implies$
 $\text{arity}(is_recfun_fm(p, v, n, Z)) = \text{succ}(v) \cup \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(Z) \cup \text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(i))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_is_wfree_fm$:
 $\llbracket p \in \text{formula} ; v \in \text{nat} ; n \in \text{nat} ; Z \in \text{nat} ; i \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(p) = i \implies$
 $\text{arity}(is_wfree_fm(p, v, n, Z)) = \text{succ}(v) \cup \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(Z) \cup \text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(i))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_is_nat_case_fm$:
 $\llbracket p \in \text{formula} ; v \in \text{nat} ; n \in \text{nat} ; Z \in \text{nat} ; i \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(p) = i \implies$
 $\text{arity}(is_nat_case_fm(v, p, n, Z)) = \text{succ}(v) \cup \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(Z) \cup \text{pred}(\text{pred}(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_iterates_MH_fm$:
assumes $isF \in \text{formula}$ $v \in \text{nat}$ $n \in \text{nat}$ $g \in \text{nat}$ $z \in \text{nat}$ $i \in \text{nat}$
 $\text{arity}(isF) = i$
shows $\text{arity}(\text{iterates_MH_fm}(isF, v, n, g, z)) =$
 $\text{succ}(v) \cup \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(g) \cup \text{succ}(z) \cup \text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(i))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_is_iterates_fm$:

```

assumes  $p \in formula$   $v \in nat$   $n \in nat$   $Z \in nat$   $i \in nat$ 
arity( $p$ ) =  $i$ 
shows  $\text{arity}(\text{is\_iterates\_fm}(p, v, n, Z)) = \text{succ}(v) \cup \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(Z) \cup$ 
pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(i))))))))))))
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{arity\_eclose\_n\_fm} :$ 
assumes  $A \in nat$   $x \in nat$   $t \in nat$ 
shows  $\text{arity}(\text{eclose\_n\_fm}(A, x, t)) = \text{succ}(A) \cup \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{arity\_mem\_eclose\_fm} :$ 
assumes  $x \in nat$   $t \in nat$ 
shows  $\text{arity}(\text{mem\_eclose\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{arity\_is\_eclose\_fm} :$ 
 $\llbracket x \in nat ; t \in nat \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{is\_eclose\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{eclose\_n1arity\_fm} :$ 
 $\llbracket x \in nat ; t \in nat \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{eclose\_n1\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{eclose\_n2arity\_fm} :$ 
 $\llbracket x \in nat ; t \in nat \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{eclose\_n2\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{arity\_ecloseN\_fm} :$ 
 $\llbracket x \in nat ; t \in nat \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{ecloseN\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{arity\_frecR\_fm} :$ 
 $\llbracket a \in nat; b \in nat \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{frecR\_fm}(a, b)) = \text{succ}(a) \cup \text{succ}(b)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{arity\_Collect\_fm} :$ 
assumes  $x \in nat$   $y \in nat$   $p \in formula$ 
shows  $\text{arity}(\text{Collect\_fm}(x, p, y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y) \cup \text{pred}(\text{arity}(p))$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

16 The definition of forces

theory *Forces_Definition* **imports** *Arities FrecR Synthetic_Definition* **begin**

This is the core of our development.

16.1 The relation *frecrel*

definition

frecrelP :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $frecrelP(M, xy) \equiv (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. pair(M, x, y, xy) \wedge is_frecR(M, x, y))$

definition

frecrelP_fm :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frecrelP_fm(a) == Exists(Exists(And(pair_fm(1, 0, a\# + 2), freqR_fm(1, 0))))$

lemma *arity_frecrelP_fm* :

$a \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(frecrelP_fm(a)) = \text{succ}(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frecrelP_fm_type[TC]* :

$a \in \text{nat} \implies frecrelP_fm(a) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_frecrelP_fm* :

assumes $a \in \text{nat}$ $env \in \text{list}(A)$
shows $sats(A, frecrelP_fm(a), env) \longleftrightarrow frecrelP(\#\# A, nth(a, env))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frecrelP_iff_sats*:

assumes $nth(a, env) = aa$ $a \in \text{nat}$ $env \in \text{list}(A)$
shows
 $frecrelP(\#\# A, aa) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, frecrelP_fm(a), env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

is_frecrel :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_frecrel(M, A, r) \equiv \exists A2[M]. \text{cartprod}(M, A, A, A2) \wedge is_Collect(M, A2, frecrelP(M), r)$

definition

frecrel_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frecrel_fm(a, r) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{cartprod_fm}(a\# + 1, a\# + 1, 0), \text{Collect_fm}(0, frecrelP_fm(0), r\# + 1)))$

lemma *frecrel_fm_type[TC]* :

$\llbracket a \in \text{nat}; b \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies frecrel_fm(a, b) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_frecrel_fm* :

assumes $a \in \text{nat}$ $b \in \text{nat}$
shows $\text{arity}(frecrel_fm(a, b)) = \text{succ}(a) \cup \text{succ}(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma sats_frecrel_fm :
  assumes
     $a \in \text{nat} \quad r \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
  shows
     $\text{sats}(A, \text{frecrel\_fm}(a, r), \text{env})$   

 $\longleftrightarrow \text{is\_frecrel}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(a, \text{env}), \text{nth}(r, \text{env}))$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma is_frecrel_iff_sats:
  assumes
     $\text{nth}(a, \text{env}) = aa \quad \text{nth}(r, \text{env}) = rr \quad a \in \text{nat} \quad r \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
  shows
     $\text{is\_frecrel}(\#\# A, aa, rr) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{frecrel\_fm}(a, r), \text{env})$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
  names_below ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{names\_below}(P, x) \equiv 2 \times \text{ecloseN}(x) \times \text{ecloseN}(x) \times P$ 

lemma names_bellowD:
  assumes  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P, z)$ 
  obtains  $f n1 n2 p$  where
     $x = \langle f, n1, n2, p \rangle \quad f \in 2 \quad n1 \in \text{ecloseN}(z) \quad n2 \in \text{ecloseN}(z) \quad p \in P$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
  is_names_below ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
   $\text{is\_names\_below}(M, P, x, nb) == \exists p1[M]. \exists p0[M]. \exists t[M]. \exists ec[M].$   

 $\text{is\_ecloseN}(M, ec, x) \wedge \text{number2}(M, t) \wedge \text{cartprod}(M, ec, P, p0) \wedge \text{cartprod}(M, ec, p0, p1)$   

 $\wedge \text{cartprod}(M, t, p1, nb)$ 

definition
  number2_fm ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{number2\_fm}(a) == \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{number1\_fm}(0), \text{succ\_fm}(0, \text{succ}(a))))$ 

lemma number2_fm_type[TC]:
   $a \in \text{nat} \implies \text{number2\_fm}(a) \in \text{formula}$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma number2arity_fm :
   $a \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(\text{number2\_fm}(a)) = \text{succ}(a)$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_number2_fm [simp]:
   $\| x \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \|$   

 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{number2\_fm}(x), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{number2}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}))$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

definition

is_names_below_fm :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{is_names_below_fm}(P,x,nb) == \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{ecloseN_fm}(0,x \#+ 4), \text{And}(\text{number2_fm}(1),\\ \text{And}(\text{cartprod_fm}(0,P \#+ 4,2), \text{And}(\text{cartprod_fm}(0,2,3), \text{cartprod_fm}(1,3,nb \#+ 4))))))))$

lemma *arity_is_names_below_fm* :
 $\llbracket P \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; nb \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{is_names_below_fm}(P,x,nb)) = \text{succ}(P) \cup \text{succ}(x)$
 $\cup \text{succ}(nb)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is_names_below_fm_type[TC]*:
 $\llbracket P \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; nb \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{is_names_below_fm}(P,x,nb) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_is_names_below_fm* :
assumes
 $P \in \text{nat} \ x \in \text{nat} \ nb \in \text{nat} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$
shows
 $\text{sats}(A, \text{is_names_below_fm}(P,x,nb), \text{env})$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{is_names_below}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(P, \text{env}), \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(nb, \text{env}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

is_tuple :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_tuple}(M, z, t1, t2, p, t) == \exists t1t2p[M]. \exists t2p[M]. \text{pair}(M, t2, p, t2p) \wedge \text{pair}(M, t1, t2p, t1t2p)$
 \wedge
 $\text{pair}(M, z, t1t2p, t)$

definition

is_tuple_fm :: $[i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{is_tuple_fm}(z, t1, t2, p, \text{tup}) = \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair_fm}(t2 \#+ 2, p \#+ 2, 0),\\ \text{And}(\text{pair_fm}(t1 \#+ 2, 0, 1), \text{pair_fm}(z \#+ 2, 1, \text{tup} \#+ 2)))))$

lemma *arity_is_tuple_fm* : $\llbracket z \in \text{nat} ; t1 \in \text{nat} ; t2 \in \text{nat} ; p \in \text{nat} ; \text{tup} \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{arity}(\text{is_tuple_fm}(z, t1, t2, p, \text{tup})) = \bigcup \{\text{succ}(z), \text{succ}(t1), \text{succ}(t2), \text{succ}(p), \text{succ}(\text{tup})\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is_tuple_fm_type[TC]* :
 $z \in \text{nat} \implies t1 \in \text{nat} \implies t2 \in \text{nat} \implies p \in \text{nat} \implies \text{tup} \in \text{nat} \implies \text{is_tuple_fm}(z, t1, t2, p, \text{tup}) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_is_tuple_fm* :
assumes

```

 $z \in \text{nat} \quad t1 \in \text{nat} \quad t2 \in \text{nat} \quad p \in \text{nat} \quad \text{tup} \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
 $\text{sats}(A, \text{is\_tuple\_fm}(z, t1, t2, p, \text{tup}), \text{env})$ 
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{is\_tuple}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(z, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}), \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(\text{tup}, \text{env}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma is_tuple_iff_sats:
assumes
 $\text{nth}(a, \text{env}) = aa \quad \text{nth}(b, \text{env}) = bb \quad \text{nth}(c, \text{env}) = cc \quad \text{nth}(d, \text{env}) = dd \quad \text{nth}(e, \text{env}) = ee$ 
 $a \in \text{nat} \quad b \in \text{nat} \quad c \in \text{nat} \quad d \in \text{nat} \quad e \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
 $\text{is\_tuple}(\#\# A, aa, bb, cc, dd, ee) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{is\_tuple\_fm}(a, b, c, d, e), \text{env})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

16.2 Definition of *forces* for equality and membership

definition

```

 $\text{eq\_case} :: [i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{eq\_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \equiv \forall s. \ s \in \text{domain}(t1) \cup \text{domain}(t2) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall q. \ q \in P \wedge \langle q, p \rangle \in \text{leq} \longrightarrow (f^i \langle 1, s, t1, q \rangle = 1 \longleftrightarrow f^i \langle 1, s, t2, q \rangle = 1))$ 

```

definition

```

 $\text{is\_eq\_case} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{is\_eq\_case}(M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \equiv$ 
 $\forall s[M]. (\exists d[M]. \text{is\_domain}(M, t1, d) \wedge s \in d) \vee (\exists d[M]. \text{is\_domain}(M, t2, d) \wedge$ 
 $s \in d)$ 
 $\longrightarrow (\forall q[M]. \ q \in P \wedge (\exists qp[M]. \ \text{pair}(M, q, p, qp) \wedge qp \in \text{leq}) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists ost1q[M]. \ \exists ost2q[M]. \ \exists o[M]. \ \exists vf1[M]. \ \exists vf2[M].$ 
 $\text{is\_tuple}(M, o, s, t1, q, ost1q) \wedge$ 
 $\text{is\_tuple}(M, o, s, t2, q, ost2q) \wedge \text{number1}(M, o) \wedge$ 
 $\text{fun\_apply}(M, f, ost1q, vf1) \wedge \text{fun\_apply}(M, f, ost2q, vf2) \wedge$ 
 $(vf1 = o \longleftrightarrow vf2 = o)))$ 

```

definition

```

 $\text{mem\_case} :: [i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{mem\_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \equiv \forall v \in P. \ \langle v, p \rangle \in \text{leq} \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists q. \ \exists s. \ \exists r. \ r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge \langle q, v \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in t2 \wedge \langle q, r \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge$ 
 $f^i \langle 0, t1, s, q \rangle = 1)$ 

```

definition

```

 $\text{is\_mem\_case} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{is\_mem\_case}(M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \equiv \forall v[M]. \ \forall vp[M]. \ v \in P \wedge \text{pair}(M, v, p, vp) \wedge$ 
 $vp \in \text{leq} \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists q[M]. \ \exists s[M]. \ \exists r[M]. \ \exists qv[M]. \ \exists sr[M]. \ \exists qr[M]. \ \exists z[M]. \ \exists zt1sq[M]. \ \exists o[M].$ 
 $r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge \text{pair}(M, q, v, qv) \wedge \text{pair}(M, s, r, sr) \wedge \text{pair}(M, q, r, qr) \wedge$ 

```

$\text{empty}(M, z) \wedge \text{is_tuple}(M, z, t1, s, q, zt1sq) \wedge$
 $\text{number1}(M, o) \wedge qv \in \text{leq} \wedge sr \in t2 \wedge qr \in \text{leq} \wedge \text{fun_apply}(M, f, zt1sq, o))$

schematic_goal *sats_is_mem_case_fm_auto*:

assumes

$n1 \in \text{nat}$ $n2 \in \text{nat}$ $p \in \text{nat}$ $P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

$\text{is_mem_case}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(n1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(n2, \text{env}), \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(P, \text{env}), \text{nth}(\text{leq}, \text{env}), \text{nth}(f, \text{env}))$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?imc_fm(n1, n2, p, P, \text{leq}, f), \text{env})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *arity_mem_case_fm* :

assumes

$n1 \in \text{nat}$ $n2 \in \text{nat}$ $p \in \text{nat}$ $P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$

shows

$\text{arity}(\text{mem_case_fm}(n1, n2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)) =$
 $\text{succ}(n1) \cup \text{succ}(n2) \cup \text{succ}(p) \cup \text{succ}(P) \cup \text{succ}(\text{leq}) \cup \text{succ}(f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

schematic_goal *sats_is_eq_case_fm_auto*:

assumes

$n1 \in \text{nat}$ $n2 \in \text{nat}$ $p \in \text{nat}$ $P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

$\text{is_eq_case}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(n1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(n2, \text{env}), \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(P, \text{env}), \text{nth}(\text{leq}, \text{env}), \text{nth}(f, \text{env}))$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?iec_fm(n1, n2, p, P, \text{leq}, f), \text{env})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *arity_eq_case_fm* :

assumes

$n1 \in \text{nat}$ $n2 \in \text{nat}$ $p \in \text{nat}$ $P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$

shows

$\text{arity}(\text{eq_case_fm}(n1, n2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)) =$
 $\text{succ}(n1) \cup \text{succ}(n2) \cup \text{succ}(p) \cup \text{succ}(P) \cup \text{succ}(\text{leq}) \cup \text{succ}(f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mem_case_fm_type[TC]* :

$\llbracket n1 \in \text{nat}; n2 \in \text{nat}; p \in \text{nat}; P \in \text{nat}; \text{leq} \in \text{nat}; f \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{mem_case_fm}(n1, n2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \in \text{formula}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq_case_fm_type[TC]* :

$\llbracket n1 \in \text{nat}; n2 \in \text{nat}; p \in \text{nat}; P \in \text{nat}; \text{leq} \in \text{nat}; f \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{eq_case_fm}(n1, n2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \in \text{formula}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma sats_eq_case_fm :
assumes
  n1 ∈ nat n2 ∈ nat p ∈ nat P ∈ nat leq ∈ nat f ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  sats(A, eq_case_fm(n1, n2, p, P, leq, f), env)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  is_eq_case(##A, nth(n1, env), nth(n2, env), nth(p, env), nth(P, env), nth(leq, env), nth(f, env))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_mem_case_fm :
assumes
  n1 ∈ nat n2 ∈ nat p ∈ nat P ∈ nat leq ∈ nat f ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  sats(A, mem_case_fm(n1, n2, p, P, leq, f), env)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  is_mem_case(##A, nth(n1, env), nth(n2, env), nth(p, env), nth(P, env), nth(leq, env), nth(f, env))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mem_case_iff_sats:
assumes
  n1 ∈ nat n2 ∈ nat p ∈ nat P ∈ nat leq ∈ nat f ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
  nth(n1, env) = nn1 nth(n2, env) = nn2 nth(p, env) = pp nth(P, env) = PP
  nth(leq, env) = lleq nth(f, env) = ff
shows
  is_mem_case(##A, nn1, nn2, pp, PP, lleq, ff)
   $\longleftrightarrow$  sats(A, mem_case_fm(n1, n2, p, P, leq, f), env)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq_case_iff_sats :
assumes
  n1 ∈ nat n2 ∈ nat p ∈ nat P ∈ nat leq ∈ nat f ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
  nth(n1, env) = nn1 nth(n2, env) = nn2 nth(p, env) = pp nth(P, env) = PP
  nth(leq, env) = lleq nth(f, env) = ff
shows
  is_eq_case(##A, nn1, nn2, pp, PP, lleq, ff)
   $\longleftrightarrow$  sats(A, eq_case_fm(n1, n2, p, P, leq, f), env)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  Hfrc :: [i, i, i, i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  Hfrc(P, leq, fnnc, f)  $\equiv$   $\exists ft. \exists n1. \exists n2. \exists c. c \in P \wedge fnnc = \langle ft, n1, n2, c \rangle \wedge$ 
  ( $ft = 0 \wedge$  eq_case(n1, n2, c, P, leq, f)
   $\vee ft = 1 \wedge$  mem_case(n1, n2, c, P, leq, f))

definition
  is_Hfrc :: [i  $\Rightarrow$  o, i, i, i, i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  is_Hfrc(M, P, leq, fnnc, f)  $\equiv$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned} \exists ft[M]. \exists n1[M]. \exists n2[M]. \exists co[M]. \\ co \in P \wedge is_tuple(M, ft, n1, n2, co, fnnc) \wedge \\ (empty(M, ft) \wedge is_eq_case(M, n1, n2, co, P, leq, f)) \\ \vee (number1(M, ft) \wedge is_mem_case(M, n1, n2, co, P, leq, f))) \end{aligned}$$

definition

```
Hfrc_fm :: [i,i,i,i] => i where
Hfrc_fm(P,leq,fnnc,f) ≡
Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(
And(Member(0,P #+ 4), And(is_tuple_fm(3,2,1,0,fnnc #+ 4),
Or(And(empty_fm(3), eq_case_fm(2,1,0,P #+ 4,leq #+ 4,f #+ 4)),
And(number1_fm(3), mem_case_fm(2,1,0,P #+ 4,leq #+ 4,f #+ 4))))))))
```

lemma Hfrc-fm-type[TC] :

```
〔P ∈ nat; leq ∈ nat; fnnc ∈ nat; f ∈ nat〕 => Hfrc_fm(P,leq,fnnc,f) ∈ formula
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma arity_Hfrc-fm :

```
assumes
P ∈ nat leq ∈ nat fnnc ∈ nat f ∈ nat
shows
arity(Hfrc_fm(P,leq,fnnc,f)) = succ(P) ∪ succ(leq) ∪ succ(fnnc) ∪ succ(f)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma sats_Hfrc-fm:

```
assumes
P ∈ nat leq ∈ nat fnnc ∈ nat f ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
sats(A, Hfrc_fm(P,leq,fnnc,f), env)
↔ is_Hfrc(##A, nth(P, env), nth(leq, env), nth(fnnc, env), nth(f, env))
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma Hfrc-iff-sats:

```
assumes
P ∈ nat leq ∈ nat fnnc ∈ nat f ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
nth(P, env) = PP nth(leq, env) = lleq nth(fnnc, env) = fnnc nth(f, env) = ff
shows
is_Hfrc(##A, PP, lleq, fnnc, ff)
↔ sats(A, Hfrc_fm(P,leq,fnnc,f), env)
⟨proof⟩
```

definition

```
is_Hfrc_at :: [i=>o,i,i,i,i,i] => o where
is_Hfrc_at(M,P,leq,fnnc,f,z) ≡
(empty(M, z) ∧ ¬ is_Hfrc(M, P, leq, fnnc, f)) \\
∨ (number1(M, z) ∧ is_Hfrc(M, P, leq, fnnc, f))
```

definition

```
Hfrc_at_fm :: [i,i,i,i,i] => i where
```

$$Hfrc_at_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f, z) \equiv Or(And(empty_fm(z), Neg(Hfrc_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f))), And(number1_fm(z), Hfrc_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f))))$$

lemma *arity_Hfrc_at_fm* :

assumes

$$P \in \text{nat} \quad leq \in \text{nat} \quad fnnc \in \text{nat} \quad f \in \text{nat} \quad z \in \text{nat}$$

shows

$$\text{arity}(Hfrc_at_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f, z)) = succ(P) \cup succ(leq) \cup succ(fnnc) \cup succ(f)$$

$$\cup \ succ(z)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Hfrc_at_fm_type[TC]* :

$$[P \in \text{nat}; leq \in \text{nat}; fnnc \in \text{nat}; f \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}] \implies Hfrc_at_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f, z) \in \text{formula}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_Hfrc_at_fm*:

assumes

$$P \in \text{nat} \quad leq \in \text{nat} \quad fnnc \in \text{nat} \quad f \in \text{nat} \quad z \in \text{nat} \quad env \in \text{list}(A)$$

shows

$$sats(A, Hfrc_at_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f, z), env)$$

$$\longleftrightarrow is_Hfrc_at(\#\# A, nth(P, env), nth(leq, env), nth(fnnc, env), nth(f, env), nth(z, env))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_Hfrc_at_iff_sats*:

assumes

$$P \in \text{nat} \quad leq \in \text{nat} \quad fnnc \in \text{nat} \quad f \in \text{nat} \quad z \in \text{nat} \quad env \in \text{list}(A)$$

$$nth(P, env) = PP \quad nth(leq, env) = lleq \quad nth(fnnc, env) = fnnc$$

$$nth(f, env) = ff \quad nth(z, env) = zz$$

shows

$$is_Hfrc_at(\#\# A, PP, lleq, fnnc, ff, zz)$$

$$\longleftrightarrow sats(A, Hfrc_at_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f, z), env)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_tran_closure_fm* :

$$[x \in \text{nat}; f \in \text{nat}] \implies \text{arity}(\text{tran_closure_fm}(x, f)) = succ(x) \cup succ(f)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

16.3 The well-founded relation *forcerel*

definition

$$forcerel :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$$

$$forcerel(P, x) \equiv frecrel(names_below(P, x)) \wedge$$

definition

$$is_forcerel :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$is_forcerel(M, P, x, z) == \exists r[M]. \exists nb[M]. \text{tran_closure}(M, r, z) \wedge$$

$(is_names_below(M,P,x,nb) \wedge is_frecrel(M,nb,r))$

definition

$forcerel_fm :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $forcerel_fm(p,x,z) == Exists(Exists(And(tran_closure_fm(1, z\#+2), And(is_names_below_fm(p\#+2,x\#+2,0),frecrel_fm(0,1))))))$

lemma $arity_forcerel_fm:$

$\llbracket p \in nat; x \in nat; z \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(forcerel_fm(p,x,z)) = succ(p) \cup succ(x) \cup succ(z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $forcerel_fm_type[TC]:$

$\llbracket p \in nat; x \in nat; z \in nat \rrbracket \implies forcerel_fm(p,x,z) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_forcerel_fm:$

assumes

$p \in nat \ x \in nat \ z \in nat \ env \in list(A)$

shows

$sats(A, forcerel_fm(p,x,z), env) \longleftrightarrow is_forcerel(\#\#A, nth(p, env), nth(x, env), nth(z, env))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

16.4 frc_at , forcing for atomic formulas

definition

$frc_at :: [i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frc_at(P, leq, fnnc) \equiv wfrec(frecrel(names_below(P, fnnc)), fnnc,$
 $\lambda x f. bool_of_o(Hfrc(P, leq, x, f)))$

definition

$is_frc_at :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_frc_at(M, P, leq, x, z) \equiv \exists r[M]. is_forcerel(M, P, x, r) \wedge$
 $is_wfrec(M, is_Hfrc_at(M, P, leq), r, x, z)$

definition

$frc_at_fm :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frc_at_fm(p, l, x, z) == Exists(And(forcerel_fm(succ(p), succ(x), 0),$
 $is_wfrec_fm(Hfrc_at_fm(6\#+p, 6\#+l, 2, 1, 0), 0, succ(x), succ(z))))$

lemma $frc_at_fm_type [TC] :$

$\llbracket p \in nat; l \in nat; x \in nat; z \in nat \rrbracket \implies frc_at_fm(p, l, x, z) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_frc_at_fm :$

assumes $p \in \text{nat}$ $l \in \text{nat}$ $x \in \text{nat}$ $z \in \text{nat}$
shows $\text{arity}(\text{frc_at_fm}(p, l, x, z)) = \text{succ}(p) \cup \text{succ}(l) \cup \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_{\text{frc_at_fm}} :$
assumes
 $p \in \text{nat}$ $l \in \text{nat}$ $i \in \text{nat}$ $j \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ $i < \text{length}(\text{env})$ $j < \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $sats(A, \text{frc_at_fm}(p, l, i, j), \text{env}) \leftrightarrow$
 $\text{is_frc_at}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(l, \text{env}), \text{nth}(i, \text{env}), \text{nth}(j, \text{env}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $\text{forces_eq}' :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{forces_eq}'(P, l, p, t1, t2) \equiv \text{frc_at}(P, l, <0, t1, t2, p>) = 1$

definition
 $\text{forces_mem}' :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{forces_mem}'(P, l, p, t1, t2) \equiv \text{frc_at}(P, l, <1, t1, t2, p>) = 1$

definition
 $\text{forces_neq}' :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{forces_neq}'(P, l, p, t1, t2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. \langle q, p \rangle \in l \wedge \text{forces_eq}'(P, l, q, t1, t2))$

definition
 $\text{forces_nmem}' :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{forces_nmem}'(P, l, p, t1, t2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. \langle q, p \rangle \in l \wedge \text{forces_mem}'(P, l, q, t1, t2))$

definition
 $\text{is_forces_eq}' :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_forces_eq}'(M, P, l, p, t1, t2) == \exists o[M]. \exists z[M]. \exists t[M]. \text{number1}(M, o) \wedge \text{empty}(M, z)$
 \wedge
 $\text{is_tuple}(M, z, t1, t2, p, t) \wedge \text{is_frc_at}(M, P, l, t, o)$

definition
 $\text{is_forces_mem}' :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_forces_mem}'(M, P, l, p, t1, t2) == \exists o[M]. \exists t[M]. \text{number1}(M, o) \wedge$
 $\text{is_tuple}(M, o, t1, t2, p, t) \wedge \text{is_frc_at}(M, P, l, t, o)$

definition
 $\text{is_forces_neq}' :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_forces_neq}'(M, P, l, p, t1, t2) \equiv$
 $\neg (\exists q[M]. q \in P \wedge (\exists qp[M]. \text{pair}(M, q, p, qp) \wedge qp \in l \wedge \text{is_forces_eq}'(M, P, l, q, t1, t2)))$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{is_forces_nmem}' &:: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where} \\ \text{is_forces_nmem}'(M, P, l, p, t1, t2) &\equiv \\ &\neg (\exists q[M]. \exists qp[M]. q \in P \wedge \text{pair}(M, q, p, qp) \wedge qp \in l \wedge \text{is_forces_mem}'(M, P, l, q, t1, t2)) \end{aligned}$$
definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{forces_eq_fm} &:: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where} \\ \text{forces_eq_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) &\equiv \\ &\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{number1_fm}(2), \text{And}(\text{empty_fm}(1), \\ &\text{And}(\text{is_tuple_fm}(1, t1 \# +3, t2 \# +3, q \# +3, 0), \text{frc_at_fm}(p \# +3, l \# +3, 0, 2) \\)))))) \end{aligned}$$
definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{forces_mem_fm} &:: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where} \\ \text{forces_mem_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) &\equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{number1_fm}(1), \\ &\text{And}(\text{is_tuple_fm}(1, t1 \# +2, t2 \# +2, q \# +2, 0), \text{frc_at_fm}(p \# +2, l \# +2, 0, 1)))))) \end{aligned}$$
definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{forces_neq_fm} &:: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where} \\ \text{forces_neq_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) &\equiv \text{Neg}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(1, p \# +2), \\ &\text{And}(\text{pair_fm}(1, q \# +2, 0), \text{And}(\text{Member}(0, l \# +2), \text{forces_eq_fm}(p \# +2, l \# +2, 1, t1 \# +2, t2 \# +2))))))) \end{aligned}$$
definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{forces_nmem_fm} &:: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where} \\ \text{forces_nmem_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) &\equiv \text{Neg}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(1, p \# +2), \\ &\text{And}(\text{pair_fm}(1, q \# +2, 0), \text{And}(\text{Member}(0, l \# +2), \text{forces_mem_fm}(p \# +2, l \# +2, 1, t1 \# +2, t2 \# +2))))))) \end{aligned}$$
lemma *forces_eq_fm_type* [*TC*]:
$$[\![p \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; t1 \in \text{nat}; t2 \in \text{nat}]\!] \implies \text{forces_eq_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in \text{formula}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *forces_mem_fm_type* [*TC*]:
$$[\![p \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; t1 \in \text{nat}; t2 \in \text{nat}]\!] \implies \text{forces_mem_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in \text{formula}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *forces_neq_fm_type* [*TC*]:
$$[\![p \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; t1 \in \text{nat}; t2 \in \text{nat}]\!] \implies \text{forces_neq_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in \text{formula}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *forces_nmem_fm_type* [*TC*]:
$$[\![p \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; t1 \in \text{nat}; t2 \in \text{nat}]\!] \implies \text{forces_nmem_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in \text{formula}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *arity_forces_eq_fm* :
$$p \in \text{nat} \implies l \in \text{nat} \implies q \in \text{nat} \implies t1 \in \text{nat} \implies t2 \in \text{nat} \implies$$

```

arity(forces_eq_fm(p,l,q,t1,t2)) = succ(t1) ∪ succ(t2) ∪ succ(q) ∪ succ(p) ∪
succ(l)
⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_forces_mem_fm :
  p ∈ nat ==> l ∈ nat ==> q ∈ nat ==> t1 ∈ nat ==> t2 ∈ nat ==>
  arity(forces_mem_fm(p,l,q,t1,t2)) = succ(t1) ∪ succ(t2) ∪ succ(q) ∪ succ(p) ∪
succ(l)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_forces_eq'_fm:
  assumes p ∈ nat l ∈ nat q ∈ nat t1 ∈ nat t2 ∈ nat env ∈ list(M)
  shows sats(M,forces_eq_fm(p,l,q,t1,t2),env) <=>
    is_forces_eq'(##M,nth(p,env),nth(l,env),nth(q,env),nth(t1,env),nth(t2,env))
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_forces_mem'_fm:
  assumes p ∈ nat l ∈ nat q ∈ nat t1 ∈ nat t2 ∈ nat env ∈ list(M)
  shows sats(M,forces_mem_fm(p,l,q,t1,t2),env) <=>
    is_forces_mem'(##M,nth(p,env),nth(l,env),nth(q,env),nth(t1,env),nth(t2,env))
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_forces_neq'_fm:
  assumes p ∈ nat l ∈ nat q ∈ nat t1 ∈ nat t2 ∈ nat env ∈ list(M)
  shows sats(M,forces_neq_fm(p,l,q,t1,t2),env) <=>
    is_forces_neq'(##M,nth(p,env),nth(l,env),nth(q,env),nth(t1,env),nth(t2,env))
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_forces_nmem'_fm:
  assumes p ∈ nat l ∈ nat q ∈ nat t1 ∈ nat t2 ∈ nat env ∈ list(M)
  shows sats(M,forces_nmem_fm(p,l,q,t1,t2),env) <=>
    is_forces_nmem'(##M,nth(p,env),nth(l,env),nth(q,env),nth(t1,env),nth(t2,env))
⟨proof⟩

context forcing_data
begin

lemma fst_abs [simp]:
  [x ∈ M; y ∈ M] ==> is_fst(##M,x,y) <=> y = fst(x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma snd_abs [simp]:
  [x ∈ M; y ∈ M] ==> is_snd(##M,x,y) <=> y = snd(x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ftype_abs[simp] :
  [x ∈ M; y ∈ M] ==> is_ftype(##M,x,y) <=> y = ftype(x) ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *name1_abs*[simp] :
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_name1}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{name1}(x)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *snd_snd_abs*:
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_snd_snd}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{snd}(\text{snd}(x))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *name2_abs*[simp]:
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_name2}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{name2}(x)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *cond_of_abs*[simp]:
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_cond_of}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{cond_of}(x)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *tuple_abs*[simp]:
 $\llbracket z \in M; t1 \in M; t2 \in M; p \in M; t \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_tuple}(\#\#M, z, t1, t2, p, t) \longleftrightarrow t = \langle z, t1, t2, p \rangle$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *oneN_in_M*[simp]: $1 \in M$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *twoN_in_M* : $2 \in M$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *comp_in_M*:
 $p \preceq q \implies p \in M$
 $p \preceq q \implies q \in M$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *eq_case_abs* [simp]:
assumes
 $t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M \quad p \in M \quad f \in M$
shows
 $\text{is_eq_case}(\#\#M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \longleftrightarrow \text{eq_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *mem_case_abs* [simp]:
assumes
 $t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M \quad p \in M \quad f \in M$
shows
 $\text{is_mem_case}(\#\#M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \longleftrightarrow \text{mem_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Hfrc_abs*:

$\llbracket fnnc \in M; f \in M \rrbracket \implies$
 $is_Hfrc(\#\#M, P, leq, fnnc, f) \longleftrightarrow Hfrc(P, leq, fnnc, f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Hfrc_at_abs*:

$\llbracket fnnc \in M; f \in M ; z \in M \rrbracket \implies$
 $is_Hfrc_at(\#\#M, P, leq, fnnc, f, z) \longleftrightarrow z = bool_of_o(Hfrc(P, leq, fnnc, f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *components_closed* :

$x \in M \implies ftype(x) \in M$
 $x \in M \implies name1(x) \in M$
 $x \in M \implies name2(x) \in M$
 $x \in M \implies cond_of(x) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ecloseN_closed*:

$(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(ecloseN(A))$
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(eclose_n(name1, A))$
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(eclose_n(name2, A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_eclose_n_abs* :

assumes $x \in M$ $ec \in M$
shows $is_eclose_n(\#\#M, is_name1, ec, x) \longleftrightarrow ec = eclose_n(name1, x)$
 $is_eclose_n(\#\#M, is_name2, ec, x) \longleftrightarrow ec = eclose_n(name2, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_ecloseN_abs* :

$\llbracket x \in M; ec \in M \rrbracket \implies is_ecloseN(\#\#M, ec, x) \longleftrightarrow ec = ecloseN(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frecR_abs* :

$x \in M \implies y \in M \implies frecR(x, y) \longleftrightarrow is_frecR(\#\#M, x, y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frecrelP_abs* :

$z \in M \implies frecrelP(\#\#M, z) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge frecR(x, y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frecrel_abs*:

assumes
 $A \in M$ $r \in M$
shows
 $is_frecrel(\#\#M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow r = frecrel(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frecrel_closed*:

```

assumes
   $x \in M$ 
shows
   $\text{frecrel}(x) \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{field\_frecrel} : \text{field}(\text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P, x))) \subseteq \text{names\_below}(P, x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{forcerelD} : uv \in \text{forcerel}(P, x) \implies uv \in \text{names\_below}(P, x) \times \text{names\_below}(P, x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{wf\_forcerel} :$ 
   $\text{wf}(\text{forcerel}(P, x))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{restrict\_trancl\_forcerel} :$ 
  assumes  $\text{frecR}(w, y)$ 
  shows  $\text{restrict}(\text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P, x)), \{y\})^w = \text{restrict}(\text{frecrel}(P, x), \{y\})^w$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{names\_belowI} :$ 
  assumes  $\text{frecR}(<ft, n1, n2, p>, <a, b, c, d>) \quad p \in P$ 
  shows  $<ft, n1, n2, p> \in \text{names\_below}(P, <a, b, c, d>)$  (is  $?x \in \text{names\_below}(\_, ?y)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{names\_below\_tr} :$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P, y)$ 
   $y \in \text{names\_below}(P, z)$ 
  shows  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P, z)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{arg\_into\_names\_below2} :$ 
  assumes  $<x, y> \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P, z))$ 
  shows  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P, y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{arg\_into\_names\_below} :$ 
  assumes  $<x, y> \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P, z))$ 
  shows  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P, x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{forcerel\_arg\_into\_names\_below} :$ 
  assumes  $<x, y> \in \text{forcerel}(P, z)$ 
  shows  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P, x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{names\_below\_mono} :$ 

```

```

assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,z))$ 
shows  $\text{names\_below}(P,x) \subseteq \text{names\_below}(P,y)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma frecrel_mono :
assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,z))$ 
shows  $\text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,x)) \subseteq \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,y))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_mono2 :
assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,z))$ 
shows  $\text{forcerel}(P,x) \subseteq \text{forcerel}(P,y)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_mono_aux :
assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,w)) \wedge$ 
shows  $\text{forcerel}(P,x) \subseteq \text{forcerel}(P,y)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_mono :
assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,z)$ 
shows  $\text{forcerel}(P,x) \subseteq \text{forcerel}(P,y)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma aux:  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P,w) \implies \langle x,y \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,z) \implies$ 
 $(y \in \text{names\_below}(P,w) \longrightarrow \langle x,y \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,w))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_eq :
assumes  $\langle z,x \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,x)$ 
shows  $\text{forcerel}(P,z) = \text{forcerel}(P,x) \cap \text{names\_below}(P,z) \times \text{names\_below}(P,z)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_below_aux :
assumes  $\langle z,x \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,x) \quad \langle u,z \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,x)$ 
shows  $u \in \text{names\_below}(P,z)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_below :
assumes  $\langle z,x \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,x)$ 
shows  $\text{forcerel}(P,x) - \{z\} \subseteq \text{names\_below}(P,z)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma relation_forcerel :
shows  $\text{relation}(\text{forcerel}(P,z)) \text{ trans}(\text{forcerel}(P,z))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Hfrc_restrict_trancl:  $\text{bool\_of\_o}(\text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, y, \text{restrict}(f, \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,x)) - \{y\})))$ 

```

$= \text{bool_of_o}(\text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, y, \text{restrict}(f, (\text{frecrel}(\text{names_below}(P, x)) \wedge) - ``\{y\})))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma frc_at_trancl : $frc_at(P, \text{leq}, z) = wfrec(\text{forcerel}(P, z), z, \lambda x f. \text{bool_of_o}(\text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, x, f)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $forcerelI1$:

assumes $n1 \in \text{domain}(b) \vee n1 \in \text{domain}(c)$ $p \in P$ $d \in P$
shows $\langle \langle 1, n1, b, p \rangle, \langle 0, b, c, d \rangle \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P, \langle 0, b, c, d \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $forcerelI2$:

assumes $n1 \in \text{domain}(b) \vee n1 \in \text{domain}(c)$ $p \in P$ $d \in P$
shows $\langle \langle 1, n1, c, p \rangle, \langle 0, b, c, d \rangle \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P, \langle 0, b, c, d \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $forcerelI3$:

assumes $\langle n2, r \rangle \in c$ $p \in P$ $d \in P$ $r \in P$
shows $\langle \langle 0, b, n2, p \rangle, \langle 1, b, c, d \rangle \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P, \langle 1, b, c, d \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $forcerelI = \text{forcerelI1}[\text{THEN } \text{vimage_singleton_iff}[\text{THEN } \text{iffD2}]]$
 $\quad \text{forcerelI2}[\text{THEN } \text{vimage_singleton_iff}[\text{THEN } \text{iffD2}]]$
 $\quad \text{forcerelI3}[\text{THEN } \text{vimage_singleton_iff}[\text{THEN } \text{iffD2}]]$

lemma aux_def_frc_at :

assumes $z \in \text{forcerel}(P, x) - ``\{x\}$
shows $wfrec(\text{forcerel}(P, x), z, H) = wfrec(\text{forcerel}(P, z), z, H)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

16.5 Recursive expression of frc_at

lemma def_frc_at :

assumes $p \in P$
shows
 $frc_at(P, \text{leq}, \langle ft, n1, n2, p \rangle) =$
 $\text{bool_of_o}(p \in P \wedge$
 $(ft = 0 \wedge (\forall s. s \in \text{domain}(n1) \cup \text{domain}(n2) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall q. q \in P \wedge q \preceq p \longrightarrow (frc_at(P, \text{leq}, \langle 1, s, n1, q \rangle) = 1 \longleftrightarrow frc_at(P, \text{leq}, \langle 1, s, n2, q \rangle) = 1)))$
 $\vee ft = 1 \wedge (\forall v \in P. v \preceq p \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists q. \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge q \preceq v \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in n2 \wedge q \preceq r \wedge frc_at(P, \text{leq}, \langle 0, n1, s, q \rangle) = 1)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

16.6 Absoluteness of frc_at

lemma trans_forcerel_t : $\text{trans}(\text{forcerel}(P, x))$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma relation_forcerel_t : relation(forcerel(P,x))
⟨proof⟩

lemma forcerel_in_M :
assumes
  x ∈ M
shows
  forcerel(P,x) ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

lemma relation2_Hfrc_at_abs:
  relation2(##M,is_Hfrc_at(##M,P,leq),λx f. bool_of_o(Hfrc(P,leq,x,f)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma Hfrc_at_closed :
  ∀x ∈ M. ∀g ∈ M. function(g) —> bool_of_o(Hfrc(P,leq,x,g)) ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

lemma wfrec_Hfrc_at :
assumes
  X ∈ M
shows
  wfrec_replacement(##M,is_Hfrc_at(##M,P,leq),forcerel(P,X))
⟨proof⟩

lemma names_below_abs :
  [Q ∈ M;x ∈ M;nb ∈ M] —> is_names_below(##M,Q,x,nb) ←→ nb = names_below(Q,x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma names_below_closed:
  [Q ∈ M;x ∈ M] —> names_below(Q,x) ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

lemma names_below_productE :
  Q ∈ M —>
  x ∈ M —> (A1 A2 A3 A4. A1 ∈ M —> A2 ∈ M —> A3 ∈ M —> A4 ∈ M
  —> R(A1 × A2 × A3 × A4))
  —> R(names_below(Q,x))
⟨proof⟩

lemma forcerel_abs :
  [x ∈ M;z ∈ M] —> is_forcerel(##M,P,x,z) ←→ z = forcerel(P,x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma frc_at_abs:

```

```

assumes fnnc $\in M$  z $\in M$ 
shows is_frc_at( $\#\#M, P, leq, fnnc, z$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  z = frc_at(P, leq, fnnc)
⟨proof⟩

lemma forces_eq'_abs :
[ $p \in M ; t1 \in M ; t2 \in M$ ]  $\implies$  is_forces_eq'( $\#\#M, P, leq, p, t1, t2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  forces_eq'(P, leq, p, t1, t2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma forces_mem'_abs :
[ $p \in M ; t1 \in M ; t2 \in M$ ]  $\implies$  is_forces_mem'( $\#\#M, P, leq, p, t1, t2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  forces_mem'(P, leq, p, t1, t2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma forces_neq'_abs :
assumes
 $p \in M$   $t1 \in M$   $t2 \in M$ 
shows
is_forces_neq'( $\#\#M, P, leq, p, t1, t2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  forces_neq'(P, leq, p, t1, t2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma forces_nmem'_abs :
assumes
 $p \in M$   $t1 \in M$   $t2 \in M$ 
shows
is_forces_nmem'( $\#\#M, P, leq, p, t1, t2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  forces_nmem'(P, leq, p, t1, t2)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

16.7 Forcing for general formulas

definition

```

ren_forces_nand ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
ren_forces_nand( $\varphi$ )  $\equiv$  Exists(And(Equal(0,1), iterates( $\lambda p.$  incr_bv(p)‘1 , 2,  $\varphi$ )))

```

```

lemma ren_forces_nand_type[TC] :
 $\varphi \in formula \implies ren\_forces\_nand(\varphi) \in formula$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_ren_forces_nand :
assumes  $\varphi \in formula$ 
shows arity(ren_forces_nand( $\varphi$ ))  $\leq$  succ(arity( $\varphi$ ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_ren_forces_nand:
[ $q, P, leq, o, p$ ] @ env  $\in list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$ 
sats( $M, ren\_forces\_nand(\varphi), [q, p, P, leq, o]$  @ env)  $\longleftrightarrow$  sats( $M, \varphi, [q, P, leq, o]$  @

```

env)
⟨proof⟩

definition

ren_forces_forall :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
ren_forces_forall(φ) \equiv
Exists(*Exists*(*Exists*(*Exists*(*Exists*(*And*(*Equal*(0,6),*And*(*Equal*(1,7),*And*(*Equal*(2,8),*And*(*Equal*(3,9),*And*(*Equal*(4,5),*iterates*($\lambda p.$ *incr_bv*(p)‘5 , 5, φ))))))))

lemma *arity_ren_forces_all* :

assumes $\varphi \in formula$
shows *arity*(*ren_forces_forall*(φ)) = 5 \cup *arity*(φ)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *ren_forces_forall_type[TC]* :

$\varphi \in formula \implies ren_forces_forall(\varphi) \in formula$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *sats_ren_forces_forall* :

$[x, P, leq, o, p] @ env \in list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$
sats(M , *ren_forces_forall*(φ), $[x, p, P, leq, o]$ @ env) \longleftrightarrow *sats*(M , φ , $[p, P, leq, o, x]$
@ env)
⟨proof⟩

definition

is_leq :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
is_leq(A, l, q, p) $\equiv \exists qp[A]. (pair(A, q, p, qp) \wedge qp \in l)$

lemma (in forcing_data) *leq_abs[simp]*:

$\llbracket l \in M ; q \in M ; p \in M \rrbracket \implies is_leq(\#M, l, q, p) \longleftrightarrow \langle q, p \rangle \in l$
⟨proof⟩

definition

leq_fm :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
leq_fm(leq, q, p) \equiv *Exists*(*And*(*pair_fm*($q \# + 1, p \# + 1, 0$),*Member*(0, *leq*# + 1)))

lemma *arity_leq_fm* :

$\llbracket leq \in nat; q \in nat; p \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(leq_fm(leq, q, p)) = succ(q) \cup succ(p) \cup succ(leq)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *leq_fm_type[TC]* :

$\llbracket leq \in nat; q \in nat; p \in nat \rrbracket \implies leq_fm(leq, q, p) \in formula$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *sats_leq_fm* :

$\llbracket leq \in nat; q \in nat; p \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket \implies$

$sats(A, leq_fm(leq, q, p), env) \longleftrightarrow is_leq(\#\#A, nth(leq, env), nth(q, env), nth(p, env))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

16.7.1 The primitive recursion

```
consts forces' ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
primrec
  forces'(Member(x,y)) = forces_mem_fm(1,2,0,x#+4,y#+4)
  forces'(Equal(x,y)) = forces_eq_fm(1,2,0,x#+4,y#+4)
  forces'(Nand(p,q)) =
    Neg(Exists(And(Member(0,2), And(leq_fm(3,0,1), And(ren_forces_nand(forces'(p)),
      ren_forces_nand(forces'(q)))))))
  forces'(Forall(p)) = Forall(ren_forces_forall(forces'(p)))
```

definition

```
forces ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  forces( $\varphi$ )  $\equiv$  And(Member(0,1), forces'( $\varphi$ ))
```

lemma $forces'_type [TC]$: $\varphi \in formula \implies forces'(\varphi) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $forces_type[TC] : \varphi \in formula \implies forces(\varphi) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context forcing_data
begin

16.8 Forcing for atomic formulas in context

definition

```
forces_eq ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  forces_eq  $\equiv$  forces_eq'(P, leq)
```

definition

```
forces_mem ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  forces_mem  $\equiv$  forces_mem'(P, leq)
```

definition

```
is_forces_eq ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_forces_eq  $\equiv$  is_forces_eq'(\#\#M, P, leq)
```

definition

```
is_forces_mem ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_forces_mem  $\equiv$  is_forces_mem'(\#\#M, P, leq)
```

lemma *def_forces_eq*: $p \in P \implies \text{forces_eq}(p, t_1, t_2) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall s \in \text{domain}(t_1) \cup \text{domain}(t_2). \forall q. q \in P \wedge q \preceq p \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{forces_mem}(q, s, t_1) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_mem}(q, s, t_2)))$
{proof}

lemma *def_forces_mem*: $p \in P \implies \text{forces_mem}(p, t_1, t_2) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall v \in P. v \preceq p \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists q. \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge q \preceq v \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in t_2 \wedge q \preceq r \wedge \text{forces_eq}(q, t_1, s)))$
{proof}

lemma *forces_eq_abs* :
 $\llbracket p \in M ; t_1 \in M ; t_2 \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_forces_eq}(p, t_1, t_2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_eq}(p, t_1, t_2)$
{proof}

lemma *forces_mem_abs* :
 $\llbracket p \in M ; t_1 \in M ; t_2 \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_forces_mem}(p, t_1, t_2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_mem}(p, t_1, t_2)$
{proof}

lemma *sats_forces_eq_fm*:
assumes $p \in \text{nat} l \in \text{nat} q \in \text{nat} t_1 \in \text{nat} t_2 \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M)$
 $\text{nth}(p, \text{env}) = P \text{ nth}(l, \text{env}) = \text{leq}$
shows $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces_eq_fm}(p, l, q, t_1, t_2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is_forces_eq}(\text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t_1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t_2, \text{env}))$
{proof}

lemma *sats_forces_mem_fm*:
assumes $p \in \text{nat} l \in \text{nat} q \in \text{nat} t_1 \in \text{nat} t_2 \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M)$
 $\text{nth}(p, \text{env}) = P \text{ nth}(l, \text{env}) = \text{leq}$
shows $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces_mem_fm}(p, l, q, t_1, t_2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is_forces_mem}(\text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t_1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t_2, \text{env}))$
{proof}

definition
 $\text{forces_neq} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $\text{forces_neq}(p, t_1, t_2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. q \preceq p \wedge \text{forces_eq}(q, t_1, t_2))$

definition
 $\text{forces_nmem} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $\text{forces_nmem}(p, t_1, t_2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. q \preceq p \wedge \text{forces_mem}(q, t_1, t_2))$

lemma *forces_neq* :
 $\text{forces_neq}(p, t_1, t_2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_neq}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t_1, t_2)$
{proof}

lemma *forces_nmem* :
 $\text{forces_nmem}(p, t_1, t_2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_nmem}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t_1, t_2)$
{proof}

```

lemma sats_forces_Member :
  assumes x∈nat y∈nat env∈list(M)
    nth(x,env)=xx nth(y,env)=yy q∈M
  shows sats(M,forces(Member(x,y)),[q,P,leq,one]@env) ←→
    (q∈P ∧ is_forces_mem(q,xx,yy))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_forces_Equal :
  assumes x∈nat y∈nat env∈list(M)
    nth(x,env)=xx nth(y,env)=yy q∈M
  shows sats(M,forces(Equal(x,y)),[q,P,leq,one]@env) ←→
    (q∈P ∧ is_forces_eq(q,xx,yy))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_forces_Nand :
  assumes φ∈formula ψ∈formula env∈list(M) p∈M
  shows sats(M,forces(Nand(φ,ψ)),[p,P,leq,one]@env) ←→
    (p∈P ∧ ¬(∃ q∈M. q∈P ∧ is_leq(##M,leq,q,p) ∧
      (sats(M,forces'(φ),[q,P,leq,one]@env) ∧ sats(M,forces'ψ),[q,P,leq,one]@env))))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_forces_Neg :
  assumes φ∈formula env∈list(M) p∈M
  shows sats(M,forces(Neg(φ)),[p,P,leq,one]@env) ←→
    (p∈P ∧ ¬(∃ q∈M. q∈P ∧ is_leq(##M,leq,q,p) ∧
      (sats(M,forces'(φ),[q,P,leq,one]@env))))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_forces_Forall :
  assumes φ∈formula env∈list(M) p∈M
  shows sats(M,forces(Forall(φ)),[p,P,leq,one]@env) ←→
    p∈P ∧ (∀ x∈M. sats(M,forces'(φ),[p,P,leq,one,x]@env))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

16.9 The arity of forces

```

lemma arity_forces_at:
  assumes x ∈ nat y ∈ nat
  shows arity(forces(Member(x, y))) = (succ(x) ∪ succ(y)) #+ 4
    arity(forces(Equal(x, y))) = (succ(x) ∪ succ(y)) #+ 4
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_forces':
  assumes φ∈formula
  shows arity(forces'(φ)) ≤ arity(φ) #+ 4

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_forces :
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$ 
  shows  $arity(forces(\varphi)) \leq 4\# + arity(\varphi)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_forces_le :
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula \ n \in nat \ arity(\varphi) \leq n$ 
  shows  $arity(forces(\varphi)) \leq 4\# + n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

17 The Forcing Theorems

```

theory Forcing_Theorems
  imports
    Forces_Definition

```

```

begin

context forcing_data
begin

```

17.1 The forcing relation in context

```

abbreviation Forces ::  $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \ (\_ \Vdash \_ \ [36, 36, 36] \ 60)$  where
   $p \Vdash \varphi \ env \equiv M, ([p, P, leq, one] @ env) \models forces(\varphi)$ 

```

```

lemma Collect_forces :
  assumes
    fty:  $\varphi \in formula$  and
    far:  $arity(\varphi) \leq length(env)$  and
    envty:  $env \in list(M)$ 
  shows
     $\{p \in P . p \Vdash \varphi \ env\} \in M$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma forces_mem_iff_dense_below:  $p \in P \implies forces\_mem(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow dense\_below(p, t2, q \in P. \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in t2 \wedge q \preceq r \wedge forces\_eq(q, t1, s))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

17.2 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)

```

lemma strengthening_eq:
  assumes  $p \in P \ r \in P \ r \preceq p \ forces\_eq(p, t1, t2)$ 
  shows  $forces\_eq(r, t1, t2)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

17.3 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)

```
lemma strengthening_mem:  
  assumes p ∈ P r ∈ P r ⊣ p forces_mem(p,t1,t2)  
  shows forces_mem(r,t1,t2)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

17.4 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(b)

```
lemma density_mem:  
  assumes p ∈ P  
  shows forces_mem(p,t1,t2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  dense_below({q ∈ P. forces_mem(q,t1,t2)},p)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma aux_density_eq:  
  assumes  
    dense_below(  
      {q' ∈ P. ∀ q. q ∈ P  $\wedge$  q ⊣ q'  $\longrightarrow$  forces_mem(q,s,t1)  $\longleftrightarrow$  forces_mem(q,s,t2)}  
      ,p)  
    forces_mem(q,s,t1) q ∈ P p ∈ P q ⊣ p  
  shows  
    dense_below({r ∈ P. forces_mem(r,s,t2)},q)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma density_eq:  
  assumes p ∈ P  
  shows forces_eq(p,t1,t2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  dense_below({q ∈ P. forces_eq(q,t1,t2)},p)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

17.5 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.38

```
lemma not_forces_neq:  
  assumes p ∈ P  
  shows forces_eq(p,t1,t2)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  ( $\exists$  q ∈ P. q ⊣ p  $\wedge$  forces_neq(q,t1,t2))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma not_forces_nmem:  
  assumes p ∈ P  
  shows forces_mem(p,t1,t2)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  ( $\exists$  q ∈ P. q ⊣ p  $\wedge$  forces_nmem(q,t1,t2))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

lemma sats_forces_Nand':

assumes
 $p \in P \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \psi \in \text{formula} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$
shows
 $M, [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\text{Nand}(\varphi, \psi)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\neg(\exists q \in M. \ q \in P \wedge \text{is_leq}(\#M, \text{leq}, q, p) \wedge$
 $M, [q, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\varphi) \wedge$
 $M, [q, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\psi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_forces_Neg'*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \varphi \in \text{formula}$
shows
 $M, [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\text{Neg}(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\neg(\exists q \in M. \ q \in P \wedge \text{is_leq}(\#M, \text{leq}, q, p) \wedge$
 $M, [q, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\varphi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_forces_Forall'*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \varphi \in \text{formula}$
shows
 $M, [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\text{Forall}(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall x \in M. \ M, [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, x] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\varphi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

17.6 The relation of forcing and atomic formulas

lemma *Forces_Equal*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \text{nth}(n, \text{env}) = t1 \quad \text{nth}(m, \text{env}) = t2 \quad n \in \text{nat} \quad m \in \text{nat}$
shows
 $(p \Vdash \text{Equal}(n, m) \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_eq}(p, t1, t2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Forces_Member*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \text{nth}(n, \text{env}) = t1 \quad \text{nth}(m, \text{env}) = t2 \quad n \in \text{nat} \quad m \in \text{nat}$
shows
 $(p \Vdash \text{Member}(n, m) \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_mem}(p, t1, t2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Forces_Neg*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \varphi \in \text{formula}$
shows
 $(p \Vdash \text{Neg}(\varphi) \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists q \in M. \ q \in P \wedge q \preceq p \wedge (q \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

17.7 The relation of forcing and connectives

lemma *Forces_Nand*:

assumes

$p \in P$ $env \in list(M)$ $\varphi \in formula$ $\psi \in formula$

shows

$(p \Vdash Nand(\varphi, \psi) env) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists q \in M. q \in P \wedge q \leq p \wedge (q \Vdash \varphi env) \wedge (q \Vdash \psi env))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Forces_And_aux*:

assumes

$p \in P$ $env \in list(M)$ $\varphi \in formula$ $\psi \in formula$

shows

$p \Vdash And(\varphi, \psi) env \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall q \in M. q \in P \wedge q \leq p \longrightarrow (\exists r \in M. r \in P \wedge r \leq q \wedge (r \Vdash \varphi env) \wedge (r \Vdash \psi env)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Forces_And_iff_dense_below*:

assumes

$p \in P$ $env \in list(M)$ $\varphi \in formula$ $\psi \in formula$

shows

$(p \Vdash And(\varphi, \psi) env) \longleftrightarrow dense_below(\{r \in P. (r \Vdash \varphi env) \wedge (r \Vdash \psi env)\}, p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Forces_Forall*:

assumes

$p \in P$ $env \in list(M)$ $\varphi \in formula$

shows

$(p \Vdash Forall(\varphi) env) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in M. (p \Vdash \varphi ([x] @ env)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

bundle *some_rules* = *elem_of_val_pair* [dest] *SepReplace_iff* [simp del] *SepReplace_iff* [iff]

context

includes *some_rules*

begin

lemma *elem_of_valI*: $\exists \vartheta. \exists p \in P. p \in G \wedge \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge val(G, \vartheta) = x \implies x \in val(G, \pi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GenExtD*: $x \in M[G] \longleftrightarrow (\exists \tau \in M. x = val(G, \tau))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left_in_M* : $tau \in M \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in tau \implies a \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.8 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.29

lemma generic_inter_dense_below:
assumes $D \in M$ $M_generic(G)$ $dense_below(D,p)$ $p \in G$
shows $D \cap G \neq \emptyset$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.9 Auxiliary results for Lemma IV.2.40(a)

lemma IV240a_mem_Collect:
assumes
 $\pi \in M$ $\tau \in M$
shows
 $\{q \in P. \exists \sigma. \exists r. r \in P \wedge \langle \sigma, r \rangle \in \tau \wedge q \leq r \wedge forces_eq(q, \pi, \sigma)\} \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma IV240a_mem:

assumes
 $M_generic(G)$ $p \in G$ $\pi \in M$ $\tau \in M$ $forces_mem(p, \pi, \tau)$
 $\wedge q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in domain(\tau) \implies forces_eq(q, \pi, \sigma) \implies$
 $val(G, \pi) = val(G, \sigma)$
shows
 $val(G, \pi) \in val(G, \tau)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma refl_forces_eq: $p \in P \implies forces_eq(p, x, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma forces_memI: $\langle \sigma, r \rangle \in \tau \implies p \in P \implies r \in P \implies p \leq r \implies forces_mem(p, \sigma, \tau)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma IV240a_eq_1st_incl:

assumes
 $M_generic(G)$ $p \in G$ $forces_eq(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
and
 $IH: \bigwedge q. q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(forces_mem(q, \sigma, \tau) \longrightarrow val(G, \sigma) \in val(G, \tau)) \wedge$
 $(forces_mem(q, \sigma, \vartheta) \longrightarrow val(G, \sigma) \in val(G, \vartheta))$

shows
 $val(G, \tau) \subseteq val(G, \vartheta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma IV240a_eq_2nd_incl:
assumes

$M_generic(G) \ p \in G \ forces_eq(p, \tau, \vartheta)$

and

$IH: \bigwedge q \sigma. q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(forces_mem(q, \sigma, \tau) \implies val(G, \sigma) \in val(G, \tau)) \wedge$
 $(forces_mem(q, \sigma, \vartheta) \implies val(G, \sigma) \in val(G, \vartheta))$

shows

$val(G, \vartheta) \subseteq val(G, \tau)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IV240a_eq*:

assumes

$M_generic(G) \ p \in G \ forces_eq(p, \tau, \vartheta)$

and

$IH: \bigwedge q \sigma. q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(forces_mem(q, \sigma, \tau) \implies val(G, \sigma) \in val(G, \tau)) \wedge$
 $(forces_mem(q, \sigma, \vartheta) \implies val(G, \sigma) \in val(G, \vartheta))$

shows

$val(G, \tau) = val(G, \vartheta)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

17.10 Induction on names

lemma *core_induction*:

assumes

$\bigwedge \tau \vartheta \ p. p \in P \implies [\bigwedge q \sigma. [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(0, \tau, \sigma, q)] \implies$
 $Q(1, \tau, \vartheta, p)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \vartheta \ p. p \in P \implies [\bigwedge q \sigma. [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(1, \sigma, \tau, q)] \implies$
 $Q(1, \sigma, \vartheta, q) \implies Q(0, \tau, \vartheta, p)$
 $ft \in \mathcal{P} \ p \in P$

shows

$Q(ft, \tau, \vartheta, p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forces_induction_with_cons*:

assumes

$\bigwedge \tau \vartheta \ p. p \in P \implies [\bigwedge q \sigma. [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(q, \tau, \sigma)] \implies R(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \vartheta \ p. p \in P \implies [\bigwedge q \sigma. [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta)] \implies R(q, \sigma, \tau)] \implies R(q, \sigma, \vartheta)$
 $\wedge R(q, \sigma, \vartheta) \implies Q(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
 $p \in P$

shows

$Q(p, \tau, \vartheta) \wedge R(p, \tau, \vartheta)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forces_induction*:

assumes

$\bigwedge \tau \vartheta. [\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in domain(\vartheta) \implies Q(\tau, \sigma)] \implies R(\tau, \vartheta)$

$\bigwedge \tau \vartheta. [\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies R(\sigma, \tau) \wedge R(\sigma, \vartheta)] \implies Q(\tau, \vartheta)$

shows

$Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge R(\tau, \vartheta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.11 Lemma IV.2.40(a), in full

lemma *IV240a*:

assumes

$M_generic(G)$

shows

$(\tau \in M \longrightarrow \vartheta \in M \longrightarrow (\forall p \in G. forces_eq(p, \tau, \vartheta) \longrightarrow val(G, \tau) = val(G, \vartheta))) \wedge$
 $(\tau \in M \longrightarrow \vartheta \in M \longrightarrow (\forall p \in G. forces_mem(p, \tau, \vartheta) \longrightarrow val(G, \tau) \in val(G, \vartheta)))$
 $(\text{is } ?Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge ?R(\tau, \vartheta))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

17.12 Lemma IV.2.40(b)

lemma *IV240b_mem*:

assumes

$M_generic(G) \quad val(G, \pi) \in val(G, \tau) \quad \pi \in M \quad \tau \in M$

and

$IH: \bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in domain(\tau) \implies val(G, \pi) = val(G, \sigma) \implies$
 $\exists p \in G. forces_eq(p, \pi, \sigma)$

shows

$\exists p \in G. forces_mem(p, \pi, \tau)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *Collect_forces_eq_in_M*:

assumes $\tau \in M \quad \vartheta \in M$

shows $\{p \in P. forces_eq(p, \tau, \vartheta)\} \in M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IV240b_eq_Collects*:

assumes $\tau \in M \quad \vartheta \in M$

shows $\{p \in P. \exists \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta). forces_mem(p, \sigma, \tau) \wedge forces_mem(p, \sigma, \vartheta)\} \in M$
and

$\{p \in P. \exists \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta). forces_nmem(p, \sigma, \tau) \wedge forces_mem(p, \sigma, \vartheta)\} \in M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IV240b_eq*:

assumes

$M_generic(G) \quad val(G, \tau) = val(G, \vartheta) \quad \tau \in M \quad \vartheta \in M$

and

$IH: \bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(val(G, \sigma) \in val(G, \tau) \longrightarrow (\exists q \in G. forces_mem(q, \sigma, \tau))) \wedge$
 $(val(G, \sigma) \in val(G, \vartheta) \longrightarrow (\exists q \in G. forces_mem(q, \sigma, \vartheta)))$

shows

$\exists p \in G. \text{forces_eq}(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IV240b*:

assumes

$M\text{-generic}(G)$

shows

$(\tau \in M \rightarrow \vartheta \in M \rightarrow \text{val}(G, \tau) = \text{val}(G, \vartheta) \rightarrow (\exists p \in G. \text{forces_eq}(p, \tau, \vartheta))) \wedge$
 $(\tau \in M \rightarrow \vartheta \in M \rightarrow \text{val}(G, \tau) \in \text{val}(G, \vartheta) \rightarrow (\exists p \in G. \text{forces_mem}(p, \tau, \vartheta)))$

$(\text{is } ?Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge ?R(\tau, \vartheta))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map_val_in_MG*:

assumes

$env \in list(M)$

shows

$map(\text{val}(G), env) \in list(M[G])$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_mem*:

assumes

$env \in list(M) \quad M\text{-generic}(G)$

$n \in nat \quad m \in nat \quad n < \text{length}(env) \quad m < \text{length}(env)$

shows

$(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \text{Member}(n, m) \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow M[G], map(\text{val}(G), env) \models \text{Member}(n, m)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_eq*:

assumes

$env \in list(M) \quad M\text{-generic}(G)$

$n \in nat \quad m \in nat \quad n < \text{length}(env) \quad m < \text{length}(env)$

shows

$(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \text{Equal}(n, m) \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow M[G], map(\text{val}(G), env) \models \text{Equal}(n, m)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arities_at_aux*:

assumes

$n \in nat \quad m \in nat \quad env \in list(M) \quad \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(m) \leq \text{length}(env)$

shows

$n < \text{length}(env) \quad m < \text{length}(env)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

17.13 The Strengthening Lemma

lemma *strengthening_lemma*:

assumes

$p \in P \quad \varphi \in formula \quad r \in P \quad r \preceq p$

shows

$\wedge_{env. env \in list(M)} \Rightarrow arity(\varphi) \leq length(env) \Rightarrow p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env} \Rightarrow r \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.14 The Density Lemma

lemma *arity_Nand_le*:

assumes $\varphi \in formula \psi \in formula \ arity(Nand(\varphi, \psi)) \leq length(env) \ env \in list(A)$
shows $arity(\varphi) \leq length(env) \ arity(\psi) \leq length(env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_imp_forces*:

assumes
 $p \in P \ \varphi \in formula$
shows
 $\wedge_{env. env \in list(M)} \Rightarrow arity(\varphi) \leq length(env) \Rightarrow$
 $dense_below(\{q \in P. (q \Vdash \varphi \text{ env})\}, p) \Rightarrow (p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *density_lemma*:

assumes
 $p \in P \ \varphi \in formula \ env \in list(M) \ arity(\varphi) \leq length(env)$
shows
 $p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env} \iff dense_below(\{q \in P. (q \Vdash \varphi \text{ env})\}, p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.15 The Truth Lemma

lemma *Forces_And*:

assumes
 $p \in P \ env \in list(M) \ \varphi \in formula \ \psi \in formula$
 $arity(\varphi) \leq length(env) \ arity(\psi) \leq length(env)$
shows
 $p \Vdash And(\varphi, \psi) \ env \iff (p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \wedge (p \Vdash \psi \text{ env})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Forces_Nand_alt*:

assumes
 $p \in P \ env \in list(M) \ \varphi \in formula \ \psi \in formula$
 $arity(\varphi) \leq length(env) \ arity(\psi) \leq length(env)$
shows
 $(p \Vdash Nand(\varphi, \psi) \ env) \iff (p \Vdash Neg(And(\varphi, \psi)) \ env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_Neg*:

assumes
 $\varphi \in formula \ M_generic(G) \ env \in list(M) \ arity(\varphi) \leq length(env) \ \text{and}$
 $IH: (\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \iff M[G], map(val(G), env) \models \varphi$
shows
 $(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash Neg(\varphi) \ env) \iff M[G], map(val(G), env) \models Neg(\varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_And*:

assumes

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \psi \in \text{formula} \\ & \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad \text{arity}(\psi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad M\text{-generic}(G) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IH: } (\exists p \in G. \ p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) & \longleftrightarrow M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(G), \text{env}) \models \varphi \\ (\exists p \in G. \ p \Vdash \psi \text{ env}) & \longleftrightarrow M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(G), \text{env}) \models \psi \end{aligned}$$

shows

$$(\exists p \in G. (p \Vdash \text{And}(\varphi, \psi) \text{ env})) \longleftrightarrow M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(G), \text{env}) \models \text{And}(\varphi, \psi)$$

<proof>

definition

ren_truth_lemma :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ren_truth_lemma}(\varphi) \equiv & \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\\ & \text{And}(\text{Equal}(0, 5), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(1, 8), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(2, 9), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(3, 10), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(4, 6), \\ & \text{iterates}(\lambda p. \text{incr_bv}(p) '5 , 6, \varphi)))))))))) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ren_truth_lemma_type[TC]* :

$$\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{ren_truth_lemma}(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$$

<proof>

lemma *arity_ren_truth* :

assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula}$

shows $\text{arity}(\text{ren_truth_lemma}(\varphi)) \leq 6 \cup \text{succ}(\text{arity}(\varphi))$

<proof>

lemma *sats_ren_truth_lemma*:

$$\begin{aligned} [q, b, d, a1, a2, a3] @ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \\ (M, [q, b, d, a1, a2, a3] @ \text{env} \models \text{ren_truth_lemma}(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow \\ (M, [q, a1, a2, a3, b] @ \text{env} \models \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma *truth_lemma'* :

assumes

$$\varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{succ}(\text{length}(\text{env}))$$

shows

$$\text{separation}(\#\# M, \lambda d. \exists b \in M. \forall q \in P. q \preceq d \longrightarrow \neg(q \Vdash \varphi ([b] @ \text{env})))$$

<proof>

lemma *truth_lemma*:

assumes

$$\varphi \in \text{formula} \quad M\text{-generic}(G)$$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge \text{env. } \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \implies \\ (\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(G), \text{env}) \models \varphi \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

17.16 The “Definition of forcing”

```

lemma definition_of_forcing:
  assumes
     $p \in P \quad \varphi \in formula \quad env \in list(M) \quad arity(\varphi) \leq length(env)$ 
  shows
     $(p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall G. M\text{-generic}(G) \wedge p \in G \longrightarrow M[G], map(val(G), env) \models \varphi)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemmas definability = forces_type
end

end

```

18 Auxiliary renamings for Separation

```

theory Separation_Rename
  imports Interface
begin

lemma apply_fun:  $f \in Pi(A, B) \implies \langle a, b \rangle : f \implies f^{\cdot}a = b$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth_concat :  $[p, t] \in list(A) \implies env \in list(A) \implies nth(1 \# + length(env), [p] @ env @ [t]) = t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth_concat2 :  $env \in list(A) \implies nth(length(env), env @ [p, t]) = p$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth_concat3 :  $env \in list(A) \implies u = nth(succ(length(env)), env @ [p, u])$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition sep_var ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $sep\_var(n) == \{<0, 1>, <1, 3>, <2, 4>, <3, 5>, <4, 0>, <5\# + n, 6>, <6\# + n, 2>\}$ 

definition sep_env ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $sep\_env(n) == \lambda i. i \in (5\# + n) - 5 . i\# + 2$ 

definition weak ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
   $weak(n, m) == \{i\# + m . i \in n\}$ 

lemma weakD :
  assumes  $n \in nat \quad k \in nat \quad x \in weak(n, k)$ 
  shows  $\exists i \in n . x = i\# + k$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma weak_equal :
  assumes n∈nat m∈nat
  shows weak(n,m) = (m#+n) - m
⟨proof⟩

lemma weak_zero:
  shows weak(0,n) = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma weakening_diff :
  assumes n ∈ nat
  shows weak(n,7) - weak(n,5) ⊆ {5#+n, 6#+n}
⟨proof⟩

lemma in_add_del :
  assumes x∈j#+n n∈nat j∈nat
  shows x < j ∨ x ∈ weak(n,j)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sep_env_action:
  assumes
    [t,p,u,P,leq,o,pi] ∈ list(M)
    env ∈ list(M)
  shows ∀ i . i ∈ weak(length(env),5) —→
    nth(sep_env(length(env)) ‘i,[t,p,u,P,leq,o,pi]@env) = nth(i,[p,P,leq,o,t] @ env
    @ [pi,u])
⟨proof⟩

lemma sep_env_type :
  assumes n ∈ nat
  shows sep_env(n) : (5#+n)-5 → (7#+n)-7
⟨proof⟩

lemma sep_var_fin_type :
  assumes n ∈ nat
  shows sep_var(n) : 7#+n -||> 7#+n
⟨proof⟩

lemma sep_var_domain :
  assumes n ∈ nat
  shows domain(sep_var(n)) = 7#+n - weak(n,5)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sep_var_type :
  assumes n ∈ nat
  shows sep_var(n) : (7#+n)-weak(n,5) → 7#+n
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sep_var_action :
  assumes
     $[t,p,u,P,leq,o,pi] \in list(M)$ 
     $env \in list(M)$ 
  shows  $\forall i . i \in (7\# + length(env)) - weak(length(env), 5) \rightarrow$ 
     $nth(sep_var(length(env)) `i, [t,p,u,P,leq,o,pi] @ env) = nth(i, [p,P,leq,o,t] @ env$ 
     $@ [pi,u])$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
   $rensep :: i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $rensep(n) == union\_fun(sep\_var(n), sep\_env(n), 7\# + n - weak(n, 5), weak(n, 5))$ 

lemma rensep_aux :
  assumes  $n \in nat$ 
  shows  $(7\# + n - weak(n, 5)) \cup weak(n, 5) = 7\# + n$   $7\# + n \cup (7\# + n - 7) =$ 
   $7\# + n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rensep_type :
  assumes  $n \in nat$ 
  shows  $rensep(n) \in 7\# + n \rightarrow 7\# + n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rensep_action :
  assumes  $[t,p,u,P,leq,o,pi] @ env \in list(M)$ 
  shows  $\forall i . i < 7\# + length(env) \rightarrow nth(rensep(length(env)) `i, [t,p,u,P,leq,o,pi] @ env) =$ 
   $= nth(i, [p,P,leq,o,t] @ env @ [pi,u])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition sep_ren ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
   $sep\_ren(n, \varphi) == ren(\varphi) ` (7\# + n) ` (7\# + n) ` rensep(n)$ 

lemma arity_rensep: assumes  $\varphi \in formula$   $env \in list(M)$ 
  assumes  $arity(\varphi) \leq 7\# + length(env)$ 
  shows  $arity(sep\_ren(length(env), \varphi)) \leq 7\# + length(env)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma type_rensep [TC]:
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$   $env \in list(M)$ 
  shows  $sep\_ren(length(env), \varphi) \in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sepr_en_action:
  assumes  $arity(\varphi) \leq 7\# + length(env)$ 
   $[t,p,u,P,leq,o,pi] \in list(M)$ 
   $env \in list(M)$ 
   $\varphi \in formula$ 

```

```

shows  $sats(M, sep\_ren(length(env), \varphi), [t, p, u, P, leq, o, pi] @ env) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, \varphi[p, P, leq, o, t] @ env @ [pi, u])$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

```

19 The Axiom of Separation in $M[G]$

```

theory Separation_Axiom
imports Forcing_Theorems Separation_Rename
begin

context G-generic
begin

lemma map_val :
assumes env  $\in$  list( $M[G]$ )
shows  $\exists nenv \in$  list( $M$ ). env = map(val(G), nenv)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Collect_sats_in_MG :
assumes
 $c \in M[G]$ 
 $\varphi \in formula$  env  $\in$  list( $M[G]$ ) arity( $\varphi$ )  $\leq 1 \# + length(env)$ 
shows
 $\{x \in c. (M[G], [x] @ env \models \varphi)\} \in M[G]$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem separation_in_MG:
assumes
 $\varphi \in formula$  and arity( $\varphi$ )  $\leq 1 \# + length(env)$  and env  $\in$  list( $M[G]$ )
shows
 $separation(\#\# M[G], \lambda x. (M[G], [x] @ env \models \varphi))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
end

```

20 The Axiom of Pairing in $M[G]$

```

theory Pairing_Axiom imports Names begin

context forcing_data
begin

lemma val_Upair :

```

$\text{one} \in G \implies \text{val}(G, \{\langle \tau, \text{one} \rangle, \langle \varrho, \text{one} \rangle\}) = \{\text{val}(G, \tau), \text{val}(G, \varrho)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
lemma pairing_in_MG :
  assumes M-generic(G)
  shows upair_ax(##M[G])
⟨proof⟩

end
end
```

21 The Axiom of Unions in $M[G]$

```
theory Union_Axiom
  imports Names
begin

context forcing_data
begin

definition Union_name_body :: [i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
  Union_name_body(P',leq',τ,ϑp) == (exists σ[##M].
    exists q[##M]. (q ∈ P' ∧ (<σ,q> ∈ τ ∧
      exists r[##M]. r ∈ P' ∧ (<fst(ϑp),r> ∈ σ ∧ <snd(ϑp),r> ∈ leq' ∧
      <snd(ϑp),q> ∈ leq'))))

definition Union_name_fm :: i where
  Union_name_fm ==
  exists(
  exists(And(pair-fm(1,0,2),
  exists(
  exists(And(Member(0,7),
  exists(And(And(pair-fm(2,1,0),Member(0,6)),
  exists(And(Member(0,9),
  exists(And(And(pair-fm(6,1,0),Member(0,4)),
  exists(And(And(pair-fm(6,2,0),Member(0,10)),
  exists(And(pair-fm(7,5,0),Member(0,11)))))))))))))))

lemma Union_name_fm_type [TC]:
  Union_name_fm ∈ formula
⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_Union_name_fm :
  arity(Union_name_fm) = 4
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_Union_name_fm :
```

```

 $\llbracket a \in M ; b \in M ; P' \in M ; p \in M ; \vartheta \in M ; \tau \in M ; leq' \in M \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $sats(M, Union\_name\_fm, [\langle \vartheta, p \rangle, \tau, leq', P'] @ [a, b]) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $Union\_name\_body(P', leq', \tau, \langle \vartheta, p \rangle)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma domD :
assumes  $\tau \in M$   $\sigma \in domain(\tau)$ 
shows  $\sigma \in M$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition Union_name ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
 $Union\_name(\tau) ==$ 
 $\{u \in domain(\bigcup(domain(\tau))) \times P . Union\_name\_body(P, leq, \tau, u)\}$ 

```

```

lemma Union_name_M : assumes  $\tau \in M$ 
shows  $\{u \in domain(\bigcup(domain(\tau))) \times P . Union\_name\_body(P, leq, \tau, u)\} \in M$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Union_MG_Eq :
assumes  $a \in M[G]$  and  $a = val(G, \tau)$  and  $filter(G)$  and  $\tau \in M$ 
shows  $\bigcup a = val(G, Union\_name(\tau))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma union_in_MG : assumes  $filter(G)$ 
shows  $Union\_ax(\#\# M[G])$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

theorem Union_MG :  $M\_generic(G) \implies Union\_ax(\#\# M[G])$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

end
end

```

22 The Powerset Axiom in $M[G]$

```

theory Powerset_Axiom
imports Separation_Axiom Pairing_Axiom Union_Axiom
begin

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

lemma Collect_inter_Transset:
assumes
 $Transset(M)$   $b \in M$ 
shows

```

$$\{x \in b . P(x)\} = \{x \in b . P(x)\} \cap M$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

context G-generic begin

lemma name_components_in_M:
  assumes < $\sigma, p$ >  $\in \vartheta$   $\vartheta \in M$ 
  shows  $\sigma \in M$   $p \in M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sats fst snd in_M:
  assumes
     $A \in M$   $B \in M$   $\varphi \in formula$   $p \in M$   $l \in M$   $o \in M$   $\chi \in M$ 
     $arity(\varphi) \leq 6$ 
  shows
     $\{sq \in A \times B . sats(M, \varphi, [snd(sq), p, l, o, fst(sq), \chi])\} \in M$ 
    (is ? $\vartheta \in M$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Pow_inter_MG:
  assumes
     $a \in M[G]$ 
  shows
     $Pow(a) \cap M[G] \in M[G]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

```

```

context G-generic begin

interpretation mgtriv: M_trivial ## M[G]
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

theorem power_in_MG :
  power_ax(##(M[G]))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end
end

```

23 The Axiom of Extensionality in $M[G]$

```

theory Extensionality_Axiom
imports
  Names
begin

context forcing_data
begin

```

```

lemma extensionality_in_MG : extensionality(##(M[G]))
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end

```

24 The Axiom of Foundation in $M[G]$

```

theory Foundation_Axiom
imports
  Names
begin

context forcing_data
begin

```

```

lemma foundation_in_MG : foundation_ax(##(M[G]))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma foundation_ax(##(M[G]))
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end

```

25 The binder *Least*

```

theory Least
imports
  Names

```

```

begin

```

We have some basic results on the least ordinal satisfying a predicate.

```

lemma Least_Ord:  $(\mu \alpha. R(\alpha)) = (\mu \alpha. Ord(\alpha) \wedge R(\alpha))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Ord_Least_cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge y. Ord(y) \implies R(y) \longleftrightarrow Q(y)$ 
  shows  $(\mu \alpha. R(\alpha)) = (\mu \alpha. Q(\alpha))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition

```

```

  least ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  least( $M, Q, i$ )  $\equiv$  ordinal( $M, i$ )  $\wedge$  (

```

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{empty}(M, i) \wedge (\forall b[M]. \text{ordinal}(M, b) \longrightarrow \neg Q(b))) \\ & \vee (Q(i) \wedge (\forall b[M]. \text{ordinal}(M, b) \wedge b \in i \longrightarrow \neg Q(b))) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{least_fm} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where} \\ \text{least_fm}(q, i) \equiv & \text{And}(\text{ordinal_fm}(i), \\ & \text{Or}(\text{And}(\text{empty_fm}(i), \text{Forall}(\text{Implies}(\text{ordinal_fm}(0), \text{Neg}(q)))), \\ & \text{And}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(q, \text{Equal}(0, \text{succ}(i)))), \\ & \text{Forall}(\text{Implies}(\text{And}(\text{ordinal_fm}(0), \text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(i))), \text{Neg}(q)))))) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *least_fm_type*[TC] : $i \in \text{nat} \implies q \in \text{formula} \implies \text{least_fm}(q, i) \in \text{formula}$
⟨proof⟩

lemmas *basic_fm_simps* = *sats_subset_fm'* *sats_transset_fm'* *sats_ordinal_fm'*

lemma *sats_least_fm* :
assumes *p_iff_sats*:
 $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies P(a) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, p, \text{Cons}(a, \text{env}))$
shows
 $\llbracket y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A); 0 \in A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{least_fm}(p, y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{least}(\#\#A, P, \text{nth}(y, \text{env}))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *least_iff_sats*:
assumes *is_Q_iff_sats*:
 $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies \text{is_Q}(a) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, q, \text{Cons}(a, \text{env}))$
shows
 $\llbracket \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A); 0 \in A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{least}(\#\#A, \text{is_Q}, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{least_fm}(q, j), \text{env})$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *least_conj*: $a \in M \implies \text{least}(\#\#M, \lambda x. x \in M \wedge Q(x), a) \longleftrightarrow \text{least}(\#\#M, Q, a)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *M_ctm*) *unique_least*: $a \in M \implies b \in M \implies \text{least}(\#\#M, Q, a) \implies \text{least}(\#\#M, Q, b)$
 $\implies a = b$
⟨proof⟩

context *M_trivial*
begin

25.1 Absoluteness and closure under Least

lemma *least_abs*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. Q(x) \implies M(x)$ $M(a)$
shows $\text{least}(M, Q, a) \longleftrightarrow a = (\mu x. Q(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma Least_closed:  
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. Q(x) \implies M(x)$   
  shows  $M(\mu x. Q(x))$   
  ⟨proof⟩  
end  
end
```

26 The Axiom of Replacement in $M[G]$

```
theory Replacement_Axiom  
imports  
  Least Relative_Univ Separation_Axiom Renaming_Auto  
begin  
  
⟨ML⟩  
  
definition renrep_fn ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where  
  renrep_fn(env) == sum(renrep1_fn,id(length(env)),6,8,length(env))  
  
definition  
  renrep ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where  
  renrep( $\varphi$ ,env) = ren( $\varphi$ ) ‘(6#+length(env)) ‘(8#+length(env)) ‘renrep_fn(env)  
  
lemma renrep_type [TC]:  
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$  env ∈ list( $M$ )  
  shows renrep( $\varphi$ ,env) ∈ formula  
  ⟨proof⟩  
  
lemma arity_renrep:  
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$  arity( $\varphi$ ) ≤ 6#+length(env) env ∈ list( $M$ )  
  shows arity(renrep( $\varphi$ ,env)) ≤ 8#+length(env)  
  ⟨proof⟩  
  
lemma renrep_sats :  
  arity( $\varphi$ ) ≤ 6 #+ length(env)  $\implies$   
   $[P, leq, o, p, \varrho, \tau] @ env \in list(M) \implies$   
   $V \in M \implies \alpha \in M \implies$   
   $\varphi \in formula \implies$   
  sats( $M, \varphi, [p, P, leq, o, \varrho, \tau] @ env$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  sats( $M, renrep(\varphi, env), [V, \tau, \varrho, p, \alpha, P, leq, o]$   
  @ env)  
  ⟨proof⟩  
  
⟨ML⟩  
  
definition renpbdy_fn ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
```

renpbdy_fn(*env*) == *sum*(*renpbdy1_fn,id*(*length(env)*),6,7,*length(env)*)

definition

renpbdy :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

renpbdy(φ ,*env*) = *ren*(φ) ‘(6#+*length(env)*) ‘(7#+*length(env)*) ‘*renpbdy_fn*(*env*)

lemma

renpbdy_type [*TC*]: $\varphi \in formula \implies env \in list(M) \implies renpbdy(\varphi, env) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_renpbdy*: $\varphi \in formula \implies arity(\varphi) \leq 6 \# + length(env) \implies env \in list(M)$
 $\implies arity(renpbdy(\varphi, env)) \leq 7 \# + length(env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

sats_renpbdy: $arity(\varphi) \leq 6 \# + length(nenv) \implies [\varrho, p, x, \alpha, P, leq, o, \pi] @ nenv \in list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$
 $sats(M, \varphi, [\varrho, p, \alpha, P, leq, o] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, renpbdy(\varphi, nenv), [\varrho, p, x, \alpha, P, leq, o]$
 $@ nenv)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *renbody_fn* :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

renbody_fn(*env*) == *sum*(*renbody1_fn,id*(*length(env)*),5,6,*length(env)*)

definition

renbody :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

renbody(φ ,*env*) = *ren*(φ) ‘(5#+*length(env)*) ‘(6#+*length(env)*) ‘*renbody_fn*(*env*)

lemma

renbody_type [*TC*]: $\varphi \in formula \implies env \in list(M) \implies renbody(\varphi, env) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_renbody*: $\varphi \in formula \implies arity(\varphi) \leq 5 \# + length(env) \implies env \in list(M)$
 $\implies arity(renbody(\varphi, env)) \leq 6 \# + length(env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

sats_renbody: $arity(\varphi) \leq 5 \# + length(nenv) \implies [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, o] @ nenv \in list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$
 $sats(M, \varphi, [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, o] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, renbody(\varphi, nenv), [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, o]$
 $@ nenv)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

context G-generic
begin

lemma pow_inter_M:
  assumes
     $x \in M \ y \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{powerset}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{Pow}(x) \cap M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

schematic_goal sats_prebody_fm_auto:
  assumes
     $\varphi \in \text{formula} [P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, p, \varrho, \pi] @ nenv \in \text{list}(M) \ \alpha \in M \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \ \# + \text{length}(nenv)$ 
  shows
     $(\exists \tau \in M. \exists V \in M. \text{is\_Vset}(\#\#M, \alpha, V) \wedge \tau \in V \wedge \text{sats}(M, \text{forces}(\varphi), [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, \varrho, \tau]) @ nenv))$ 
     $\longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \text{?prebody\_fm}, [\varrho, p, \alpha, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ nenv)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemmas new_fm_defs = fm_defs is_transrec_fm_def is_eclose_fm_def mem_eclose_fm_def
finite_ordinal_fm_def is_wfrec_fm_def Memrel_fm_def eclose_n_fm_def is_recfun_fm_def
is_iterates_fm_def iterates_MH_fm_def is_nat_case_fm_def quasinat_fm_def pre_image_fm_def restriction_fm_def

lemma prebody_fm_type [TC]:
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows prebody_fm( $\varphi, \text{env}$ )  $\in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_prebody_fm:
  assumes
     $[P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, p, \varrho] @ nenv \in \text{list}(M) \ \varphi \in \text{formula} \ \alpha \in M \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \ \# + \text{length}(nenv)$ 
  shows
     $\text{sats}(M, \text{prebody\_fm}(\varphi, nenv), [\varrho, p, \alpha, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists \tau \in M. \exists V \in M. \text{is\_Vset}(\#\#M, \alpha, V) \wedge \tau \in V \wedge \text{sats}(M, \text{forces}(\varphi), [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, \varrho, \tau]) @ nenv))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_prebody_fm:
  assumes
     $\varphi \in \text{formula} \ \alpha \in M \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \ \# + \text{length}(\text{env})$ 

```

shows
 $\text{arity}(\text{prebody_fm}(\varphi, \text{env})) \leq 6 \ #+ \ \text{length}(\text{env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition
 $\text{body_fm}' :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $\text{body_fm}'(\varphi, \text{env}) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair_fm}(0,1,2), \text{renpbdy}(\text{prebody_fm}(\varphi, \text{env}), \text{env}))))$

lemma $\text{body_fm}'\text{-type}[TC]: \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \text{body_fm}'(\varphi, \text{env}) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_body_fm}':$
assumes
 $\varphi \in \text{formula} \ \alpha \in M \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \ #+ \ \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $\text{arity}(\text{body_fm}'(\varphi, \text{env})) \leq 5 \ #+ \ \text{length}(\text{env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sats_body_fm}':$
assumes
 $\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle \ x \in M \ [\alpha, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, p, \varrho] @ \text{nenv} \in \text{list}(M) \ \varphi \in \text{formula} \ \text{arity}(\varphi)$
 $\leq 2 \ #+ \ \text{length}(\text{nenv})$
shows
 $\text{sats}(M, \text{body_fm}'(\varphi, \text{nenv}), [\alpha, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{nenv}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{sats}(M, \text{renpbdy}(\text{prebody_fm}(\varphi, \text{nenv}), \text{nenv}), [\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x), x, \alpha, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{nenv})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition
 $\text{body_fm} :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $\text{body_fm}(\varphi, \text{env}) \equiv \text{renbody}(\text{body_fm}'(\varphi, \text{env}), \text{env})$

lemma $\text{body_fm_type}[TC]: \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{body_fm}(\varphi, \text{env}) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sats_body_fm}:$
assumes
 $\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle \ [\alpha, x, m, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{nenv} \in \text{list}(M)$
 $\varphi \in \text{formula} \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \ #+ \ \text{length}(\text{nenv})$
shows
 $\text{sats}(M, \text{body_fm}(\varphi, \text{nenv}), [\alpha, x, m, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{nenv}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{sats}(M, \text{renpbdy}(\text{prebody_fm}(\varphi, \text{nenv}), \text{nenv}), [\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x), x, \alpha, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{nenv})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sats_renpbdy_prebody_fm}:$
assumes
 $\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle \ x \in M \ [\alpha, m, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{nenv} \in \text{list}(M)$
 $\varphi \in \text{formula} \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \ #+ \ \text{length}(\text{nenv})$
shows
 $\text{sats}(M, \text{renpbdy}(\text{prebody_fm}(\varphi, \text{nenv}), \text{nenv}), [\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x), x, \alpha, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{nenv})$

```

 $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $sats(M, prebody\_fm(\varphi, nenv), [fst(x), snd(x), \alpha, P, leq, one] @ nenv)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma body_lemma:
assumes
   $\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle \in M$  [x, \alpha, m, P, leq, one] @ nenv  $\in list(M)$ 
   $\varphi \in formula$  arity( $\varphi$ )  $\leq 2 \# + length(nenv)$ 
shows
   $sats(M, body\_fm(\varphi, nenv), [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, one] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(\exists \tau \in M. \exists V \in M. is\_Vset(\lambda a. (\#\# M)(a), \alpha, V) \wedge \tau \in V \wedge (snd(x) \Vdash \varphi ([fst(x), \tau] @ nenv)))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Replace_sats_in_MG:
assumes
   $c \in M[G]$  env  $\in list(M[G])$ 
   $\varphi \in formula$  arity( $\varphi$ )  $\leq 2 \# + length(env)$ 
   $univalent(\#\# M[G], c, \lambda x v. (M[G], [x, v] @ env \models \varphi))$ 
shows
   $\{v. x \in c, v \in M[G] \wedge (M[G], [x, v] @ env \models \varphi)\} \in M[G]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

theorem strong_replacement_in_MG:
assumes
   $\varphi \in formula$  and arity( $\varphi$ )  $\leq 2 \# + length(env)$  env  $\in list(M[G])$ 
shows
   $strong\_replacement(\#\# M[G], \lambda x v. sats(M[G], \varphi, [x, v] @ env))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

end

27 The Axiom of Infinity in $M[G]$

```

theory Infinity_Axiom
  imports Pairing_Axiom Union_Axiom Separation_Axiom
begin

context G_generic begin

interpretation mg_triv: M_trivial##M[G]
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma infinity_in_MG : infinity_ax(\#\# M[G])
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
end

```

28 The Axiom of Choice in $M[G]$

```

theory Choice_Axiom
imports Powerset_Axiom Pairing_Axiom Union_Axiom Extensionality_Axiom
Foundation_Axiom Powerset_Axiom Separation_Axiom
Replacement_Axiom Interface Infinity_Axiom
begin

definition
induced_surj ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$  where
induced_surj( $f, a, e$ ) ==  $f^{-1}(\text{range}(f) - a) \times \{e\} \cup \text{restrict}(f, f^{-1}a)$ 

lemma domain_induced_surj:  $\text{domain}(\text{induced\_surj}(f, a, e)) = \text{domain}(f)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma range_restrict_vimage:
assumes function( $f$ )
shows  $\text{range}(\text{restrict}(f, f^{-1}a)) \subseteq a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma induced_surj_type:
assumes
function( $f$ )
shows
induced_surj( $f, a, e$ ):  $\text{domain}(f) \rightarrow \{e\} \cup a$ 
and
 $x \in f^{-1}a \implies \text{induced\_surj}(f, a, e)x = f^x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma induced_surj_is_surj :
assumes
 $e \in a$  function( $f$ )  $\text{domain}(f) = \alpha \wedge y \in a \implies \exists x \in \alpha. f^x = y$ 
shows
induced_surj( $f, a, e$ ) ∈ surj( $\alpha, a$ )
⟨proof⟩

context G-generic
begin

definition
upair_name ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$  where
upair_name( $\tau, \varrho$ ) == {⟨ $\tau, \text{one}$ ⟩, ⟨ $\varrho, \text{one}$ ⟩}

definition
is_upair_name ::  $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
is_upair_name( $x, y, z$ ) ≡  $\exists xo \in M. \exists yo \in M. \text{pair}(\#M, x, \text{one}, xo) \wedge \text{pair}(\#M, y, \text{one}, yo)$ 
 $\wedge$ 
upair( $\#M, xo, yo, z$ )

```

```

lemma upair_name_abs :
  assumes  $x \in M$   $y \in M$   $z \in M$ 
  shows  $\text{is\_upair\_name}(x,y,z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{upair\_name}(x,y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma upair_name_closed :
   $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{upair\_name}(x,y) \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
   $\text{upair\_name\_fm} :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{upair\_name\_fm}(x,y,o,z) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair\_fm}(x\#+2,o\#+2,1),$ 
   $\text{And}(\text{pair\_fm}(y\#+2,o\#+2,0),\text{upair\_fm}(1,0,z\#+2))))$ 

lemma upair_name_fm_type[ $TC$ ] :
   $\llbracket s \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; o \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{upair\_name\_fm}(s,x,y,o) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_upair_name_fm :
  assumes  $x \in \text{nat}$   $y \in \text{nat}$   $z \in \text{nat}$   $o \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$   $\text{nth}(o,\text{env}) = \text{one}$ 
  shows
   $\text{sats}(M, \text{upair\_name\_fm}(x,y,o,z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_upair\_name}(\text{nth}(x,\text{env}), \text{nth}(y,\text{env}), \text{nth}(z,\text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
   $\text{opair\_name} :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{opair\_name}(\tau, \varrho) == \text{upair\_name}(\text{upair\_name}(\tau, \tau), \text{upair\_name}(\tau, \varrho))$ 

definition
   $\text{is\_opair\_name} :: [i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
   $\text{is\_opair\_name}(x,y,z) \equiv \exists \text{upxx} \in M. \exists \text{upxy} \in M. \text{is\_upair\_name}(x,x,\text{upxx}) \wedge \text{is\_upair\_name}(x,y,\text{upxy})$ 
   $\wedge \text{is\_upair\_name}(\text{upxx}, \text{upxy}, z)$ 

lemma opair_name_abs :
  assumes  $x \in M$   $y \in M$   $z \in M$ 
  shows  $\text{is\_opair\_name}(x,y,z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{opair\_name}(x,y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma opair_name_closed :
   $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{opair\_name}(x,y) \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
   $\text{opair\_name\_fm} :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{opair\_name\_fm}(x,y,o,z) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{upair\_name\_fm}(x\#+2,x\#+2,o\#+2,1),$ 
   $\text{And}(\text{upair\_name\_fm}(x\#+2,y\#+2,o\#+2,0),\text{upair\_name\_fm}(1,0,o\#+2,z\#+2))))$ 

```

```

lemma opair_name_fm_type[TC] :
   $\llbracket s \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; o \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{opair\_name\_fm}(s, x, y, o) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_opair_name_fm :
  assumes  $x \in \text{nat}$   $y \in \text{nat}$   $z \in \text{nat}$   $o \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$   $\text{nth}(o, \text{env}) = \text{one}$ 
  shows
     $\text{sats}(M, \text{opair\_name\_fm}(x, y, o, z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_opair\_name}(\text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}))$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma val_upair_name :  $\text{val}(G, \text{upair\_name}(\tau, \varrho)) = \{\text{val}(G, \tau), \text{val}(G, \varrho)\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma val_opair_name :  $\text{val}(G, \text{opair\_name}(\tau, \varrho)) = \langle \text{val}(G, \tau), \text{val}(G, \varrho) \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma val_RepFun_one:  $\text{val}(G, \{\langle f(x), \text{one} \rangle . x \in a\}) = \{\text{val}(G, f(x)) . x \in a\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

28.1 $M[G]$ is a transitive model of ZF

interpretation mgzf: $M_{\text{ZF_trans}} M[G]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{is_opname_check} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $\text{is_opname_check}(s, x, y) \equiv \exists chx \in M. \exists sx \in M. \text{is_check}(x, chx) \wedge \text{fun_apply}(\#\#M, s, x, sx)$
 \wedge
 $\text{is_opair_name}(chx, sx, y)$

definition

$\text{opname_check_fm} :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $\text{opname_check_fm}(s, x, y, o) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{check_fm}(2\# + x, 2\# + o, 1),$
 $\text{And}(\text{fun_apply_fm}(2\# + s, 2\# + x, 0), \text{opair_name_fm}(1, 0, 2\# + o, 2\# + y))))$

lemma opname_check_fm_type[TC] :
 $\llbracket s \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; o \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{opname_check_fm}(s, x, y, o) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sats_opname_check_fm:

assumes $x \in \text{nat}$ $y \in \text{nat}$ $z \in \text{nat}$ $o \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ $\text{nth}(o, \text{env}) = \text{one}$
 $y < \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $\text{sats}(M, \text{opname_check_fm}(x, y, z, o), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_opname_check}(\text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma opname_check_abs :
  assumes s ∈ M x ∈ M y ∈ M
  shows is_opname_check(s,x,y) ←→ y = opair_name(check(x),s‘x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma repl_opname_check :
  assumes
    A ∈ M f ∈ M
  shows
    {opair_name(check(x),f‘x). x ∈ A} ∈ M
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem choice_in_MG:
  assumes choice_ax(##M)
  shows choice_ax(##M[G])
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

29 Ordinals in generic extensions

```

theory Ordinals_In_MG
  imports
    Forcing_Theorems Relative_Univ

begin

context G-generic
begin

lemma rank_val: rank(val(G,x)) ≤ rank(x) (is ?Q(x))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Ord_MG_iff:
  assumes Ord(α)
  shows α ∈ M ←→ α ∈ M[G]
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

30 Separative notions and proper extensions

```
theory Proper_Extension
imports
  Names
```

```
begin
```

The key ingredient to obtain a proper extension is to have a *separative preorder*:

```
locale separative_notion = forcing_notion +
  assumes separative:  $p \in P \implies \exists q \in P. \exists r \in P. q \preceq p \wedge r \preceq p \wedge q \perp r$ 
begin
```

For separative preorders, the complement of every filter is dense. Hence an M -generic filter can't belong to the ground model.

```
lemma filter_complement_dense:
  assumes filter(G) shows dense(P - G)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
locale ctm_separative = forcing_data + separative_notion
begin
```

```
lemma generic_not_in_M: assumes M_generic(G) shows G ∉ M
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
theorem proper_extension: assumes M_generic(G) shows M ≠ M[G]
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

31 A poset of successions

```
theory Succession_Poset
imports
  Arities Proper_Extension Synthetic_Definition
  Names
begin
```

31.1 The set of finite binary sequences

We implement the poset for adding one Cohen real, the set $2^{<\omega}$ of finite binary sequences.

```
definition
```

```

seqspace :: i ⇒ i ( _^<ω [100]100) where
seqspace(B) ≡ ⋃ n∈nat. (n→B)

lemma seqspaceI[intro]: n∈nat ⇒ f:n→B ⇒ f∈seqspace(B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma seqspaceD[dest]: f∈seqspace(B) ⇒ ∃ n∈nat. f:n→B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma seqspace_type:
  f ∈ B ^<ω ⇒ ∃ n∈nat. f:n→B
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal seqspace_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i,env) = n nth(j,env) = z nth(h,env) = B
  i ∈ nat j ∈ nat h ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  (∃ om ∈ A. omega(##A,om) ∧ n ∈ om ∧ is_funspace(##A, n, B, z)) ↔ (A,
  env ⊨ (?sqsprp(i,j,h)))
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

locale M_seqspace = M_trancl +
assumes
  seqspace_replacement: M(B) ⇒ strong_replacement(M, λn z. n ∈ nat ∧ is_funspace(M, n, B, z))
begin

lemma seqspace_closed:
  M(B) ⇒ M(B ^<ω)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale M_ctm ⊆ M_seqspace ##M
  ⟨proof⟩

definition seq_upd :: i ⇒ i ⇒ i where
  seq_upd(f,a) ≡ λ j ∈ succ(domain(f)) . if j < domain(f) then f`j else a

lemma seq_upd_succ_type :
assumes n ∈ nat f ∈ n→A a ∈ A
shows seq_upd(f,a) ∈ succ(n) → A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma seq_upd_type :
assumes f ∈ A ^<ω a ∈ A

```

```

shows seq_upd(f,a) ∈ A ^<ω
⟨proof⟩

lemma seq_upd_apply_domain [simp]:
assumes f:n→A n∈nat
shows seq_upd(f,a)`n = a
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero_in_seqsphere :
shows 0 ∈ A ^<ω
⟨proof⟩

definition
seqleR :: i ⇒ i ⇒ o where
seqleR(f,g) ≡ g ⊆ f

definition
seqlerel :: i ⇒ i where
seqlerel(A) ≡ Rrel(λx y. y ⊆ x,A ^<ω)

definition
seqle :: i where
seqle ≡ seqlerel(2)

lemma seqleI[intro!]:
⟨f,g⟩ ∈ 2 ^<ω × 2 ^<ω ⇒ g ⊆ f ⇒ ⟨f,g⟩ ∈ seqle
⟨proof⟩

lemma seqleD[dest!]:
z ∈ seqle ⇒ ∃ x y. ⟨x,y⟩ ∈ 2 ^<ω × 2 ^<ω ∧ y ⊆ x ∧ z = ⟨x,y⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_leI :
assumes f ∈ 2 ^<ω a ∈ 2
shows <seq_upd(f,a),f> ∈ seqle (is <?f,-> ∈ .)
⟨proof⟩

lemma preorder_on_seqle: preorder_on(2 ^<ω,seqle)
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero_seqle_max: x ∈ 2 ^<ω ⇒ ⟨x,0⟩ ∈ seqle
⟨proof⟩

interpretation forcing_notion 2 ^<ω seqle 0
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation SEQle :: [i, i] ⇒ o (infixl ⊑s 50)
where x ⊑s y ≡ Leq(x,y)

```

```

abbreviation SEQIncompatible ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$  (infixl  $\perp s$  50)
  where  $x \perp s y \equiv Incompatible(x, y)$ 

lemma seqspace_separative:
  assumes  $f \in 2^{\wedge} < \omega$ 
  shows seq_upd( $f, 0$ )  $\perp s$  seq_upd( $f, 1$ ) (is  $?f \perp s ?g$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition is_seqleR ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_seqleR( $Q, f, g$ )  $\equiv g \subseteq f$ 

definition seqleR_fm ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  seqleR_fm( $fg$ )  $\equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair\_fm}(0, 1, fg\# + 2), \text{subset\_fm}(1, 0))))$ 

lemma type_seqleR_fm :
   $fg \in \text{nat} \implies \text{seqleR\_fm}(fg) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma arity_seqleR_fm :
   $fg \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(\text{seqleR\_fm}(fg)) = \text{succ}(fg)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_basic) seqleR_abs:
  assumes  $M(f) M(g)$ 
  shows seqleR( $f, g$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  is_seqleR( $M, f, g$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  relP ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  relP( $M, r, xy$ )  $\equiv (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, xy) \wedge r(M, x, y))$ 

lemma (in M_ctm) seqleR_fm_sats :
  assumes  $fg \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows sats( $M, \text{seqleR\_fm}(fg), \text{env}$ )  $\longleftrightarrow \text{relP}(\#\# M, \text{is\_seqleR}, \text{nth}(fg, \text{env}))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_basic) is_related_abs :
  assumes  $\bigwedge f g . M(f) \implies M(g) \implies \text{rel}(f, g) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_rel}(M, f, g)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge z . M(z) \implies \text{relP}(M, \text{is\_rel}, z) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge \text{rel}(x, y))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  is_RRel ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_RRel( $M, \text{is\_r}, A, r$ )  $\equiv \exists A2[M]. \text{cartprod}(M, A, A, A2) \wedge \text{is\_Collect}(M, A2, \text{relP}(M, \text{is\_r}), r)$ 

lemma (in M_basic) is_Rrel_abs :
  assumes  $M(A) M(r)$ 
   $\bigwedge f g . M(f) \implies M(g) \implies \text{rel}(f, g) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_rel}(M, f, g)$ 

```

shows $\text{is_RRel}(M, \text{is_rel}, A, r) \longleftrightarrow r = \text{Rrel}(\text{rel}, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{is_seqlerel} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_seqlerel}(M, A, r) \equiv \text{is_RRel}(M, \text{is_seqleR}, A, r)$

lemma (in M_basic) seqlerel_abs :
assumes $M(A) \quad M(r)$
shows $\text{is_seqlerel}(M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow r = \text{Rrel}(\text{seqleR}, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $RrelP :: [i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $RrelP(R, A) \equiv \{z \in A \times A. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge R(x, y)\}$

lemma $Rrel_eq : RrelP(R, A) = \text{Rrel}(R, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context M_{ctm}
begin

lemma $Rrel_closed:$
assumes $A \in M$
 $\wedge a. a \in \text{nat} \implies \text{rel_fm}(a) \in \text{formula}$
 $\wedge f g. (\#\# M)(f) \implies (\#\# M)(g) \implies \text{rel}(f, g) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_rel}(\#\# M, f, g)$
 $\text{arity}(\text{rel_fm}(0)) = 1$
 $\wedge a. a \in M \implies \text{sats}(M, \text{rel_fm}(0), [a]) \longleftrightarrow \text{relP}(\#\# M, \text{is_rel}, a)$
shows $(\#\# M)(Rrel(\text{rel}, A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{seqle_in_M}: \text{seqle} \in M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

31.2 Cohen extension is proper

interpretation $\text{ctm_separative } \mathcal{D}^{\hat{\wedge}} < \omega \text{ seqle } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cohen_extension_is_proper}: \exists G. M_{\text{generic}}(G) \wedge M \neq \text{GenExt}(G)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

32 The main theorem

theory Forcing_Main
imports

Internal_ZFC_Axioms

Choice_Axiom

Ordinals_In_MG

Succession_Poset

begin

32.1 The generic extension is countable

definition

minimum :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{minimum}(r, B) \equiv \text{THE } b. b \in B \wedge (\forall y \in B. y \neq b \rightarrow \langle b, y \rangle \in r)$

lemma *well_ord_imp_min*:

assumes

$\text{well_ord}(A, r) \quad B \subseteq A \quad B \neq \emptyset$

shows

$\text{minimum}(r, B) \in B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well_ord_surj_imp_lepoll*:

assumes $\text{well_ord}(A, r) \quad h \in \text{surj}(A, B)$

shows $B \lesssim A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *forcing_data*) *surj_nat_MG* :

$\exists f. f \in \text{surj}(\text{nat}, M[G])$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *G-generic*) *MG_eqpoll_nat*: $M[G] \approx \text{nat}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.2 The main result

theorem *extensions_of_ctms*:

assumes

$M \approx \text{nat} \quad \text{Transset}(M) \quad M \models \text{ZF}$

shows

$\exists N.$

$M \subseteq N \wedge N \approx \text{nat} \wedge \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models \text{ZF} \wedge M \neq N \wedge$

$(\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \rightarrow (\alpha \in M \leftrightarrow \alpha \in N)) \wedge$

$(M, \models \text{AC} \rightarrow N \models \text{ZFC})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end